arXiv:math/0002032v1 [math.QA] 4 Feb 2000

QUASI-HOPF ALGEBRAS ASSOCIATED WITH SEMISIMPLE LIE ALGEBRAS AND COMPLEX CURVES

B. ENRIQUEZ

Abstract. We construct quasi-Hopf algebras associated with a semisimple Lie algebra, a complex curve and a rational differential. This generalizes our previous joint work with V. Rubtsov (Israel J. Math. (1999) and q-alg/9608005).

1. Introduction

1.1. In this paper, we construct quasi-Hopf algebras associated with the data of a semisimple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}$ and the triple (C, ω, S) of a complex curve C, a rational differential ω and a finite set S of points of C, containing all zeroes and poles of ω.

These quasi-Hopf algebras are quantizations of a Manin pair associated to $(\mathfrak{a}, C, S, \omega)$. Recall that a Manin pair is a triple $(\mathfrak{u}, \langle\ ,\ \rangle_{\mathfrak{u}}, \mathfrak{v})$ formed by a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{u}$, a nondegenerate invariant pairing $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{\mathfrak{u}}$ on $\mathfrak{u}$, and a Lagrangian (i.e., maximal isotropic) subalgebra $\mathfrak{v}$ of $\mathfrak{u}$. The Manin pair associated with $(\mathfrak{a}, C, S, \omega)$ is $(\mathfrak{g}, \langle\ ,\ \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathfrak{g}^{out})$, where $\mathfrak{g}$ is a double extension of $\mathfrak{a} \otimes \mathcal{K}$, $\mathcal{K} = \oplus_{s \in S} \mathcal{K}_s$ is the direct sum of the local fields of C at the points of S, $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is constructed using ω, and $\mathfrak{g}^{out}$ is an extension of $\mathfrak{a} \otimes R$, where R is the ring of regular functions on $C - S$. The nonextended versions of these Manin pairs are all the untwisted Manin pairs introduced by Drinfeld in [3].

To construct these quasi-Hopf algebras, we follow the strategy we used in [9] in the case $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$. We first construct Manin triples $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_+, \mathfrak{g}_-)$ and $(\mathfrak{g}, \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_+, \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_-)$. After we construct the suitable Serre relations, we define Hopf algebras $(U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}, \Delta)$ and $(U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}, \bar{\Delta})$ associated to these triples. When $C = \mathbb{C}P^1$ and $\omega = dz$ or $\frac{dz}{z}$, the defining relations of $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$ coincide with the "new realizations" presentation of the double Yangians and of the quantum affine algebras. We then show that $(U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}, \Delta)$ and $(U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}, \bar{\Delta})$ are quantizations of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_+, \mathfrak{g}_-)$ and $(\mathfrak{g}, \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_+, \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_-)$. For this, we show the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt (PBW) result for $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$ by comparing its positive part $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+$ with an analogue of the Feigin-Odesskii algebras and by using Lie bialgebra duality argument (see [4]). We then construct an element F in a completion of $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}^{\otimes 2}$, conjugating the coproducts Δ and $\bar{\Delta}$. We also construct a subalgebra $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}^{out}$ of $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$, which is a flat deformation the enveloping algebra $U\mathfrak{g}^{out}$. We show that $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}^{out}$ is a left coideal of $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$ for Δ, and a right coideal for $\bar{\Delta}$. We express F as a product $\widetilde{F}_2 F_1$, with F_1 (resp., $\widetilde{F}_2$) in completions of $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g} \otimes U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}^{out}$

Date: February 2000.

(resp., $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}^{out}\otimes U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$). We show that $F_1\Delta F_1^{-1}$ defines a quasi-triangular quasi-Hopf algebra structure on $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$, for which $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}^{out}$ is a sub-quasi-Hopf algebra. This pair $(U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}, U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}^{out})$ of quasi-Hopf algebras is the solution to our quantization problem.

Here are the new ingredients of this paper with respect to [9]. The construction of the Serre relations for $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$ is new, as are the PBW results for $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$ and $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}^{out}$. Our approach to constructing F is also new. It relies on duality results for a Hopf pairing in $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$ (Section 7), which are based on the construction of quantized formal series Hopf algebras inside quantized enveloping algebras ([1]).

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the Manin pairs and triples $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^{out})$ and $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_+, \mathfrak{g}_-)$, $(\mathfrak{g}, \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_+, \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_-)$. In Section 3, we construct the Serre relations for $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$. In Section 4, we construct the Hopf algebras $(U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}, \Delta)$ and $(U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}, \bar\Delta)$. In Section 5, we define the subalgebra $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}^{out}$ of $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$ and show PBW results for these algebras. In Section 6, we construct a Hopf pairing inside $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$, and we prove a duality result about this pairing in Section 7. We are then ready to construct F in Section 8 and the quasi-Hopf structures on $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$ and $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}^{out}$ in Section 9.

Let us now say some words about the motivation of this work. We applied the construction of our paper [9] to a) the construction of realizations of the elliptic quantum groups in terms of quantum currents ([5]), and b) the construction of a new family of commuting difference operators, associated with (C, ω, S) (see [7]). The present work could lead to higher rank generalizations of these works. In our work [10], we showed that quasi-Hopf algebras naturally lead to the construction of quantum homogeneous spaces. This is another possible application of the present paper.

1.2. Part of this work was done while I was visiting Kyoto university in May 1999, ESI (Vienna) in August 1999 and university of Roma I in December 1999; I would like to thank respectively M. Jimbo, A. Alekseev, P. Piazza and C. De Concini for their kind invitations.

2. MANIN PAIRS AND TRIPLES

2.1. **Lie algebras and bilinear forms.** Recall that $\mathcal{K}_s$ denotes the local field at a point s of S, and $\mathcal{K}$ is the direct sum $\oplus_{s\in S}\mathcal{K}_s$. The ring R of regular functions on $C - S$ is viewed as a subring of $\mathcal{K}$ by associating to a function of R, the collection of its Laurent expansions at each point of S.

Let us equip $\mathcal{K}$ with the bilinear form $\langle f, g\rangle_{\mathcal{K}} = \sum_{s\in S}\operatorname{res}_s(fg\omega)$. Then $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{\mathcal{K}}$ and R is a Lagrangian subring of $\mathcal{K}$. Let us set, for f in $\mathcal{K}$, $\partial f = \frac{df}{\omega}$. Then ∂ is a derivation of $\mathcal{K}$, which preserves R. The bilinear form $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{\mathcal{K}}$ is ∂-invariant.

Let $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{\mathfrak{a}}$ be a nondegenerate invariant bilinear form on $\mathfrak{a}$. Let us equip

$$\mathfrak{g} = (\mathfrak{a}\otimes\mathcal{K}) \oplus \mathbb{C}D \oplus \mathbb{C}K$$

with the bracket $[(a\otimes f,\lambda D,\mu K),(a'\otimes f',\lambda' D,\mu' K)] = ([a,a']\otimes ff' + \lambda a'\otimes \partial f' - \lambda' a\otimes \partial f, 0, \mu+\mu' + \langle a,a'\rangle_{\mathfrak a}\langle \partial f, f'\rangle_{\mathcal K})$. Then $\mathfrak g$ is a Lie algebra. Let us set $\mathfrak g^{out} = (\mathfrak a\otimes R)\oplus \mathbb C D$. Then $\mathfrak g^{out}$ is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak g$.

Let us set $\langle (a\otimes f,\lambda D,\mu K),(a'\otimes f',\lambda' D,\mu' K)\rangle_{\mathfrak g} = \langle a,a'\rangle_{\mathfrak a}\langle f,f'\rangle_{\mathcal K} + \lambda\mu' + \lambda'\mu$. Then $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{\mathfrak g}$ is a nondegenerate invariant bilinear form on $\mathfrak g$.

2.2. **Manin pairs.** $\mathfrak g^{out}$ is a maximal isotropic subalgebra of $\mathfrak g$. Therefore, $(\mathfrak g, \mathfrak g^{out})$ is a Manin pair (see [3]).

Let $\mathcal O_s$ be ring of integers of $\mathcal K_s$ and let us fix a Lagrangian complement Λ of R in $\mathcal K$, commensurable with $\oplus_{s\in S}\mathcal O_s$. Let us set $\mathfrak g_\Lambda = (\mathfrak a\otimes\Lambda)\oplus\mathbb C K$. Then $\mathfrak g_\Lambda$ is a Lagrangian complement of $\mathfrak g^{out}$ in $\mathfrak g$. The triple $(\mathfrak g,\mathfrak g^{out},\mathfrak g_\Lambda)$ is sometines called a pointed Manin pair. Let us describe the quasi-Lie bialgebra structures on $\mathfrak g$ and $\mathfrak g^{out}$ associated with $(\mathfrak g,\mathfrak g^{out},\mathfrak g_\Lambda)$.

Let $\mathfrak m_s$ be the maximal ideal of $\mathcal O_s$. For N integer, let us set $\mathfrak i_N = \mathfrak a\otimes(\prod_{s\in S}\mathfrak m_s^N)$. Then the restriction of $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{\mathfrak g}$ to $\mathfrak g^{out}\times\mathfrak g_\Lambda$ defines a canonical element $r_{out,\Lambda}$ in $\lim_{\leftarrow N}\mathfrak g^{out}\otimes(\mathfrak g_\Lambda/\mathfrak g_\Lambda\cap\mathfrak i_N)$. There is a unique map $\delta_{out}:\mathfrak g\to\wedge^2\mathfrak g$, such that for any $x\in\mathfrak g$, $\delta_{out}(x) = [r_{out,\Lambda}, x\otimes 1 + 1\otimes x]$. Let us set $\varphi = [r^{(12)}_{out,\Lambda} + r^{(13)}_{out,\Lambda}, r^{(23)}_{out,\Lambda}] + [r^{(13)}_{out,\Lambda}, r^{(23)}_{out,\Lambda}]$. Then φ belongs to $\wedge^3\mathfrak g$, and $(\mathfrak g,\delta_{out},\varphi)$ is a quasi-Lie bialgebra.

Moreover, φ belongs to $\wedge^3\mathfrak g^{out}$, and $\delta^{out}(\mathfrak g^{out})$ is contained in $\wedge^2\mathfrak g^{out}$, therefore $(\mathfrak g^{out},\delta_{out},\varphi)$ is also a quasi-Lie bialgebra.

2.3. **Manin triples.** Let us fix a Cartan decomposition $\mathfrak a = \mathfrak n_+\oplus\mathfrak h\oplus\mathfrak n_-$ of $\mathfrak a$. Let us set

$$\mathfrak g_+ = (\mathfrak h\otimes R)\oplus(\mathfrak n_+\otimes\mathcal K)\oplus\mathbb C D,\quad \mathfrak g_- = (\mathfrak h\otimes\Lambda)\oplus(\mathfrak n_-\otimes\mathcal K)\oplus\mathbb C K,$$

and

$$\bar{\mathfrak g}_+ = (\mathfrak h\otimes R)\oplus(\mathfrak n_-\otimes\mathcal K)\oplus\mathbb C D,\quad \bar{\mathfrak g}_- = (\mathfrak h\otimes\Lambda)\oplus(\mathfrak n_+\otimes\mathcal K)\oplus\mathbb C K.$$

$\mathfrak g_+$ and $\mathfrak g_-$ supplementary Lagrangian subalgebras of $\mathfrak g$; the same is true for $\bar{\mathfrak g}_+$ and $\bar{\mathfrak g}_-$, therefore $(\mathfrak g,\mathfrak g_+,\mathfrak g_-)$ and $(\mathfrak g,\bar{\mathfrak g}_+,\bar{\mathfrak g}_-)$ are Manin triples. Let us describe the corresponding Lie bialgebra structures.

The restriction of $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{\mathfrak g}$ to $\mathfrak g_+\times\mathfrak g_-$ (resp., to $\bar{\mathfrak g}_+\times\bar{\mathfrak g}_-$) defines a canonical element $r_{\mathfrak g_+,\mathfrak g_-}$ (resp., $r_{\bar{\mathfrak g}_+,\bar{\mathfrak g}_-}$) of $\lim_{\leftarrow N}\mathfrak g\otimes(\mathfrak g/\mathfrak i_N)$ (resp., of $\lim_{\leftarrow N}(\mathfrak g/\mathfrak i_N)\otimes\mathfrak g$). There are unique maps δ and $\bar\delta$ from $\mathfrak g$ to $\lim_{\leftarrow N}\wedge^2(\mathfrak g/\mathfrak i_N)$, such that for any x in $\mathfrak g$, $\delta(x) = [r_{\mathfrak g_+,\mathfrak g_-}, x\otimes 1 + 1\otimes x]$ and $\bar\delta(x) = [r_{\bar{\mathfrak g}_+,\bar{\mathfrak g}_-}, x\otimes 1 + 1\otimes x]$.

δ and $\bar\delta$ satisfy topological versions of the Lie bialgebra axioms. In the next two sections, we are going to construct topological Hopf algebras $(U_\hbar\mathfrak g,\Delta)$ and $(U_\hbar\mathfrak g,\bar\Delta)$, quantizing $(\mathfrak g,\delta)$ and $(\mathfrak g,\bar\delta)$.

3. Construction of Serre relations

In this section, we construct functions on products of C with itself, which will serve as coefficients for the Serre relations of $U_\hbar\mathfrak g$.

3.1. **Notation.** We introduce a formal variable $\hbar$ and set $q = e^{\hbar}$. For each s in S, we choose a local coordinate z_s of C at s.

3.1.1. *Functions.* For any complex number σ, $q^{\sigma\partial}$ is an automorphism of $\mathcal{K}[[\hbar]]$, preserving $R[[\hbar]]$. If f belongs to $\mathcal{K}[[\hbar]]$, then $f = (\tilde{f}_s(z_s))_{s\in S}$, for $\tilde{f}_s$ in $\mathbb{C}((z_s))[[\hbar]]$. We have then $q^{\sigma\partial} f = (\tilde{f}_s(q^{\sigma\partial} z_s))_{s\in S}$. We will simply write $f = f(z)$, and $q^{\sigma\partial} f = f(q^{\sigma\partial} z)$.

For V a vector space, we set $V((z)) = \prod_{s\in S} V[[z_s]][z_s^{-1}]$. This is a completion of $V \otimes \mathcal{K}$. If V is a ring, $V((z))$ is also equipped with a ring structure. The ring $\prod_{(s,t)\in S\times S} \mathbb{C}[[z_s, w_t]][z_s^{-1}, w_t^{-1}]$ is a completion of $\mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{K}$. We denote it $\mathbb{C}[[z, w]][z^{-1}, w^{-1}]$. For f en element of $\mathbb{C}[[z, w]][z^{-1}, w^{-1}]$, and s, t elements of S, we denote by f_{st} the component of f in $\mathbb{C}[[z_s, w_t]][z_s^{-1}, w_t^{-1}]$.

We denote by $f \mapsto f^{(21)}$ the permutation of factors in $\mathbb{C}[[z, w]][z^{-1}, w^{-1}]$. For f in $R \otimes R$, to written as $\sum_i f_i' \otimes f_i''$, we set $f(z, w) = \sum_i f_i'(z) f_i''(w)$; this is a complex function on $(C - S) \times (C - S)$. Then $f^{(21)}(z, w) = f(w, z)$.

3.1.2. $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-*modules.* For M a module over $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$, we write $M/(\hbar^k)$ for the quotient $M/\hbar^k M$. A topologically free $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module is a module of the form $V[[\hbar]]$, where V is a complex vector space. When M and N are $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-modules, we will denote by $M \otimes N$ their tensor product over $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$.

3.2. **Results on kernels.** Let $(r^{\alpha})_{\alpha\geq 0}, (\lambda_{\alpha})_{\alpha\geq 0}$ be dual bases of R and Λ, such that λ_{α} tends to zero in the topology of $\mathcal{K}$ when α tends to infinity. Let us set $G(z, w) = \sum_{\alpha\geq 0} r^{\alpha}(z)\lambda_{\alpha}(w)$. This is an element of $\mathbb{C}((z))((w))$. We have

$$((\partial \otimes id)G)(z, w) = G(z, w)^2 + \underline{\gamma},$$

for some $\underline{\gamma} \in R \otimes R$ ($\underline{\gamma}$ is the γ of [8]).

Let ϕ, ψ be the elements of $\hbar\mathbb{C}[\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots][[\hbar]]$ defined as the solutions of the differential equations

$$\partial_{\hbar}\psi = D\psi - 1 - \gamma_0\psi^2, \quad \partial_{\hbar}\phi = D\phi - \gamma_0\psi,$$

where $D = \sum_{i\geq 0} \gamma_{i+1}\frac{\partial}{\partial\gamma_i}$. We have

$$\psi(\hbar, \underline{\gamma}, \partial_z\underline{\gamma}, \cdots) = -\hbar + o(\hbar), \quad \phi(\hbar, \underline{\gamma}, \partial_z\underline{\gamma}, \cdots) = \frac{1}{2}\hbar^2\underline{\gamma} + o(\hbar^2).$$

For σ a complex number, we will denote by $\phi(\sigma\hbar)$ and $\psi(\sigma\hbar)$ the elements $\phi(\sigma\hbar, \underline{\gamma}, \partial_z\underline{\gamma}, \dots)$ and $\psi(\sigma\hbar, \underline{\gamma}, \partial_z\underline{\gamma}, \dots)$ of $R^{\otimes 2}[[\hbar]]$. Set $G^{(21)}(z, w) = G(w, z)$. It follows from identity (3.11) of [8] that

$$\sum_{\alpha} \frac{q^{\partial} - 1}{\partial}\lambda_{\alpha}(z) r^{\alpha}(w) = \left(-\phi(\hbar) + \ln(1 - G^{(21)}\psi(\hbar))\right)(z, w). \tag{1}$$

For f an element of $\mathcal{K}$, we denote by f_R (resp., f_{Λ}) the projection of f on R parallel to Λ (resp., on Λ parallel to R). For any integer σ, $\sum_{i\geq 0} r^i \otimes \left(\frac{q^{\sigma\partial/2} - q^{-\sigma\partial/2}}{\partial}\lambda_i\right)_R$

is a symmetric element of $R^{\otimes 2}[[\hbar]]$. We fill fix an element τ_σ of $R^{\otimes 2}[[\hbar]]$ such that

$$\tau_\sigma + \tau_\sigma^{(21)} + \sum_{i\geq 0} r^i \otimes \left(\frac{q^{\sigma\partial/2} - q^{-\sigma\partial/2}}{\partial}\lambda_i\right)_R = 0.$$

We will set

$$q_\sigma(z,w) = \exp\left(\sum_\alpha (\frac{q^{\sigma\partial/2} - q^{-\sigma\partial/2}}{\partial}\lambda_\alpha) \otimes r^\alpha\right) \exp(\tau_\sigma)(z,w).$$

Then $q_\sigma(z,w)$ belongs to $\mathbb{C}((w))((z))[[\hbar]]$. It may be expressed as the product of an element ρ_σ of $\mathbb{C}[[z,w]][z^{-1},w^{-1}][[\hbar]]$ and the expansion, for z close to S, of an element ρ'_σ of the ring $\mathbb{C}(C\times C)_{S\times C, C\times S, diag}$ of rational functions on $C\times C$, with only possible poles on $S\times C$, $C\times S$ and the diagonal. We may then define the "analytic prolongation" $q_\sigma(z,w)_{w\ll z}$ as the element of $\mathbb{C}((z))((w))[[\hbar]]$ equal to the product of ρ_σ and the expansion of ρ'_σ when w is close to S. We have then

$$q_\sigma(z,w)q_\sigma(w,z)_{z\ll w} = 1.$$

The "singularities" of $q_\sigma(z,w)$ may be described as follows. If s and t are elements of S such that $s\neq t$, $(q_\sigma)_{st}(z,t)$ belongs to $1+\hbar\mathbb{C}[[z_s,w_t]][z_s^{-1},w_t^{-1}][[\hbar]]$; and for any element s of S, there exists an element $i_\sigma(z_s,w_s)\in 1+\hbar\mathbb{C}[[z_s,w_s]][z_s^{-1},w_s^{-1}][[\hbar]]$ such that the equality

$$(q_\sigma)_{ss}(z_s,w_s) = (i_\sigma)_{ss}(z_s,w_s)\frac{w_s - q^{\sigma\partial/2}z_s}{q^{\sigma\partial/2}w_s - z_s}$$

holds in $\mathbb{C}((w_s))((z_s))[[\hbar]]$ (see [7]).

Example. Assume that $C=\mathbb{C}P^1$, $\omega = dz$ and $S=\{\infty\}$. Then the local coordinate is $z_\infty = z^{-1}$. We have $R=\mathbb{C}[[z]]$ and may choose $\Lambda = z^{-1}\mathbb{C}[[z^{-1}]]$. Then $G(z,w)$ and $q_\sigma(z,w)$ coincide with the expansions of $-\frac{1}{w-z}$ for $z\ll w$ and of $\frac{z-w+\hbar\sigma/2}{z-w-\hbar\sigma/2}$ for $w\ll z$.

3.3. **Construction of Serre relations.** Let m be an integer in $\{1,2,3\}$. Let $(\epsilon_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}}$ be a basis of $\mathcal{K}$, with dual basis $(\epsilon^\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}}$, such that both ϵ_α and ϵ^α tend to zero when α tends to infinity (we may take $\epsilon_\alpha = r_\alpha$, $\epsilon_{-\alpha-1}=\lambda_\alpha$ for $\alpha\geq 0$). Let us consider an algebra with generators $a_\alpha, b_\alpha, \alpha\in\mathbb{Z}$, and relations

$$(q^{m\partial}w_s - z_s)a(z)a(w) = i_{2m,s}(z,w)(w_s - q^{m\partial}z_s)a(w)a(z), \; a(z)_s a(w)_t = (q_{2m})_{st}(z,w)a(w)_t a(z)_s,$$

$$(q^{\partial}w_s - z_s)b(z)b(w) = i_{2,s}(z,w)(w_s - q^{\partial}z_s)b(w)b(z), \; b(z)_s b(w)_t = (q_2)_{st}(z,w)b(w)_t b(z)_s,$$

$$(q^{-m\partial/2}w_s - z_s)a(z)b(w) = i_{-m,s}(z,w)(w_s - q^{-m\partial/2}z_s)b(w)a(z),$$

$$a(z)_s b(w)_t = (q_{-m})_{st}(z,w)b(w)_t a(z)_s,$$

for any elements s,t of S, such that $s\neq t$, where we set

$$a(z) = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}} a_\alpha \epsilon_\alpha(z), \quad b(z) = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}} b_\alpha\epsilon_\alpha(z),$$

and $a(z)_s$ is the sth component of $a(z)$.

As we will see (Prop. 5.1), the Serre identities compatible with these relations are

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m+1} \sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_{m+1}} A_{k,\sigma}(w, z_1, \dots, z_{m+1}) a(z_{\sigma(1)}) \cdots a(z_{\sigma(k)}) b(w) a(z_{\sigma(k+1)}) \cdots a(z_{\sigma(m+1)}) = 0, \tag{2}$$

and (2) with a and b exchanged, and m replaced by 1, where the functions $A_{k,\sigma}(w, z_1, \dots, z_{m+1})$ belong to

$$(-1)^k \binom{m+1}{k} + \hbar R^{\otimes m+1}[[\hbar]]$$

and should satisfy the identity

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m+1} \sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_{m+1}} A_{k,\sigma}(z, w_1, \dots, w_{m+1}) \prod_{i>k} q_{-m-1}(z, w_{\sigma(i)}) \prod_{i<j,\sigma(i)<\sigma(j)} q_2(w_i, w_j) = 0. \tag{3}$$

Theorem 3.1. *(existence of Serre identities) There exist functions* $A_{k,\sigma}(z, w_1, \dots, w_{m+1})$ *in*

$$(-1)^k \binom{m+1}{k} + \hbar R^{\otimes m+1}[[\hbar]],$$

satisfying identity (3).

We will prove Thm. 3.1 in the case $m = 1$; the proof is similar in the general case.

Theorem 3.2. *(Thm. 3.1 for $m = 1$) There exist functions* $\alpha, \cdots, \gamma'$ *in* $R^{\otimes 3}[[\hbar]]$, *such that* $\alpha, \gamma, \alpha', \gamma' \in 1 + \hbar R^{\otimes 3}[[\hbar]]$, $\beta, \beta' \in -2 + \hbar R^{\otimes 3}[[\hbar]]$, *and*

$$\alpha(z, w_1, w_2) q_{-1}(z, w_1) q_{-1}(z, w_2) q_2(w_1, w_2) + \beta(z, w_1, w_2) q_{-1}(z, w_2) q_2(w_1, w_2) \tag{4}$$

$$+ \gamma(z, w_1, w_2) q_2(w_1, w_2) + \alpha'(z, w_1, w_2) q_{-1}(z, w_1) q_{-1}(z, w_2)$$
$$+ \beta'(z, w_1, w_2) q_{-1}(z, w_1) + \gamma'(z, w_1, w_2) = 0.$$

Proof of Thm 3.2. Let us explain our strategy. We first give some conditions on $(\alpha, \dots, \beta')$ in $(R^{\otimes 3}[[\hbar]])^5$, which guarantee that they determine a system $(\alpha, \dots, \gamma') \in (R^{\otimes 3}[[\hbar]])^6$ satisfying the requirements of the Theorem (Prop. 3.1). We then show the existence of a system $(\alpha, \dots, \beta')$ fulfilling these conditions (Prop. 3.2).

Proposition 3.1. *If* $(\alpha, \dots, \beta')$ *in* $(R^{\otimes 3}[[\hbar]])^5$ *satisfy*

$$\alpha, \alpha', \gamma \in 1 + \hbar R^{\otimes 3}[[\hbar]], \quad \beta, \beta' \in -2 + \hbar R^{\otimes 3}[[\hbar]], \tag{5}$$

and

$$\alpha/\beta'(q^{-\partial} w_1, w_1, w_2) = \exp\left(-\tau_2 - (q^{-\partial} \otimes id)\tau_{-1} + \phi(2\hbar)\right) \frac{\psi(-2\hbar)}{\psi(2\hbar) - \psi(-2\hbar)}(w_1, w_2), \tag{6}$$

$$\alpha'/\beta'(q^{-\partial}w_1, w_1, w_2) = \exp\left(-(q^{-\partial} \otimes id)\tau_{-1} + \phi(-2\hbar)\right) \frac{\psi(2\hbar)}{\psi(-2\hbar) - \psi(2\hbar)}(w_1, w_2), \tag{7}$$

$$\alpha/\beta(q^{-\partial}w_2, w_1, w_2) = \exp\left(-(q^{-\partial} \otimes id)(\tau_{-1}) + \phi(-2\hbar)\right)^{(21)} \frac{\psi(2\hbar)^{(21)}}{\psi(-2\hbar)^{(21)} - \psi(2\hbar)^{(21)}}(w_1, w_2), \tag{8}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\alpha'/\beta(q^{-\partial}w_2, w_1, w_2) \\ &= \exp\left(-\tau_2 - (q^{-\partial} \otimes id)(\tau_{-1}) + \phi(2\hbar)\right)^{(21)} \frac{\psi(-2\hbar)^{(21)}}{\psi(2\hbar)^{(21)} - \psi(-2\hbar)^{(21)}}(w_1, w_2). \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

$$\alpha/\beta(z, q^{3\partial}w_2, q^{\partial}w_2) = -\exp\left((q^{3\partial} \otimes id)\tau_{-1} + \phi(4\hbar) - \phi(2\hbar)\right)^{(21)} \frac{\psi(2\hbar)^{(21)}}{\psi(4\hbar)^{(21)}}(z, w_2), \tag{10}$$

$$\gamma/\beta(z, q^{3\partial}w_2, q^{\partial}w_2) = \exp\left(-(q^{\partial} \otimes id)\tau_{-1} - \phi(2\hbar)\right) \frac{\psi(2\hbar) - \psi(4\hbar)}{\psi(4\hbar)}(w_2, z), \tag{11}$$

then $\gamma' = -(\, q_{-2}(z,w_1)q_{-2}(z,w_2)q_4(w_1,w_2) + \beta q_{-2}(z,w_2)q_4(w_1,w_2) + \gamma q_4(w_1,w_2) + \alpha' q_{-2}(z,w_1)q_{-2}(z,w_2) + \beta' q_{-2}(z,w_1)\,)$ *belongs to* $1 + R^{\otimes 3}[[\hbar]]$. *Therefore* $(\alpha, \ldots, \beta')$ *uniquely determines a system* $(\alpha, \ldots, \gamma')$ *satisfying the conditions of Thm. 3.2 (with* $\hbar$ *replaced by* $2\hbar$*).*

Proof of Prop. Let $(\alpha, \ldots, \beta')$ be arbitrary elements of $(R^{\otimes 3}[[\hbar]])^5$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma' = -(\, & q_{-2}(z,w_1)q_{-2}(z,w_2)q_4(w_1,w_2) + \beta q_{-2}(z,w_2)q_4(w_1,w_2) \\ & + \gamma q_4(w_1,w_2) + \alpha' q_{-2}(z,w_1)q_{-2}(z,w_2) + \beta' q_{-2}(z,w_1)\,)\,. \end{aligned}$$

$\gamma'(z, w_1, z_2)$ belongs to $\mathbb{C}((w_2))((w_1))((z))[[\hbar]]$. Moreover, for any s and t in S, the products

$$(z_s - q^{-\partial}(w_1)_s)\gamma'(z,w_1,w_2), (z_s - q^{-\partial}(w_2)_s)\gamma'(z,w_1,w_2) \text{ and } ((w_1)_s - q^{2\partial}(w_2)_s)\gamma'(z,w_1,w_2) \tag{12}$$

belong respectively to $\mathbb{C}[[z_s, (w_1)_s]][z_s^{-1}, (w_1)_s^{-1}]((w_2))[[\hbar]]$, $\mathbb{C}[[z_s, (w_2)_s]][z_s^{-1}, (w_2)_s^{-1}]((w_1))[[\hbar]]$ and $\mathbb{C}[[(w_1)_s, (w_2)_s]][(w_1)_s^{-1}, (w_2)_s^{-1}]((z))[[\hbar]]$.

Lemma 3.1. *Assume moreover that* $(\alpha, \ldots, \beta')$ *satisfy conditions (6)-(11). Then the products (12) vanish when we substitute respectively* $z_s = q^{-\partial}(w_1)_s$, $z_s = q^{-\partial}(w_2)_s$ *and* $(w_1)_s = q^{\partial}(w_2)_s$ *(in other words, these conditions are sufficient for the "poles" of* γ' *at these points to vanish).*

Proof of Lemma. See Appendix A. □

End of proof of Prop. 3.1. Recall the following fact:

Lemma 3.2. *If f belongs to $\mathbb{C}[[z_s, w_s]][z_s^{-1}, w_s^{-1}]$ and vanishes when we substitute $w_s = z_s$, then there exists g in $\mathbb{C}[[z_s, w_s]][z_s^{-1}, w_s^{-1}]$ such that $g(z_s, w_s) = (z_s - w_s)f(z_s, w_s)$.*

Assume that $(\alpha, \dots, \beta')$ satisfy conditions (6)-(11). Recall that we have set

$$\gamma' = -\big(\, q_{-2}(z, w_1)q_{-2}(z, w_2)q_4(w_1, w_2) + \beta q_{-2}(z, w_2)q_4(w_1, w_2) + \gamma q_4(w_1, w_2) \\ + \alpha' q_{-2}(z, w_1)q_{-2}(z, w_2) + \beta' q_{-2}(z, w_1)\,\big)\,.$$

$(z_s - q^{-\partial}(w_1)_s)\gamma'(z, w_1, w_2)$ belongs to $\mathbb{C}[[z_s, (w_1)_s]][z_s^{-1}, (w_1)_s^{-1}]((w_2))[[\hbar]]$. Lemma 3.1 then implies that the substitution $z_s = q^{-\partial}(w_1)_s$ in $(z_s - q^{-\partial}(w_1)_s)\gamma'_{sst}(z, w_1, w_2)$ gives 0. Lemma 3.2 then implies that $\gamma'_{sst}(z_s, (w_1)_s, (w_2)_t)$ belongs to $\mathbb{C}[[z_s, (w_1)_s]]$ $[z_s^{-1}, (w_1)_s^{-1}]((w_2))[[\hbar]]$, therefore

$$\gamma'(z, w_1, w_2) \text{ belongs to } \mathbb{C}[[z, w_1]][z^{-1}, w_1^{-1}]((w_2))[[\hbar]]. \tag{13}$$

Replacing in this argument $(z_s - q^{-\partial}(w_1)_s)\gamma'(z, w_1, w_2)$ by $(z_s - q^{-\partial}(w_2)_s)\gamma'(z, w_1, w_2)$ and $((w_1)_s - q^{2\partial}(w_2)_s)\gamma'(z, w_1, w_2)$, we find

$$\gamma'(z, w_1, w_2) \in \mathbb{C}[[z, w_2]][z^{-1}, w_2^{-1}]((w_1))[[\hbar]], \gamma'(z, w_1, w_2) \in \mathbb{C}[[w_1, w_2]][w_1^{-1}, w_2^{-1}]((z))[[\hbar]]. \tag{14}$$

(13) and (14) imply that

$$\gamma'(z, w_1, w_2) \text{ belongs to } \mathbb{C}[[z, w_1, w_2]][z^{-1}, w_1^{-1}, w_2^{-1}][[\hbar]]. \tag{15}$$

Moreover, $q_\sigma(z, w)$ belongs to $1 + \hbar\mathbb{C}((w))((z))[[\hbar]]$, therefore relations (5) imply that

$$\gamma' \text{ belongs to } 1 + \hbar\mathbb{C}((w_2))((w_1))((z))[[\hbar]]. \tag{16}$$

(15) and (16) then imply that

$$\gamma' \text{ belongs to } 1 + \hbar\mathbb{C}[[z, w_1, z_2]][z^{-1}, w_1^{-1}, w_2^{-1}][[\hbar]]. \tag{17}$$

Let us now show that γ' belongs to $R^{\otimes 3}[[\hbar]]$. We will need the following statements.

Lemma 3.3. *If f belongs to $\mathbb{C}[[z, w]][z^{-1}, w^{-1}]$ and is such that for any α in R, $(\alpha(z) - \alpha(w))f(z, w)$ belongs to $R \otimes R$, then f belongs to $R \otimes R$.*

Proof of Lemma. For any α in C, let f_α be the element $(\alpha(z) - \alpha(w))f(z, w)$ of $R \otimes R$. Let α be any nonconstant element of C. Then the function $\phi : (P, Q) \mapsto \frac{f_\alpha(P,Q)}{\alpha(P) - \alpha(Q)}$ is a rational function on $C \times C$, with poles when P or Q meet S and on the divisor $\{(P, Q) \in C \times C | \alpha(P) = \alpha(Q)\}$, which contains the diagonal C_{diag} of C.

Let then P and Q be any pair of different points of $C - S$. As C is smooth, R separates the points of C. Let α_{PQ} be a function of R such that $\alpha_{PQ}(P) \neq \alpha_{PQ}(Q)$. Since ϕ is equal to the function $(P', Q') \mapsto \frac{f_{\alpha_{P,Q}}(P', Q')}{\alpha_{P,Q}(P') - \alpha_{P,Q}(Q')}$, ϕ has no poles at (P, Q). Therefore the only possible poles of ϕ are on $C_{diag} \cup [C \times S] \cup [S \times C]$.

Moreover, at each point P such that $d\alpha(P)$ is nonzero, the possible pole of ϕ at (P, P) is simple; since the set of these points forms an open subset of C, the possible pole of ϕ at the diagonal is simple. The coefficient of this pole, which is a rational function on C, is given by the substitution $z = w$ in $(\alpha(z) - \alpha(w))\phi(z, w)$. The image in $\mathcal{K}$ of this function is the Taylor expansion of $f_\alpha(P, P)$ for P in S, which is zero, therefore ϕ has no pole at the diagonal of C and belongs to $R \otimes R$. Since the image of ϕ in $\mathbb{C}[[z, w]][z^{-1}, w^{-1}]$ coincides with f, f belongs to $R \otimes R$. □

We also have

Lemma 3.4. *1) (see also* [9]*) For any α in R, $(\alpha(z) - \alpha(w))G^{(21)}(z, w)$ belongs to $R \otimes R$.*

2) For σ a complex number and any α in R, $\big(\alpha(q^{-\sigma\partial}z) - \alpha(w)\big)\, q_{2\sigma}(z, w)$ belongs to $R^{\otimes 2}[[\hbar]]$.

Proof. 1) The delta-function of $\mathcal{K}$ is $\delta(z, w)dw = \sum_\alpha \epsilon^\alpha(z)\omega_\alpha(w)$, where (ϵ^α) and (ω_α) are dual bases of $\mathcal{K}$ and its module of one-forms $\Omega_{\mathcal{K}}$. $G + G^{(21)}$ is then the ratio $\delta(z, w)dw/\omega(w)$, therefore $(\alpha(z) - \alpha(w))G^{(21)}(z, w) = -(\alpha(z) - \alpha(w))G(z, w)$. Since $(\alpha(z) - \alpha(w))G(z, w) = \sum_\gamma (\alpha r^\gamma)(z)\lambda_\gamma(w) - r^\gamma(z)(\alpha\lambda_\gamma)(w)$, the product $(\alpha(z) - \alpha(w))G(z, w)$ belongs to $R((w))$, where z is attached to the factor R. In the same way, $(\alpha(z) - \alpha(w))G^{(21)}(z, w)$ belongs to $R((z))$, where w is attached to the factor R. It follows that $(\alpha(z) - \alpha(w))G(z, w)$ belongs to $R \otimes R$.

2) We have

$$q_{2\sigma}(q^{\sigma\partial}z, w) = \exp\big((q^{\sigma\partial} \otimes id)(\tau_{2\sigma} - \phi(2\sigma\hbar))\big)\big(1 - G^{(21)}(q^{\sigma\partial} \otimes id)(\psi(2\sigma\hbar))\big)(z, w).$$

It follows then from 1) that $[\alpha(z) - \alpha(w)]q_{2\sigma}(q^{\sigma\partial}z, w)$ belongs to $R^{\otimes 2}[[\hbar]]$. Since $q^{-\sigma\partial}$ preserves $R[[\hbar]]$, $\big(\alpha(q^{-\sigma\partial}z) - \alpha(w)\big)\, q_{2\sigma}(z, w)$ belongs to $(R \otimes R)[[\hbar]]$. □

Assume now that $(\alpha, \dots, \beta')$ satisfy conditions (6)-(11) and let us show that γ' belongs to $R^{\otimes 3}[[\hbar]]$. It follows from Lemma 3.4 that for any α in R, $(\alpha(z) - \alpha(q^{-\partial}w_1))\gamma'(z, w_1, w_2)$ belongs to $R \otimes R((w_2))[[\hbar]]$ (variables z and w_1 correspond to the first and second factors of $R \otimes R$). Lemma 3.3 then implies that

$$\gamma' \text{ belongs to } R \otimes R((w_1))[[\hbar]]. \tag{18}$$

In the same way, Lemma 3.4 implies that $(\alpha(z) - \alpha(q^{-\partial}w_2))\gamma'(z, w_1, w_2)$ belongs to $R \otimes R((w_1))[[\hbar]]$, where variables z and w_2 correspond to the first and second factors of $R \otimes R$. Lemma 3.3 then implies that

$$\gamma' \text{ belongs to } R \otimes R((w_2))[[\hbar]]. \tag{19}$$

(18) and (19) then imply that γ' belongs to $R^{\otimes 3}[[\hbar]]$. Together with (17), this implies that γ' belongs to $1 + \hbar R^{\otimes 3}[[\hbar]]$. This proves Prop. 3.1. □

Proposition 3.2. *There exists a family* $(\alpha, \dots, \beta')$ *of* $(R^{\otimes 3}[[\hbar]])^5$, *satisfying the conditions of Prop. 3.1.*

Proof. We will use the following fact:

Lemma 3.5. *Let* $f(z,w)$ *and* $g(z,w)$ *be two functions in* $\mathbb{C}[[z,w]][z^{-1},w^{-1}][[\hbar]]$, *and let* σ, σ' *be two complex numbers. There exists a function* $h(z_1,z_2,z_3)$ *in* $\mathbb{C}[[z_1,z_2,z_3]][z_1^{-1},z_2^{-1},z_3^{-1}][[\hbar]]$ *such that* $h(z, q^{\sigma\partial}z, w) = f(z,w)$ *and* $h(z,w,q^{\sigma'\partial}w) = g(z,w)$, *iff the functions* $f(z, q^{\sigma'\partial}z)$ *and* $g(z,q^{\sigma\partial}z)$ *coincide. If moreover* f, g *belong to* $R^{\otimes 2}[[\hbar]]$, *then* h *may be chosen in* $R^{\otimes 3}[[\hbar]]$.

Proof of Lemma. Replacing $h(z_1,z_2,z_3)$ by $h(z_1, q^{-\sigma\partial}z_2, q^{-\sigma'\partial}z_3)$, we may assume that $\sigma = \sigma' = 0$. One sets then $h(z_1,z_2,z_3) = g(z_1,z_3) + f(z_2,z_3) - g(z_2,z_3)$. □

Let us first set $\beta(z,w_1,w_2) = -2$, and $\gamma(z,w_1,w_2) = -2\times$ (right side of (11)). Then γ belongs to $1 + \hbar R^{\otimes 3}[[\hbar]]$.

Let us determine $\alpha(z,w_1,w_2)$ satisfying conditions (8) and (10). Both equations should give the same values to

$$\alpha/\beta(w_2, q^{3\partial}w_2, q^{\partial}w_2).$$

This means that

$$\begin{aligned} &-\exp\left((q^{3\partial}\otimes id)\tau_{-1}\right)\exp\left(\phi(4\hbar)-\phi(2\hbar)\right)\frac{\psi(2\hbar)}{\psi(4\hbar)}(w_2,w_2) \qquad (20)\\ &= \frac{1}{u}\frac{\psi(-2\hbar)}{\psi(-2\hbar)-\psi(2\hbar)}(q^{\partial}w_2, q^{3\partial}w_2)\\ &= \exp\left(-\tau_{-1}(w_2,q^{3\partial}w_2)\right)\exp\left(\phi(-2\hbar)\right)(q^{\partial}w_2,q^{3\partial}w_2)\cdot\\ &\cdot\frac{\psi(-2\hbar)}{\psi(-2\hbar)-\psi(2\hbar)}(q^{\partial}w_2,q^{3\partial}w_2). \end{aligned}$$

Let us show (20). $\exp\left(-\phi(2\hbar)\right)\psi(2\hbar)_s(w_2,w_2)$ is the residue at $z_s = (w_2)_s$ of $\exp\left(\sum_{\alpha\ge 0}\frac{q^{2\partial}-1}{\partial}\lambda_\alpha\otimes r^\alpha\right)_{ss}(z,w_2)$, and $\exp\left(-\phi(4\hbar)\right)\psi(4\hbar)_s(w_2,w_2)$ is the residue at the same point of $\exp\left(\sum_{\alpha\ge 0}\frac{q^{4\partial}-1}{\partial}\lambda_\alpha\otimes r^\alpha\right)_{ss}(z,w_2)$, therefore

$$\frac{\exp\left(-\phi(2\hbar)\right)\psi(2\hbar)}{\exp\left(-\phi(4\hbar)\right)\psi(4\hbar)}(w_2,w_2)$$

is the value at $z = w_2$ of $\exp[\sum_{\alpha\ge 0}\frac{q^{2\partial}-q^{4\partial}}{\partial}\lambda_\alpha\otimes r^\alpha](z,w_2)$. Therefore the left side of (20) is

$$-\exp\left((q^{3\partial}\otimes id)\tau_{-1}\right)\exp\left(\sum_{\alpha\ge 0}\frac{q^{2\partial}-q^{4\partial}}{\partial}\lambda_\alpha\otimes r^\alpha\right)(w_2,w_2),$$

which is $-q_{-2}(q^{3\partial}w_2,w_2)$.

On the other hand, $q_{-2}(q^{-\partial}w_2, w_1)q_4(w_1, w_2)^{-1}$ vanishes when $w_1 = q^{2\partial}w_2$, therefore any functions $\alpha_0, \beta_0, \gamma_0$ satisfying (73) are such that

$$\alpha_0(q^{-\partial}w_2, q^{2\partial}w_2, w_2)q_{-2}(q^{-\partial}w_2, q^{2\partial}w_2) + \beta_0(q^{-\partial}w_2, q^{2\partial}w_2, w_2) = 0,$$

which means that these functions verify

$$\alpha_0(w_2, q^{3\partial}w_2, q^{\partial}w_2)q_{-2}(w_2, q^{3\partial}w_2) + \beta_0(w_2, q^{3\partial}w_2, q^{\partial}w_2) = 0.$$

The right side of (20) is equal to the ratio $\alpha/\beta(w_2, q^{3\partial}w_2, q^{\partial}w_2)$ for α, β as in (8), which are part of a system (α, β, γ) of functions satisfying (73). Therefore this ratio is equal to $-q_{-2}(w_2, q^{3\partial}w_2)^{-1}$. It follows that the right side of (20) is also equal to $-q_{-2}(w_2, q^{3\partial}w_2)^{-1}$.

It follows that both sides of (20) are equal. Moreover, the right sides of (8) and (10) are of the form $-1/2 + \tilde{u}(z, w_2)$ and $-1/2 + \tilde{v}(z, w_2)$, where $\tilde{u}$ and $\tilde{v}$ belong to $\hbar R^{\otimes 2}[[\hbar]]$. It follows from (20) that $\tilde{u}(q^{3\partial}w_2, q^{\partial}w_2) = \tilde{v}(w_2, w_2)$, therefore applying Lemma 3.5 to the system of equations

$$(\alpha - 1)(q^{-\partial}w_2, w_1, w_2) = -2\tilde{u}(w_1, w_2), \quad (\alpha - 1)(z, q^{3\partial}w_2, q^{\partial}w_2) = -2\tilde{v}(z, w_2),$$

we get a solution $\alpha(z, w_1, w_2)$ of equations (8) and (10), such that $\alpha(z, w_1, w_2) \in 1 + \hbar R^{\otimes 3}[[\hbar]]$.

We now have to find α' and β' satisfying (6), (7) and (9). This system is equivalent to (6),

$$\alpha'/\alpha(q^{-\partial}w_2, w_1, w_2) = -\frac{u}{\exp[\tau_2 + (q^{-\partial} \otimes id)\tau_{-1}]\exp[-\phi(2\hbar)]}\frac{\psi(-2\hbar)}{\psi(2\hbar)}(w_2, w_1), \tag{21}$$

and

$$\alpha'/\alpha(q^{-\partial}w_1, w_1, w_2) = -\frac{\psi(2\hbar)}{\psi(-2\hbar)}\frac{\exp[\tau_2 + (q^{-\partial} \otimes id)\tau_{-1}]\exp[-\phi(2\hbar)]}{u}(w_1, w_2). \tag{22}$$

If a solution α' to (21) and (22) exists, both equations should give the same value to $\alpha'/\alpha(q^{-\partial}w_1, w_1, w_1)$. If we set

$$t = -\frac{\psi(2\hbar)}{\psi(-2\hbar)}\frac{\exp[\tau_2 + (q^{-\partial} \otimes id)\tau_{-1}]\exp[-\phi(2\hbar)]}{u},$$

this means that

$$t(z, z)^{-1} = t(z, z); \tag{23}$$

in other terms, $t(z, z)^2 = 1$. We have

$$t(z, z) = -\frac{\psi(2\hbar)\exp[-\phi(2\hbar)]}{\psi(-2\hbar)\exp[-\phi(-2\hbar)]}\exp[\tau_2](z, z).$$

$\psi(\pm 2\hbar)\exp[-\phi(\pm 2\hbar)]$ is the residue at $w = z$ of $\exp(\sum_{\alpha\geq 0}\frac{q^{\pm 2\partial}-1}{\partial}\lambda_\alpha\otimes r^\alpha)(z,w)$; more precisely, we have

$$G(z,w)(z-w)_{|w=z}\psi(\pm 2\hbar)\exp[-\phi(\pm 2\hbar)](z,z) = \exp(\sum_{\alpha\geq 0}\frac{q^{\pm 2\partial}-1}{\partial}\lambda_\alpha\otimes r^\alpha)(z,w)(z-w)_{|w=z}.$$

Let us set

$$\widetilde{q}_2^{+}(z,w) = \exp[\tau_2]\exp[\sum_{\alpha\geq 0}\frac{q^{2\partial}-1}{\partial}\lambda_\alpha\otimes r^\alpha](z,w),$$

$$\widetilde{q}_2^{-}(z,w) = \exp[\sum_{\alpha\geq 0}\frac{q^{-2\partial}-1}{\partial}\lambda_\alpha\otimes r^\alpha](z,w).$$

We have then

$$(z-w)\widetilde{q}_2^{-}(z,w)_{|w=z}t(z,z) = (z-w)\widetilde{q}_2^{+}(z,w)_{|w=z}.$$

It follows that

$$(z-w)\widetilde{q}_2^{-}(w,z)_{z\ll w|w=z}t(z,z) = (z-w)\widetilde{q}_2^{+}(w,z)_{z\ll w|w=z}.$$

Since we have

$$\widetilde{q}_2^{-}(z,w)\widetilde{q}_2^{-}(w,z)_{|z\ll w} = \widetilde{q}_2^{+}(z,w)\widetilde{q}_2^{+}(w,z)_{|z\ll w},$$

we get $t(z,z)^2 = 1$, as wanted (one can actually show that $t(z,z) = 1$).

The right sides of (21) and (22) belong to $1+\hbar R^{\otimes 2}[[\hbar]]$, let us denote them as $1+\hbar\tilde{s}$ and $1+\hbar\tilde{t}$. We have seen that $\tilde{s}(z,z) = \tilde{t}(z,z)$, therefore by Lemma 3.5, the system of equations (21) and (22) has a solution α' in $1+\hbar R^{\otimes 3}[[\hbar]]$. We then set $\beta' = \alpha'/$ right side of (7). □

This ends the proof of Thm. 3.2. □

4. The Hopf algebras $(U_\hbar\mathfrak{g},\Delta)$ and $(U_\hbar\mathfrak{g},\bar{\Delta})$

4.1. **The algebras $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_\pm$.** Let $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j=1,\ldots,n}$ be a Cartan matrix of finite type. Let $V = \oplus_{i=1}^n\mathbb{C}e_i$ be a vector space of dimension n. Let $T(V\otimes\mathcal{K})$ be the tensor algebra of $V\otimes\mathcal{K}$ and let $T(V\otimes\mathcal{K})[[\hbar]]$ be its $\hbar$-adic completion. Let us set $e_i[\phi] = e_i\otimes\phi$ and $e_i(z) = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}}e_i[r^\alpha]\lambda_\alpha(z) + e_i[\lambda_\alpha]r^\alpha(z)$,

We will define $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+$ as the quotient of $T(V\otimes\mathcal{K})[[\hbar]]$ by the $\hbar$-adically closed two-sided ideal generated by the coefficients of monomials in the identities

$$(q^{d_ia_{ij}\partial}w_s - z_s)(e_i)_s(z)(e_j)_s(w) = i_{d_ia_{ij},s}(z,w)(w_s - q^{d_ia_{ij}\partial}z_s)(e_j)_s(w)(e_i)_s(z), \tag{24}$$

$$e_i(z)_s e_j(w)_t = (q_{d_ia_{ij}})_{st}(z,w)e_j(w)_t e_i(z)_s, \tag{25}$$

and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} \sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_{1-a_{ij}}} A_{k,\sigma}(z,w_1,\dots,w_{1-a_{ij}}) e_i(z_{\sigma(1)})\cdots e_i(z_{\sigma(k)}) e_j(w) e_i(z_{\sigma(k+1)})\cdots e_i(z_{\sigma(1-a_{ij})}) = 0 \tag{26}$$

for any $i,j=1,\dots,n$ and any elements s,t of S such that $s\neq t$. As before $e_i(z)_s$ is the sth component of $e_i(z)$.

We will also define $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_-$ as follows. Let $V_- = \oplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{C} f_i$ be a complex vector space of dimension n. $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_-$ will be the quotient of $T(V_-\otimes\mathcal{K})[[\hbar]]$ by the $\hbar$-adically closed two-sided ideal generated by the relations

$$(q^{d_ia_{ij}\partial}w_s - z_s)(f_j)_s(w)(f_i)_s(z) = i_{d_ia_{ij},s}(z,w)(w_s - q^{d_ia_{ij}\partial}z_s)(f_i)_s(z)(f_j)_s(w), \tag{27}$$

$$f_i(z)_s f_j(w)_t = (q^{-1}_{d_ia_{ij}})_{st}(z,w) f_j(w)_t f_i(z)_s, \tag{28}$$

and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} \sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_{1-a_{ij}}} A_{k,\sigma}(z,w_1,\dots,w_{1-a_{ij}}) f_i(z_{\sigma(1-a_{ij})})\cdots f_i(z_{\sigma(k+1)}) f_j(w) f_i(z_{\sigma(k)})\cdots f_i(z_{\sigma(1)}) = 0 \tag{29}$$

for any $i,j=1,\dots,n$ and any pair of different elements s,t of S, where $f_i[\phi] = f_i\otimes\phi$ and $f_i(z) = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}} f_i[r^\alpha]\lambda_\alpha(z) + f_i[\lambda_\alpha]r^\alpha(z)$. $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_-$ is therefore isomorphic with the opposite algebra of $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+$.

4.2. **The algebra $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{h}$.** Let $H=\oplus_{i=1}^n\mathbb{C}h_i$ be a complex vector space of dimension n. Let us first define some generating series in $T((H\otimes\mathcal{K})\oplus\mathbb{C}D\oplus\mathbb{C}K)[[\hbar]]$.

Define $T_\sigma:\mathcal{K}\to\mathcal{K}$ by

$$T_\sigma(\epsilon) = \frac{q^{\sigma\partial/2}-q^{-\sigma\partial/2}}{\hbar\partial}\epsilon + \frac{1}{\hbar}\langle\tau_\sigma, id\otimes\epsilon\rangle_{\mathcal{K}},$$

and set $T_{ij} = T_{d_ia_{ij}}$, for $i,j=1,\dots,n$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let T be the endomorphism of $R^n[[\hbar]]$ defined by $T(r_i)_{i=1,\dots,n} = (\sum_{k=1}^n T_{ki}r_k)_{i=1,\dots,n}$. Then T is invertible.*

Proof. The reduction of T modulo $\hbar$ coincides with the action of the symmetrized Cartan matrix $(d_ia_{ij})_{i,j=1,\dots,n}$, which is invertible (because $\mathfrak{a}$ was assumed semisimple). ☐

Let A_σ be the linear operator from Λ to R defined by

$$A_\sigma(\lambda) = \langle\lambda\otimes id, \frac{1}{2}(\partial_z+\partial_w)\ln q_\sigma(z,w)\rangle_{\mathcal{K}},$$

and $A_{ij} = A_{d_ia_{ij}}$, for $i,j=1,\dots,n$.

Let us define $U_\sigma : \Lambda \to R$ by $U_\sigma(\lambda) = -\frac{1}{\hbar}\langle \tau_\sigma, id \otimes \lambda\rangle_{\mathcal{K}}$. Let us set $U_{ij} = U_{d_i a_{ij}}$. It follows from Lemma 4.1 that there exist unique linear maps $\rho_{ij} : \Lambda \to R$, $i, j = 1, \dots, n$, such that

$$U_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} T_{kj} \circ \rho_{ik}.$$

It follows also from Lemma 4.1 that there exist unique linear operators $C_{ij} : \Lambda \to R$, such that $\sum_{k=1}^{n} T_{kj} \circ C_{ik} = A_{ij}$, for $i, j = 1, \dots, n$.

We set then, for λ in Λ and $i = 1, \dots, n$, $\widetilde{h}_i[\lambda] = \sum_{j=1}^{n} h_j[\rho_{ij}(\lambda)]$, and

$$h_i^+(z) = \sum_\alpha h_i[r^\alpha]\lambda_\alpha(z) + \sum_\alpha \widetilde{h}_i[\lambda_\alpha]r^\alpha(z), \quad h_i^-(z) = \sum_\alpha h_i[\lambda_\alpha]r^\alpha(z),$$

$$K_i^+(z) = q^{h_i^+(z)} \quad K_i^-(z) = q^{h_i^-(z)},$$

and for $\epsilon \in \mathcal{K}$,

$$K_i^+[\epsilon] = \sum_{s\in S} \mathrm{res}_{z=s}(K_i^+(z)\epsilon(z)\omega_z), \ (K_i^-)^{-1}[\epsilon] = \sum_{s\in S} \mathrm{res}_s((K_i^-)^{-1}(z)\epsilon(z)\omega_z)$$

(so $(K_i^-)^{-1}[r] = 0$ for any r in R). We also set

$$H_i[\lambda] = \sum_{j=1}^{n} h_j[C_{ij}(\lambda)], \quad H_i(z) = \sum_{\alpha\geq 0} H_i[\lambda_\alpha]r^\alpha(z).$$

Define $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{h}$ as the quotient of $T((H \otimes \mathcal{K}) \oplus \mathbb{C}D \oplus \mathbb{C}K)[[\hbar]]$ by the $\hbar$-adically closed two-sided ideal generated by the relations

$$[K, h_i[\phi]] = 0, \quad [K, D] = 0,$$

$$[h_i[r], h_j[r']] = 0, \quad [h_i[r], h_j[\lambda]] = \frac{1}{\hbar}\langle (1 - q^{-K\partial})T_{ij}r, \lambda\rangle_{\mathcal{K}}, \tag{30}$$

$$[h_i[\lambda], h_j[\lambda']] = \frac{1}{\hbar}\langle (q^{-K\partial} \otimes q^{-K\partial} - 1)\sum_\alpha T_{ij}\lambda_\alpha \otimes r^\alpha, \lambda \otimes \lambda'\rangle_{\mathcal{K}\otimes\mathcal{K}} \tag{31}$$

$$[D, h_i[r]] = h_i[\partial r], \text{ for each } r \in R, \tag{32}$$

$$[D, (K_i^-)^{-1}[\lambda]] = (K_i^-)^{-1}[\partial\lambda] + \sum_{s\in S} \mathrm{res}_s\left((H_i(z) + H_i(q^{-K\partial}z) + \psi_i(z))K_i^-(z)^{-1}\lambda(z)\omega(z)\right), \tag{33}$$

for r, r' in R, λ, λ' in Λ and $i, j = 1, \dots, n$, where we set $h_i[\phi] = h_i \otimes \phi$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and ϕ in $\mathcal{K}$. We also set

$$\psi_i(z) = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{z'} + \partial_z)\ln q_{ii}(z', z)_{|z'=q^{-K\partial}z}.$$

We denote by $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{\mathcal{K}\otimes\mathcal{K}}$ the tensor square of $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{\mathcal{K}}$; it is a bilinear form on $\mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{K}$. We also write, if $\phi = \sum_i \phi_i' \otimes \phi_i''$, $\langle \phi, id \otimes x\rangle_{\mathcal{K}} = \sum_i \phi_i'\langle \phi_i'', x\rangle_{\mathcal{K}}$, and $\langle \phi, x \otimes id\rangle_{\mathcal{K}} = \sum_i \phi_i''\langle \phi_i', x\rangle$.

4.3. **The algebra $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$.** Let $W = \oplus_{i=1}^n(\mathbb{C}e_i \oplus \mathbb{C}h_i \oplus \mathbb{C}f_i)$ be a complex vector space of dimension $3n$. For $i = 1, \dots, n$, ϕ in $\mathcal{K}$ and $x = e, f, h$, we again denote by $x_i[\phi]$ the element $x_i \otimes \phi$ of the tensor algebra $T((W \otimes \mathcal{K}) \oplus \mathbb{C}D \oplus \mathbb{C}K)[[\hbar]]$.

Let us define $H_i[\lambda]$ and $K_i^\pm(z)$ as the images of the elements of $H_i[\lambda]$ and $K_i^\pm(z)$ by the morphism $T((W \otimes \mathcal{K}) \oplus \mathbb{C}D \oplus \mathbb{C}K)[[\hbar]] \to T((H \otimes \mathcal{K}) \oplus \mathbb{C}D \oplus \mathbb{C}K)[[\hbar]]$, $h_i[\epsilon] \mapsto h_i[\epsilon], D \mapsto D, K \mapsto K$.

Define $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$ as the quotient of $T((W \otimes \mathcal{K}) \oplus \mathbb{C}D \oplus \mathbb{C}K)[[\hbar]]$ by the $\hbar$-adically closed ideal generated by relations (24), (25), (26), (27), (28), (29), (30), (31), (32), (33) and relations

$$[K, e_i[\epsilon]] = [K, f_i[\epsilon]] = 0,$$

$$[h_i[r], e_j[\epsilon]] = e_j[T_{ij}(r)\epsilon], \quad [h_i[\lambda], e_j[\epsilon]] = e_j[(q^{-K\partial}T_{ij})(\lambda)\epsilon], \tag{34}$$

$$[h_i[\epsilon], f_j[\epsilon']] = -f_j[T_{ij}(\epsilon)\epsilon'], \tag{35}$$

$$[e_i[\epsilon], f_j[\epsilon']] = \frac{\delta_{ij}}{\hbar}\left(K_i^+[\epsilon\epsilon'] - (K_i^-)^{-1}[(q^{-K\partial}\epsilon)\epsilon']\right), \tag{36}$$

$$[D, e_i^\pm[\epsilon]] = e_i^\pm[\partial\epsilon] + \sum_\beta H_i[(\epsilon\epsilon^\beta)_\Lambda]e_i^\pm[\epsilon_\beta], \tag{37}$$

for ϵ, ϵ' in $\mathcal{K}$, r in R and λ in Λ, where $\sum_\beta \epsilon^\beta \otimes \epsilon_\beta = \sum_\alpha r^\alpha \otimes \lambda_\alpha + \lambda_\alpha \otimes r^\alpha$.

Remark 1. *Generating series.* Let us set $q_{ij}(z,w) = q_{d_i a_{ij}}(z,w)$. For $a(z), b(z)$ series in $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}[[z,z^{-1}]]$, and $\epsilon(z,w)$ an element of $\mathbb{C}((z))((w))[[\hbar]]$ or $\mathbb{C}((w))((z))[[\hbar]]$, let us write the equality $a(z)b(w)a(z)^{-1} = \epsilon(z,w)b(w)$ as $(a(z), b(w)) = \epsilon(z,w)$. Then if we set $e_i(z) = \sum_\beta e[\epsilon^\beta]\epsilon_\beta(z)$, $f_i(z) = \sum_\beta f[\epsilon^\beta]\epsilon_\beta(z)$, relations (30), (31), (34) and (35) are expressed as

$$(K_i^+(z), K_j^+(w)) = 1, \quad (K_i^+(z), K_j^-(w)) = \frac{q_{ij}(z,w)}{q_{ij}(z, q^{-K\partial}w)},$$
$$(K_i^-(z), K_j^-(w)) = \frac{q_{ij}(q^{-K\partial}z, q^{-K\partial}w)}{q_{ij}(z,w)},$$

and

$$(K_i^+(z), e_j(w)) = q_{ij}(z,w), \quad (K_i^-(z), e_j(w)) = q_{ij}(w, q^{-K\partial}z),$$
$$(K_i^+(z), f_j(w)) = q_{ij}(z,w)^{-1}, \quad (K_i^-(z), f_j(w)) = q_{ij}(w,z)^{-1}.$$

In the same way, if we set $e_i^+ = e_i, e_i^- = f_i$, relations (24), (25), (27) and (28) are expressed as

$$\left(\alpha(z) - \alpha(q^{\pm d_i a_{ij}\partial}w)\right) e_i^\pm(z)e_j^\pm(w) = \left(\alpha(z) - \alpha(q^{\pm d_i a_{ij}\partial}w)\right) q_{ij}(z,w)^{\pm 1}e_j^\pm(w)e_i^\pm(z), \tag{38}$$

for any α in $\mathcal{K}$.

We have also

$$[e_i(z), f_j(w)] = \frac{\delta_{ij}}{\hbar}[\delta(z,w)K_i^+(z) - q^{-K\partial_w}\{\delta(z,w)\}K_i^-(w)^{-1}],$$

where $\delta(z,w) = \sum_\alpha r^\alpha(z)\lambda_\alpha(w) + \lambda_\alpha(z) r^\alpha(z)$, and

$$[D, K_i^+(z)] = -\partial_z K_i^+(z) + 2H_i(z)K_i^+(z), \quad [D, e_i^\pm(z)] = (-\partial_z e_i^\pm + H_i e_i^\pm)(z),$$

$$[D, K_i^-(z)^{-1}] = -\partial_z K_i^-(z)^{-1} + \left(H_i(z) + H_i(q^{-K\partial} z) + \psi_i(z)\right) K_i^-(z)^{-1};$$

$H_i(z)$ also satisfies the relations

$$[H_i(z), e_j^\pm(w)] = \pm\frac{1}{2}(\partial_z + \partial_w)\ln q_{ij}(z,w) e_j^\pm(w),$$

Remark 2. As we will see in Prop. 5.2, there are natural embeddings of $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_\pm$ and of $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{h}$ in $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$; this justifies *a posteriori* that we denote elements of these algebras the same way as their images in $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$.

4.4. **Hopf algebra structures on $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$.** Let us set, for $i,j = 1,\dots,n$, $c^{ij} = \sum_{\alpha\geq 0} C_{ij}(\lambda_\alpha)\otimes r^\alpha$. Then c^{ij} is an element of $(R\otimes R)[[\hbar]]$.

Lemma 4.2. *There exist unique elements $(r^{ij})_{i,j=1,\dots,n}$ of $(R\otimes R)[[\hbar]]$, such that for any i,j, we have $\sum_{l=1}^n (id\otimes T_{li})(r^{jl}) = c^{ij}$. The r^{ij} also satisfy $\sum_{l=1}^n (T_{li}\otimes id)(r^{lj}) = -(c^{ij})^{(21)}$, for any $i,j = 1,\dots,n$.*

Proof. The existence and uniqueness of the r^{ij} follows from Lemma 4.1. Let us set $\alpha^{ij} = \sum_{\alpha\geq 0} A_{ij}(\lambda_\alpha)\otimes r^\alpha$. The c^{ij} are uniquely determined by the identities $\sum_{k=1}^n (T_{kj}\otimes id)(c^{ik}) = \alpha^{ij}$, for any $i,j = 1,\dots,n$. On the other hand, $[-\sum_{l=1}^n (T_{li}\otimes id)(r^{lj})]^{(21)}$ satisfies the same identities, because we have $\alpha^{ij} = -(\alpha^{ij})^{(21)}$. □

We define $r^{ij}_{\alpha\beta}$ as the elements of $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$ such that $r^{ij} = \sum_{\alpha,\beta\geq 0} r^{ij}_{\alpha\beta} r^\alpha \otimes r^\beta$.

Define completions of tensor powers of $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$ as follows. For N an integer, let I_N be the left ideal of $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$ generated by the $x[z_s^p], x \in \{e_{,i}\,, h_i, f_i, i = 1,\dots,n\}$, where $p\geq N$. Let us set, for k integer,

$$U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}^{\otimes < k} = \lim_{\leftarrow l}\lim_{\leftarrow N} U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}^{\otimes k}/(\sum_{p=0}^{k-2} U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}^{\otimes p}\otimes I_N \otimes U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}^{\otimes k-1-p} + \hbar^l U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}^{\otimes k}),$$

and

$$U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}^{\otimes > k} = \lim_{\leftarrow l}\lim_{\leftarrow N} U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}^{\otimes k}/(\sum_{p=1}^{k-1} U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}^{\otimes p}\otimes I_N \otimes U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}^{\otimes k-1-p} + \hbar^l U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}^{\otimes k}),$$

where all tensor products are over $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$.

For any x in $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$ and any integers N and $l\geq 0$, there exists an integer $N'(x,N,l)$ such that $I_{N'(x,N,l)}x \subset I_N + \hbar^l U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$. It follows that the above tensor products are endowed with algebra structures.

Proposition 4.1. *There exists a unique algebra morphism* Δ *from* $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$ *to* $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}\otimes_< U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$*, such that*

$$\Delta(K) = K\otimes 1+1\otimes K, \quad \Delta(D) = D\otimes 1+1\otimes D+\sum_{i,j=1,\dots,n,\alpha,\beta\geq 0} r^{ij}_{\alpha\beta}h_i[r^\alpha]\otimes h_j[r^\beta],$$

$$\Delta(h_i[r]) = h_i[r]\otimes 1+1\otimes h_i[r], \quad \Delta(h_i[\lambda]) = h_i[\lambda]\otimes 1+1\otimes h_i[(q^{K_1\partial}\lambda)_\Lambda]$$

for $r\in R, \lambda\in\Lambda$*,*

$$\Delta(e_i[\epsilon]) = \sum_\beta e_i[\epsilon^\beta]\otimes K_i^+[\epsilon\epsilon_\beta]+1\otimes e_i[\epsilon], \ \Delta(f_i[\epsilon]) = f_i[\epsilon]\otimes 1+\sum_\beta (K_i^-)^{-1}[\epsilon\epsilon^\beta]\otimes f_i[q^{-K_1\partial}\epsilon_\beta]$$

for $\epsilon\in\mathcal{K}$*. We set* $\sum_\beta \epsilon^\beta\otimes\epsilon_\beta = \sum_{\alpha\geq 0} r^\alpha\otimes\lambda_\alpha + \sum_{\alpha\geq 0}\lambda_\alpha\otimes r^\alpha$*, and* $K_1 = K\otimes 1$*.*

Moreover, for each integers N *and* $p\geq 0$*, there exists an integer* $N'(N,p)$ *such that* $\Delta(I_{N'(N,p)})$ *is contained in the completion of* $\hbar^p U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}^{\otimes 2}+I_N\otimes U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$*.*

Proof. For λ in Λ, $\Delta(h_i[\lambda])$ belongs to the $\hbar$-adic completion of $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}\otimes_{\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]}U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$. On the other hand, for any ϵ in $\mathcal{K}$, both $K_i^+[\epsilon\lambda_\alpha]$ and $(K_i^-)^{-1}[\epsilon r_\alpha]$ tend to zero (in the $\hbar$-adic topology) in $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$ when α tends to infinity, and $e_i[\lambda_\alpha]$ and $(K_i^-)^{-1}[\epsilon\lambda_\alpha]$ tend to zero in the topology defined by the I_N, so that $\Delta(e_i[\epsilon])$ and $\Delta(f_i[\epsilon])$ both converge in $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}\otimes_< U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$.

After we write Δ in terms of generating series as

$$\Delta(K_i^+(z)) = K_i^+(z)\otimes K_i^+(z), \quad \Delta(K_i^-(z)) = K_i^-(z)\otimes K_i^-(q^{-K_1\partial}z),$$
$$\Delta(e_i(z)) = e_i(z)\otimes K_i^+(z)+1\otimes e_i(z),$$
$$\Delta(f_i(z)) = f_i(z)\otimes 1+K_i^-(z)^{-1}\otimes f_i(q^{-K_1\partial}z),$$

it is easy to check that the extension of Δ to the free algebra $T((W\otimes\mathcal{K})\oplus\mathbb{C}D\oplus\mathbb{C}K)[[\hbar]]$ maps all quadratic relations of $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$ relations to zero; in the case of the Serre relations, this follows from the identities (3). The statement on $\Delta(I_N)$ is immediate. ☐

There is a unique algebra morphism $\varepsilon : U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}\to\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$, such that $\varepsilon(x[\epsilon]) = \varepsilon(K) = \varepsilon(D) = 0$, for $x = h_i, e_i, f_i$ and $\epsilon\in\mathcal{K}$. There is also a unique algebra morphism $S : U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}\to\lim_{\leftarrow p}\lim_{\leftarrow N}U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}/(I_N+\hbar^p U_\hbar\mathfrak{g})$, such that

$$S(K) = -K, \quad S(D) = -D+\sum_{i,j,\alpha,\beta} r^{ij}_{\alpha\beta}h_i[r^\alpha]h_i[r^\beta],$$

$$S(h_i[r]) = -h_i[r], \quad S(h_i[\lambda]) = -h_i[(q^{-K\partial}\lambda)_\Lambda],$$

$$S(e_i[\epsilon]) = -\sum_\beta e_i[\epsilon\epsilon^\beta](K_i^+)^{-1}[\epsilon_\beta], \quad S(f_i[\epsilon]) = -\sum_\beta K_i^-[\epsilon^\beta]f_i[(q^{-K\partial}\epsilon)\epsilon_\beta],$$

where we set

$$(K_i^+)^{-1}[\epsilon] = \sum_{s\in S}\mathrm{res}_{z=s}(K_i^+(z)^{-1}\epsilon(z)\omega(z)), \quad K_i^-[\epsilon] = \sum_{s\in S}\mathrm{res}_{z=s}(K_i^-(z)\epsilon(z)\omega(z)).$$

S is continuous in the topology defined by the I_N and has therefore a unique extension to an algebra automorphism of $\lim_{\leftarrow p}\lim_{\leftarrow N}U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}/(I_N+\hbar^p U_\hbar\mathfrak{g})$.

Proposition 4.2. *$(U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}, \Delta, \varepsilon, S)$ is a topological Hopf algebra.*

Here "topological" should be understood in the sense that in the Hopf algebra axioms, tensor powers should be replaced by their completions $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}^{\otimes<k}$, and one factor $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$ should be replaced by $\lim_{\leftarrow N} U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}/I_N$ in each of the two antipode axioms.

$(U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}, \Delta, \varepsilon, S)$ also induces a topological Hopf algebra structure on $\lim_{\leftarrow p}\lim_{\leftarrow N} U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}/(I_N + \hbar^p U_\hbar\mathfrak{g})$.

Proposition 4.3. *There exists a unique algebra morphism $\bar\Delta$ from $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$ to $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}\otimes_> U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$ such that*

$$\bar\Delta(K) = \Delta(K), \quad \bar\Delta(D) = \Delta(D), \quad \bar\Delta(h_i[r]) = \Delta(h_i[r])$$

for $r \in R$,

$$\bar\Delta(h_i[\lambda]) = h_i[(q^{K_2\partial}\lambda)_\Lambda] \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes h_i[\lambda]$$

for $\lambda \in \Lambda$, and

$$\bar\Delta(e_i[\epsilon]) = e_i[\epsilon]\otimes 1+\sum_\beta (K_i^-)^{-1}[(q^{-K_1\partial}\epsilon)\epsilon^\beta]\otimes e_i[\epsilon_\beta], \bar\Delta(f_i[\epsilon]) = \sum_\beta f_i[\epsilon^\beta]\otimes K_i^+[\epsilon\epsilon_\beta]+1\otimes f_i[\epsilon],$$

where we set $K_2 = 1 \otimes K$. For any integers N and $p \geq 0$, there exists an integer $N''(N,p)$ such that $\bar\Delta(I_{N''(N,p)})$ is contained in the completion of $\hbar^p U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}^{\otimes 2} + U_\hbar\mathfrak{g} \otimes I_N$.

There is a unique algebra morphism $\bar S : U_\hbar\mathfrak{g} \to \lim_{\leftarrow p}\lim_{\leftarrow N} U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}/(I_N + \hbar^p U_\hbar\mathfrak{g})$, such that

$$\bar S(K) = -K, \quad \bar S(D) = S(D), \quad \bar S(h_i[r]) = -h_i[r]$$

for r in R, and

$$\bar S(h_i[\lambda]) = -h_i[(q^{-K\partial}\lambda)_\Lambda],$$

$$\bar S(e_i[\epsilon]) = -\sum_\beta K_i^-[\epsilon^\beta] e_i[q^{K\partial}(\epsilon\epsilon_\beta)], \quad \bar S(f_i[\epsilon]) = -\sum_\beta f_i[\epsilon\epsilon^\beta](K_i^+)^{-1}[\epsilon_\beta].$$

Proposition 4.4. *$(U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}, \bar\Delta, \varepsilon, \bar S)$ is a topological Hopf algebra.*

Remark 3. The formulas defining $S, \bar\Delta$ and $\bar S$ can be rewritten as

$$S(e_i(z)) = -e_i(z)K_i^+(z)^{-1}, \quad S(f_i(z)) = -K_i^-(z)f_i(q^{K\partial}z), \quad S(K_i^-(z)) = K_i^-(q^{K\partial}z)^{-1},$$

$$\bar\Delta(e_i(z)) = e_i(z)\otimes 1+K_i^-(q^{K_1\partial}z)^{-1}\otimes e_i(q^{K_1\partial}z), \bar\Delta(f_i(z)) = f_i(z)\otimes K_i^+(z)+1\otimes f_i(z),$$

$$\bar\Delta(K_i^-(z)) = K_i^-(q^{-K_2\partial}z) \otimes K_i^-(z)$$

and

$$\bar S(e_i(z)) = -K_i^-(z)e_i(q^{-K\partial}z), \quad \bar S(f_i(z)) = -f_i(z)K_i^+(z), \quad \bar S(K_i^-(z)) = K_i^-(q^{-K\partial}z)^{-1}.$$

Remark 4. Let J_N be the left ideal generated by the $e_i[z_s^l]$, $x \in \{e, f, h\}$, $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $s \in S$, $l \geq N$. The formulas defining $\Delta, S, \bar\Delta$ and $\bar S$ also define topological Hopf algebra structures $(U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}, \Delta_{(J_N)})$, $(U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}, \bar\Delta_{(J_N)})$, where the tensor powers of $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$ are completed using the family J_N instead of I_N.

In Theorem 9.1, we are going to construct a quasi-Hopf algebra structure on an algebra $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}^{out}$, starting from Δ and $\bar\Delta$. One could also construct a quasi-Hopf structure starting from $\Delta_{(J_N)}$ and $\bar\Delta_{(J_N)}$. This quasi-Hopf algebra structure is probably equivalent to that obtained in Theorem 9.1.

5. PBW THEOREMS

The proofs of the PBW theorems for $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+$ and $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$ will follow the proofs of [4], which rely on Lie bialgebra duality.

5.1. **The case of $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+$.**

Let us denote by $(\bar e_i, \bar h_i, \bar f_i)_{i=1,\dots,n}$ the Chevalley generators of $\mathfrak{a}$.

Theorem 5.1. *$U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+$ is a topologically free algebra over $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$, and the map $e_i[\epsilon] \mapsto \bar e_i \otimes \epsilon$ induces an isomorphism from $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+/\hbar U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+$ to $UL\mathfrak{n}_+$.*

Proof of Thm. 5.1. Following Feigin and Odesskii ([11]), define a functional shuffle algebra as follows. Set $FO = \oplus_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{N}^n} FO_{\mathbf{k}}$, where $FO_{\mathbf{k}}$ is the subspace of $\mathbb{C}((t_1))\dots((t_N))[[\hbar]]$ formed of the series of the form

$$\frac{f(t_1, \dots, t_N)}{\prod_{1\leq i<j\leq N, \alpha(i)\neq\alpha(j)}(t_i - t_j)},$$

where $N = \sum_{\sigma=1}^n k_\sigma$, f belongs to $\mathbb{C}[[t_1, \dots, t_N]][t_1^{-1}, \dots, t_N^{-1}][[\hbar]]$ and is symmetric in each group of variables $(t_j^{(\sigma)})_{1\leq j\leq k_\sigma}$, we set $t_k^{(\sigma)} = t_{k_1+\dots+k_{\sigma-1}+k}$ for $k = 1, \dots, k_\sigma$, $\alpha(k_1 + \dots + k_{\sigma-1} + k) = \alpha_\sigma$ for $k = 1, \dots, k_\sigma$; by convention, $\frac{1}{a-b} = \sum_{i\geq 0} a^{-i-1} b^i$.

For any integer σ, $\tau_\sigma + \sum_{\alpha\geq 0}(\frac{1-q^{-\sigma\partial/2}}{\partial}\lambda_\alpha)_R \otimes r^\alpha$ is an antisymmetric element of $\hbar(R\otimes R)[[\hbar]]$. Let us fix α_σ in $\hbar(R\otimes R)[[\hbar]]$ such that

$$\alpha_\sigma - \alpha_\sigma^{(21)} = \tau_\sigma + \sum_{\alpha\geq 0}(\frac{1-q^{-\sigma\partial/2}}{\partial}\lambda_\alpha)_R \otimes r^\alpha;$$

for example, we may set $\alpha_\sigma = \frac{1}{2}(\tau_\sigma + \sum_{\alpha\geq 0}(\frac{1-q^{-\sigma\partial/2}}{\partial}\lambda_\alpha)_R \otimes r^\alpha)$.

Let us set

$$q_\sigma^+(z, w) = \exp\left(\sum_{\alpha\geq 0}\frac{q^{\sigma\partial/2}-1}{\partial}\lambda_\alpha(z) r^\alpha(w)\right)\exp(\alpha_\sigma)(z, w);$$

we have then

$$q_\sigma^+(z, w)/q_\sigma^+(w, z)_{w\ll z} = q_\sigma(z, w).$$

Define a composition law $FO_{\mathbf{k}} \times FO_{\mathbf{l}} \to FO_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{l}}$ by

$$(f * g)(t_j^{(i)}) = \mathrm{Sym}_{t_1^{(1)},\ldots,t_{k_1+l_1}^{(1)}} \cdots \mathrm{Sym}_{t_1^{(n)},\ldots,t_{k_n+l_n}^{(n)}} \{\prod_{i=1}^{N} \prod_{j=N+1}^{N+M} q^{+}_{\langle\alpha(i),\alpha(j)\rangle}(t_i,t_j) f(t_1,\ldots,t_N) g(t_{N+1},\ldots,t_{N+M})\}, \tag{39}$$

where $N = \sum_i k_i$, $M = \sum_i l_i$,

$$\alpha(k_1 + \cdots + k_{\sigma-1} + i) = \alpha(N + l_1 + \cdots + l_{\sigma-1} + j) = \delta_\sigma,$$

for $i = 1, \ldots, k_\sigma$ and $j = 1, \ldots, l_\sigma$, $\langle\delta_\sigma, \delta_\tau\rangle = d_\sigma a_{\sigma\tau}$ and

$$t_{k_1+\cdots+k_{\sigma-1}+i} = t_i^{(\sigma)}, \quad t_{N+l_1+\cdots+l_{\sigma-1}+j} = t_{k_\sigma+j}^{(\sigma)}$$

for $i = 1, \ldots, k_\sigma$ and $j = 1, \ldots, l_\sigma$. Here $\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_n$ are the basis vectors of $\mathbb{N}^n$.

One checks directly that $(FO, *)$ is an associative algebra.

Proposition 5.1. *There is a unique algebra morphism from* $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+$ *to* FO*, sending* $e_i[\phi]$ *to* $\phi \in FO_{\alpha_i}$*, for any* ϕ *in* $\mathcal{K}$ *and* $i = 1, \ldots, n$*. Here* α_i *is the ith basis vector of* $\mathbb{N}^n$*.*

Proof. The fact that the vertex relations are sent to zero is immediate; the fact that the quantum Serre relations are sent to zero follows from the identities (26). □

Define $\langle LV\rangle$ as the $\hbar$-adically complete subalgebra of FO generated by the $FO_{\alpha_i}, i = 1, \ldots, n$.

For λ in Λ and i in $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, define endomorphisms $\delta_i[\lambda]$ of FO as follows: for $f \in FO_{\mathbf{k}}$, $\delta_i[\lambda](f)$ belongs to $FO_{\mathbf{k}}$ and

$$(\delta_i[\lambda] f)(t_1, \ldots, t_N) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{k_j} (T_{ij}\lambda)(t_k^{(j)}) \right) f(t_1, \ldots, t_N).$$

The $\delta_i[\lambda]$ define commuting derivations of FO, which preserve $\langle LV\rangle$.

Define $\mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{S}$ as the semidirect products of $\langle LV\rangle$ and of FO by this commuting family of derivations. Explicitly, we have

$$\mathcal{V} = \lim_{\leftarrow N} \langle LV\rangle \otimes \mathbb{C}[h_i[\lambda_\alpha]^{\mathcal{S}}, i = 1, \ldots, n, \alpha \geq 0]/(\hbar^N),$$

$$\mathcal{S} = \lim_{\leftarrow N} FO \otimes \mathbb{C}[h_i[\lambda_\alpha]^{\mathcal{S}}, i = 1, \ldots, n, \alpha \geq 0]/(\hbar^N),$$

and the product maps are defined in $\mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{S}$ by

$$\left(\sum_{n(i,\alpha)\geq 0} \phi_{n(i,\alpha)} \otimes \prod_i \prod_\alpha (h_i[\lambda_\alpha]^{\mathcal{S}})^{n(i,\alpha)} \right) \left(\sum_{m(i,\alpha)\geq 0} \psi_{m(i,\alpha)} \otimes \prod_i \prod_\alpha (h_i[\lambda_\alpha]^{\mathcal{S}})^{m(i,\alpha)} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{n(i,\alpha), m(i,\alpha)\geq 0} \phi_{n(i,\alpha)} \prod_i \prod_\alpha \delta_i[\lambda_\alpha]^{n(i,\alpha)}(\psi_{m(i,\alpha)}) \otimes \prod_i \prod_\alpha (h_i[\lambda_\alpha]^{\mathcal{S}})^{n(i,\alpha)+m(i,\alpha)}.$$

$\mathcal{V}$ is then a subalgebra of $\mathcal{S}$.

Define $\mathcal{I}_N$ as the complete left ideal of $\mathcal{S}$ generated by the $h_i[\lambda_\alpha], i = 1, \dots, n, \alpha \geq N$.

Define a topological Hopf algebra structure on $\mathcal{S}$ as follows. Let us set $K_i^-(z)^{\mathcal{S}} = \exp(\hbar \sum_\alpha h_i[\lambda_\alpha]^{\mathcal{S}} r^\alpha(z))$, and for ϵ in $\mathcal{K}$, let us set

$$(K_i^-)^{-1}[\epsilon]^{\mathcal{S}} = \sum_{s \in S} \mathrm{res}_{z=s}([K_i^-(z)^{\mathcal{S}}]^{-1} \epsilon(z) \omega(z)).$$

There is a unique algebra morphism $\Delta_{\mathcal{S}}$ from from $\mathcal{S}$ to $\lim_{\leftarrow N,m}(\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{S})/(\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{I}_N + \hbar^m \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{S})$, such that Let us set

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{S}}(h_i[\lambda]^{\mathcal{S}}) = h_i[\lambda]^{\mathcal{S}} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes h_i[\lambda]^{\mathcal{S}}$$

for $\lambda \in \Lambda$, and for $P \in FO_{\mathbf{k}}$, $\Delta_{\mathcal{S}}(P) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}' + \mathbf{k}'' = \mathbf{k}} \Delta_{\mathcal{S}}^{\mathbf{k}', \mathbf{k}''}(P)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\mathcal{S}}^{\mathbf{k}', \mathbf{k}''}(P) = \sum_{\nu; \nu_1, \dots, \nu_N \in \mathbb{Z}} & \left(\prod_{i=1}^{N'} \epsilon_{\nu_i}(u_i) P'_\nu(u_1, \dots, u_{N'}) \right) \\ & \otimes \left(P''_\nu(u_{N'+1}, \dots, u_N) \prod_{i=1}^{N'} (K^-_{\epsilon(i)})^{-1}[\epsilon^{\nu_i}]^{\mathcal{S}} \right); \end{aligned}$$

we set

$$N' = \sum_{i=1}^n k'_i, \; u_{\sum_{j=1}^{\sigma-1} k'_j + l} = t_l^{\prime(\sigma)} \text{ for } l = 1, \dots, k'_\sigma, \; u_{N' + \sum_{j=1}^{\sigma-1} k''_j + l} = t_l^{\prime\prime(\sigma)} \text{ for } l = 1, \dots, k''_\sigma,$$

where the $t_\alpha^{\prime(\sigma)}$ and $t_\alpha^{\prime\prime(\sigma)}$ are the analogues of the variables $t_\alpha^{(\sigma)}$ for the first and second copy of $\mathcal{S}$, and we set

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_\alpha P'_\nu(v_1, \dots, v_{N'}) P''_\nu(v_{N'+1}, \dots, v_N) \\ & = P(t_1, \dots, t_N) \prod_{i=1, \dots, N', j = N'+1, \dots, N} q^+_{\langle \alpha(i), \alpha(j) \rangle}(v_i, v_j)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where we recall that $t_{\sum_{j=1}^{\sigma-1} k_j + l} = t_l^{(\sigma)}$ for $l = 1, \dots, k_\sigma$ and we set $v_{\sum_{j=1}^{\sigma-1} k'_j + l} = t_l^{(\sigma)}$ for $l = 1, \dots, k'_\sigma$, $v_{N' + \sum_{j=1}^{\sigma-1} k''_j + l} = t^{(\sigma)}_{k'_\sigma + l}$ for $l = 1, \dots, k''_\sigma$ and $\alpha(\sum_{j=1}^{\sigma-1} k'_j + l) = \alpha(N' + \sum_{j=1}^{\sigma-1} k''_j + l') = \alpha_\sigma$ for $l = 1, \dots, k'_\sigma$ and $l' = 1, \dots, k''_\sigma$, and $\langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j \rangle = d_i a_{ij}$.

$\Delta_{\mathcal{S}}$ defines a topological Hopf algebra structure on $\mathcal{S}$; $\mathcal{V}$ is then a Hopf subalgebra of $\mathcal{S}$.

Let us define $U_\hbar \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_+$ as the quotient of the subalgebra of $U_\hbar \mathfrak{g}$ generated by K, the $h_i[\lambda], \lambda \in \Lambda$ and $e_i[\epsilon], \epsilon \in \mathcal{K}$, by the ideal generated by K. The opposite coproduct $\bar{\Delta}'$ to $\bar{\Delta}$ induces a topological Hopf algebra structure on $U_\hbar \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_+$. The map $x_{i,\alpha} \mapsto h_i[\lambda_\alpha]$ composed with the product map defines a topological $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module isomorphism between $\lim_{\leftarrow N}\{\mathbb{C}[x_{i,\alpha}, i = 1, \dots, n, \alpha \geq 0] \otimes U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+\}/(\hbar^N)$ and $U_\hbar \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_+$.

Moreover, the map $p : U_\hbar\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_+ \to \mathcal{V}$ defined by $p(h_i[\lambda]) = h_i[\lambda]^{\mathcal{S}}$, $p(e_i[\epsilon]) = \epsilon \in FO_{\alpha_i}$ is a morphism of topological Hopf algebras from $(U_\hbar\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_+, \bar\Delta')$ to $(\mathcal{V}, \Delta_{\mathcal{V}})$.

Let us denote by $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_+$ the Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{a} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ equal to $(\mathfrak{h} \otimes \Lambda) \oplus (\mathfrak{n}_+ \otimes \mathfrak{h})$. The specialization $\hbar = 0$ in the quantum Serre relations (26) yields the usual Serre relations, therefore $U_\hbar\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_+/\hbar U_\hbar\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_+$ is isomorphic to the cocommutative Hopf algebra $U\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_+$. Moreover, the grading of $\mathfrak{a}$ by the lattice of roots induces a grading of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_+$ by the same lattice.

$\mathcal{V}$ is a torsion-free, $\hbar$-adically complete $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module, it is therefore topologically free over $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$. Moreover, $\mathcal{V}_0 = \mathcal{V}/\hbar\mathcal{V}$ is a cocommutative Hopf algebra, and p induces a Hopf co-Poisson algebra map p_0 from $U\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_+$ to $\mathcal{V}_0$. Let $\mathbf{i}$ be the intersection $\mathrm{Ker}(p_0) \cap \mathfrak{g}_+$. $\mathbf{i}$ is a graded Lie algebra ideal of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_+$, so $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_+/\mathbf{i}$ has a graded Lie algebra structure and $\mathcal{V}_0$ is isomorphic to the enveloping algebra $U(\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_+/\mathbf{i})$. One also checks that the intersection of $\mathbf{i}$ with the homogeneous parts of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_+$ of principal degrees zero and one, are zero.

Moreover, $p_{0|\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_+}$ induces also a Lie bialgebra map from $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_+$ to $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_+/\mathbf{i}$. It follows that the dual map $p^*_{0|\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_+}$ to $p_{0|\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_+}$ induces a Lie algebra map from $\mathbf{i}^\perp$ to $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_+^*$. But $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_+^*$ is isomorphic to the opposite Lie algebra to $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_- = (\mathfrak{h} \otimes R) \oplus (\mathfrak{n}_- \otimes \mathcal{K})$. $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_-$ is generated by its homogeneous parts of principal degrees zero and one, and the restriction of $p^*_{0|\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_+}$ to these degrees is an isomorphism, therefore the image of $p^*_{0|\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_+}$ contains these homogeneous parts of $\mathfrak{g}_-^*$. It follows that $p^*_{0|\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_+}$ is surjective, so $p_{0|\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_+}$ is injective. Since $p_{0|\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_+}$ is obviously surjective, it is an isomorphism. Therefore $\mathbf{i} = 0$, $\mathcal{V}_0$ is isomorphic to $U\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_+$ and p_0 is an isomorphism. Now p is a morphism from a $\hbar$-adically complete $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module to a topologically free $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module, which induces an isomophism between the associated $\mathbb{C}$-vector spaces, therefore p is an isomorphism. □

5.2. **PBW theorem for $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$.**

Proposition 5.2. *There are unique algebra maps i_+, i_0 and i_- from $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+$, $U_\hbar\mathfrak{h}$ and $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_-$ to $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$, such that*

$$i_+(e_i[\phi]) = e_i[\phi], \quad i_0(h_i[\phi]) = h_i[\phi], i_0(D) = D, i_0(K) = K, \quad i_-(f_i[\phi]) = f_i[\phi].$$

The composition of the tensor product $i_+ \otimes i_0 \otimes i_-$ of these maps with the product map in $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$ is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-modules from $\lim_{\leftarrow N}(U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+ \otimes U_\hbar\mathfrak{h} \otimes U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_-)/(\hbar^N)$ to $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$.

Proof. Let $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}'$ and $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{h}'$ the analogues of the algebras with the same generators and relations as $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$ and $U_\hbar\mathfrak{h}$, except for generator D. Assume that we have proved the analogue of the statement for $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}'$. Then one checks that there is a unique derivation $\widetilde{D}$ of $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}'$, such that $\widetilde{D}(K) = 0, \widetilde{D}(h_i[r]) = h_i[\partial r], \widetilde{D}((K_i^-)^{-1}[\lambda]) =$ the right side of (33), $\widetilde{D}(e_i^\pm[\epsilon]) =$ the right side of (37), for $i = 1, \dots, n, r \in R, \lambda \in \Lambda, \epsilon \in \mathcal{K}$. Then $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$ is isomorphic to the semidirect product of $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}'$ with $\widetilde{D}$; this implies the triangular decomposition for $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$.

Let us prove triangular decomposition for $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}'$. Let us denote by c the composition of the algebra maps $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_\pm \to U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}'$ and $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{h}' \to U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}'$ with the product map. Using relations (36), one can reorder any monomial in the generators of $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$ as a sum of monomials in the image of c, therefore c is surjective.

Let us construct a left Verma module V_+ and a right Verma module V_- over $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}'$ as follows. Define $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{b}_+$ as the algebra with generators $K, e_i[\epsilon]$ and $h_i[\epsilon]$, $i = 1, \dots, n, \epsilon \in \mathcal{K}$, and the relations of $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{h}'$, those of $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+$, (34) and $[K, e_i[\epsilon]] = 0$. The composition of the obvious morphisms with product in $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{b}_+$ defines an algebra isomorphism of $\lim_{\leftarrow N} U_\hbar L\mathfrak{h}' \otimes U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+/(\hbar^N)$ with $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{b}_+$.

As a $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module, V_+ is isomorphic to $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{b}_+$. The action of generators $e_i[\epsilon], h_i[\epsilon]$ and K of $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}'$ on V_+ is the same as left multiplication in $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{b}_+$. The action of $f_i[\epsilon]$ on the element $K^a \prod_{s=1}^l h_{i_s}[\epsilon_s] \prod_{t=1}^m e_{j_t}[\eta_t]$ of V_+ is given by

$$f_i[\epsilon]\left(K^a \prod_{s=1}^{l} h_{i_s}[\epsilon_s] \prod_{t=1}^{m} e_{j_t}[\eta_t]\right) = \sum_{J\subset\{1,\dots,l\}} \sum_{t=1}^{l} K^a \prod_{s\in J} h_{i_s}[\epsilon_s] \frac{\delta_{j_t i}}{\hbar} \prod_{t'=1}^{t-1} e_{j_{t'}}[\epsilon_{t'}]$$

$$\{(K_i^-)^{-1}[(q^{-K\partial}\eta_t)\epsilon \prod_{s\in\{1,\dots,l\}\setminus J} T_{ii_s}(\epsilon_s)] - K_i^+[\eta_t\epsilon \prod_{s\in\{1,\dots,l\}\setminus J} T_{ii_s}(\epsilon_s)]\} \prod_{t'=t+1}^{m} e_{j_{t'}}[\epsilon_{t'}];$$

one checks that this is a well-defined endomorphism of V_+ (i.e. the endomorphisms of the free algebra defined by the same formulas preserve the ideal defining $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{b}_+$) and that it makes V_+ a left $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}'$-module.

As a $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module, V_- is isomorphic to $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_-$. The action of the generators $f_i[\epsilon]$ of $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}'$ coincide with right multiplication in $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_-$. The right actions of $K, h_i[\epsilon], e_i[\epsilon]$ are given by $xK = 0$ for $x \in V_-$,

$$\left(\prod_{\sigma=1}^{l} f_{i_\sigma}[\epsilon_\sigma]\right) h_i[\epsilon] = \sum_{\sigma=1}^{l} (\prod_{\sigma'=1}^{\sigma-1} f_{i_{\sigma'}}[\epsilon_{\sigma'}]) f_{i_\sigma}[\epsilon_\sigma T_{ii_\sigma}(\epsilon)\epsilon_\sigma] (\prod_{\sigma'=\sigma+1}^{l} f_{i_{\sigma'}}[\epsilon_{\sigma'}]),$$

$$\left(\prod_{\sigma=1}^{l} f_{i_\sigma}[\epsilon_\sigma]\right) e_i[\epsilon] = \sum_{\sigma=1}^{l} \frac{\delta_{ii_\sigma}}{\hbar} \operatorname{res}_{z\in S} \operatorname{res}_{z_1\in S} \dots \operatorname{res}_{z_{\sigma-1}\in S} \left(\prod_{k=1}^{\sigma-1} f_{i_k}(z_k)\cdot\right.$$

$$\left.(\epsilon\epsilon_\sigma)(z) \prod_{k=1}^{\sigma-1} \epsilon_k(z_k) \{\prod_{k=1}^{\sigma-1} q_{ii_k}(z, z_k) - \prod_{k=1}^{\sigma-1} q_{ii_k}(z_k, z)^{-1}\} \omega(z) \prod_{k=1}^{\sigma-1} \omega(z_k)\ \right) \prod_{\sigma'=\sigma+1}^{l} f_{i_{\sigma'}}[\epsilon_{\sigma'}],$$

where we use the notation $\operatorname{res}_{z\in S}$ for $\sum_{s\in S} \operatorname{res}_{z=s}$.

Define $1_\pm$ as the vectors of $V_\pm$ corresponding to 1 in $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{b}_+$ and $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_-$. Consider now the sequence of maps

$$\lim_{\leftarrow N} U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+ \otimes U_\hbar L\mathfrak{h}' \otimes U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_-/(\hbar^N) \to U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}' \to \lim_{\leftarrow N} U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}' \otimes U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}'/U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}' \otimes I_N$$
$$\to \lim_{\leftarrow N} \operatorname{End}(V_+) \otimes \operatorname{End}(V_-)^{opp}/(\hbar^N) \to \lim_{\leftarrow N} V_+ \otimes V_-/(\hbar^N)$$
$$\to \lim_{\leftarrow N} U_\hbar L\mathfrak{b}_+ \otimes U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_-/(\hbar^N),$$

where the maps are c, the coproduct Δ, the tensor product of the module structures on V_+ and on V_-, the action on $1_+ \otimes 1_-$ and the isomorphism maps $V_+ \to U_\hbar L\mathfrak{b}_+$ and $V_- \to U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_-$. The composition of these maps sends $x_+ \otimes x_0 \otimes x_-$ to $x_+ x_0 \otimes x_-$ and is therefore injective. It follows that c is also injective. □

5.3. **Regular subalgebras.** Define $L\mathfrak{n}_+^{out}$ as the Lie subalgebra of $L\mathfrak{n}_+$ equal to $\mathfrak{n}_+ \otimes R$.

We will define $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+^{out}$ as the $\hbar$-adically complete subalgebra of $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+$ generated by the $e_i[r], i = 1, \dots, n$, $r \in R$.

Proposition 5.3. *$U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+^{out}$ is a divisible subalgebra of $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+$, and $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+^{out} / \hbar U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+^{out}$ is isomorphic to $UL\mathfrak{n}_+^{out}$.*

Proof. Let Δ_+ be the set of positive roots of $\mathfrak{n}_+$. For any $\beta \in \Delta_+$, let $\bar{e}_\beta$ be a nonzero vector of $\mathfrak{n}_+$ corresponding to β. We may assume that when β is a simple root α_i, $\bar{e}_\beta$ coincides with the generator $\bar{e}_i$, and that when β is arbitrary, $\bar{e}_\beta$ has the form $[\bar{e}_{i_1}, [\bar{e}_{i_2}, \cdots, [\bar{e}_{i_{b(\beta)-1}}, \bar{e}_{i_{b(\beta)}}]]]$, for some integer $b(\beta)$ and some sequence $(i_1, \dots, i_{b(\beta)})$ in $\{1, \dots, n\}^{b(\beta)}$, depending on β.

Then there are numbers $N_{\beta,\beta'}$ such that $[\bar{e}_\beta, \bar{e}_{\beta'}] = N_{\beta,\beta'} \bar{e}_{\beta+\beta'}$ if $\beta + \beta' \in \Delta_+$ and $[\bar{e}_\beta, \bar{e}_{\beta'}] = 0$ else.

For β a nonsimple root of Δ_+ and $r \in R$, define $e_\beta[r]$ as the element of $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+^{out}$ given by

$$e_\beta[r] = [e_{i_1}[1], [e_{i_2}[1], \cdots, [e_{i_{b(\beta)-1}}[1], e_{i_{b(\beta)}}[r]]]]. \tag{40}$$

Lemma 5.1. *The $e_\beta[r]$ defined by (40) are such that for any $\beta, \beta' \in \Delta_+$ and $r, r' \in R$, we have*

$$[e_\beta[r], e_{\beta'}[r']] \in N_{\beta,\beta'} e_{\beta+\beta'}[rr'] + \hbar U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+^{out} \tag{41}$$

if $\beta + \beta' \in \Delta_+$,

$$[e_\beta[r], e_{\beta'}[r']] \in \hbar U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+^{out} \tag{42}$$

else.

Proof of Lemma. We will show this in the case $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{sl}_3$, the general case being similar. Assume that we define $\bar{e}_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}$ as $[\bar{e}_1, \bar{e}_2]$, so

$$e_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}[r] = [e_1[1], e_2[r]]. \tag{43}$$

We define the following order on the positive roots of $\mathfrak{sl}_3$: $\alpha_1 < \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 < \alpha_2$. It is clearly enough to prove (41), (42) in the case $\beta \le \beta'$.

For r, r' in R, $[r(z)r'(w) - r'(z)r(w)]q_2^+(w,z)$ is an element of $(r \otimes r' - r' \otimes r) + \hbar(R \otimes R)[[\hbar]]$. Let us express it as $(r \otimes r' - r' \otimes r) + \sum_{n \ge 1, \alpha, \alpha' \ge 0} \hbar^n A_{n;\alpha,\alpha'}(r, r') r_\alpha \otimes r_{\alpha'}$, where the maps $(r, r') \mapsto \sum_{\alpha,\alpha' \ge 0} A_{n;\alpha,\alpha'}(r, r') r_\alpha \otimes r_{\alpha'}$ are bilinear maps from $R \times R$ to $R \otimes R$. Equation (38) implies that for any element $\phi(z, w)$ of $R \otimes R$, vanishing on the diagonal of $C \times C$, and $i = 1, 2$, we have $\phi(z, w) q_2^+(w, z) e_i(z) e_i(z) =$

$\phi(z,w)q_2^+(z,w)e_i(z)e_i(z)$. Set $\phi = r\otimes r' - r'\otimes r$ and pair the resulting equality with $1\otimes 1$ (for $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{\mathcal{K}\otimes\mathcal{K}}$). We get

$$[e_i[r], e_i[r']] = -\sum_{n\geq 1,\alpha,\alpha'\geq 0} \hbar^n A_{n;\alpha,\beta}(r,r')e_i[r_\alpha]e_i[r_{\alpha'}]. \tag{44}$$

This proves (42) in the case $\beta=\beta'=\alpha_i, i=1,2$.

There exist two sequences of bilinear maps B_n and C_n $(n\geq 1)$ from $R\times R$ to $R\otimes R$, such that

$$[r(z)r'(w) - rr'(w)]q_{-1}^+(w,z) = [r(z)r'(w)-rr'(w)] + \sum_{n\geq 1}\hbar^n B_n(r,r'),$$

$$[r(z)r'(w) - rr'(w)]q_{-1}^+(z,w) = [r(z)r'(w)-rr'(w)] + \sum_{n\geq 1}\hbar^n C_n(r,r').$$

We will write $B_n(r,r') = \sum_{\alpha,\alpha'\geq 0} B_{n;\alpha,\alpha'}(r,r')r_\alpha\otimes r_{\alpha'}$, $C_n(r,r') = \sum_{\alpha,\alpha'\geq 0} C_{n;\alpha,\alpha'}(r,r')r_\alpha\otimes r_{\alpha'}$. The identities (38) imply that for ϕ a function of $R\otimes R$, vanishing on the diagonal of C, we have $\phi(z,w)q_{-1}^+(w,z)e_1(z)e_2(w) = \phi(z,w)q_{-1}^+(z,w)e_2(w)e_1(z)$. Set $\phi(z,w) = r(z)r'(w) - rr'(w)$ and pair the resulting equality with $1\otimes 1$; we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}[e_1[r], e_2[r']] &= [e_1[1], e_2[rr']] + \sum_{n\geq 1;\alpha,\alpha'\geq 0}\hbar^n\{C_{n;\alpha,\alpha'}e_2[r_{\alpha'}]e_1[r_\alpha] - B_{n;\alpha,\alpha'}e_1[r_\alpha]e_2[r_{\alpha'}]\} \\ &= e_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}[rr'] + \sum_{n\geq 1;\alpha,\alpha'\geq 0}\hbar^n\{C_{n;\alpha,\alpha'}e_2[r_{\alpha'}]e_1[r_\alpha] - B_{n;\alpha,\alpha'}e_1[r_\alpha]e_2[r_{\alpha'}]\},\end{aligned}$$

by (43), which proves (41) in the case $\beta=\alpha_1, \beta'=\alpha_2$.

One of the quantum Serre relations is written

$$\begin{aligned}&Ae_1(z_1)e_1(z_2)e_2(w) + Be_1(z_1)e_2(w)e_1(z_2) + Ce_2(w)e_1(z_1)e_1(z_2) \\ &+ A'e_1(z_2)e_1(z_1)e_2(w) + B'e_1(z_2)e_2(w)e_1(z_1) + C'e_2(w)e_1(z_2)e_1(z_1) = 0,\end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

where $A,\ldots,C'$ are functions of (z_1,z_2,w), A,C,A',C' belong to $1+\hbar R^{\otimes 3}[[\hbar]]$ and B,B' belong to $-2+\hbar R^{\otimes 3}[[\hbar]]$. Let us expand $A,\ldots,C'$ as $A = 1+\sum_{n\geq 1}\hbar^n A_n$, etc., with $A_n\in R^{\otimes 3}$, and write $A_n = \sum_{\alpha,\alpha',\alpha''\geq 0} A_{n;\alpha,\alpha',\alpha''}r_\alpha\otimes r_{\alpha'}\otimes r_{\alpha''}$. Pairing (45) with $r(z_1)r'(w)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}&[e_1[r],[e_1[1],e_2[r']]] = -\frac{1}{2}[[e_1[1],e_1[r]],e_2[r']] - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{n\geq 1,\alpha,\alpha',\alpha''\geq 0}\hbar^n \\ &(A_{n;\alpha,\alpha',\alpha''}e_1[rr_\alpha]e_1[r_{\alpha'}]e_2[r'r_{\alpha''}] + B_{n;\alpha,\alpha',\alpha''}e_1[rr_\alpha]e_2[r'r_{\alpha''}]e_1[r_{\alpha'}] \\ &+ C_{n;\alpha,\alpha',\alpha''}e_2[r'r_{\alpha''}]e_1[rr_\alpha]e_1[r_{\alpha'}] + A'_{n;\alpha,\alpha',\alpha''}e_1[r_{\alpha'}]e_1[rr_\alpha]e_2[r'r_{\alpha''}] \\ &+ B'_{n;\alpha,\alpha',\alpha''}e_1[r_{\alpha'}]e_2[r'r_{\alpha''}]e_1[rr_\alpha] + C'_{n;\alpha,\alpha',\alpha''}e_2[r'r_{\alpha''}]e_1[r_{\alpha'}]e_1[rr_\alpha]\big).\end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

In view of (44) for $i=1$, this proves (42) when $\beta=\alpha_1$ and $\beta'=\alpha_1+\alpha_2$. Using the other Serre relation, one shows that

$$[e_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}[r], e_2[r']] \in \hbar U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+^{out}, \tag{47}$$

that is (42) when $\beta = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$ and $\beta' = \alpha_2$.

Applying $[e_1[1], \cdot]$ to (47), we find that $[e_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}[r], e_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}[r']] + [[e_1[1], e_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}[r]], e_2[r']] \in \hbar U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+^{out}$. (46) then implies that $[e_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}[r], e_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}[r']] \in \hbar U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+^{out}$, that is (42) for $\beta = \beta' = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$. □

End of proof of Prop. 5.3. Let us denote by M the set of maps $\underline{n}$ from $\Delta_+ \times \mathbb{N}$ to $\mathbb{N}$, which are zero except on a finite subset of $\Delta_+ \times \mathbb{N}$. Let us fix an order on $\Delta_+ \times \mathbb{N}$ and set

$$(e_{\underline{n}})_{\underline{n}\in M} = [\prod_{\beta\in\Delta_+} \prod_{\alpha\geq 0} e_\beta[r_\alpha]^{\underline{n}(\beta,\alpha)}]_{\underline{n}\in M} \tag{48}$$

and show that (48) topologically spans $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+^{out}$.

For this, start from a monomial in the $e_i[r], i = 1, \dots, n, r \in R$ and operate in the same way as one does for $\hbar = 0$ (transforming non-well ordered monomials using commutation relations). By Lemma 5.1, the result will be the sum of a linear combination (with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}$) of elements of the family (48), and of an element of $\hbar U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+^{out}$. Decomposing this element as a combination of monomials and repeating this procedure, we find that any element of $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+^{out}$ is the sum of a series $\sum_{n\geq 0} \hbar^n \sum_{\underline{n}\in M} a_{n,\underline{n}} e_{\underline{n}}$, where for fixed n, all the $(a_{n,\underline{n}})_{\underline{n}\in M}$ are zero, except for a finite number of them.

On the other hand, it follows from Thm. 5.1 that (48) is a topologically free family, therefore (48) is a topological basis of $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+$. This proves Prop. 5.3. □

We defined the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is section 2.

Proposition 5.4. *$U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$ is a topologically free, complete $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-algebra, and $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}/\hbar U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$ is isomorphic to $U\mathfrak{g}$.*

Proof. Let M' (resp., P') be the set of maps from $\Delta_+ \times \mathbb{Z}$ (resp., $\{1, \dots, n\} \times \mathbb{Z}$) to $\mathbb{N}$, which are zero except on a finite subset. Define, for $\epsilon \in \mathcal{K}$ and ${}_beta \in \Delta_+$,

$$e_\beta[\epsilon] = [e_{i_1}[1], [e_{i_2}[1], \cdots [e_{i_{s-1}}[1], e_{i_s}[\epsilon]]]], \quad f_\beta[\epsilon] = [f_{i_1}[1], [f_{i_2}[1], \cdots [f_{i_{s-1}}[1], f_{i_s}[\epsilon]]]]$$

(see Lemma 5.1) and fix orders in $\Delta_+ \times \mathbb{Z}$ and $\{1, \dots, n\} \times \mathbb{Z}$.

The analogue of Thm. 5.1 for $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_-$ and Prop. 5.2 imply that

$$\left(\prod_{\beta\in\Delta_+,\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}} e_\beta[\epsilon_\alpha]^{\underline{n}(\beta,\alpha)} K^a D^b \prod_{i=1,\dots,n,\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}} h_i[\epsilon_\alpha]^{\underline{p}(i,\alpha)} \prod_{\beta\in\Delta_+,\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}} f_\beta[\epsilon_\alpha]^{\underline{m}(\beta,\alpha)}\right)_{\underline{n},\underline{m}\in M',\underline{p}\in P,a,b\in\mathbb{N}},$$

is a topological basis of $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$. This implies the first statmement. The second statement follows from the fact that the specialization $\hbar = 0$ in the relations defining $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$ yields the relations defining $U\mathfrak{g}$. □

Recall that $\mathfrak{g}^{out}$ is the Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ equal to $(\mathfrak{a} \otimes R) \oplus \mathbb{C}D$.

Define now $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}^{out}$ as the $\hbar$-adically complete subalgebra of $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$ generated by D and the $e_i[r], f_i[r]$ and $h_i[r]$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, $r \in R$.

Proposition 5.5. *$U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}^{out}$ is a divisible subalgebra of $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$, and $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}^{out}/\hbar U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}^{out}$ is isomorphic to $U\mathfrak{g}^{out}$.*

Proof. Let us denote by P the set of maps from $\{1,\dots,n\}\times\mathbb{N}$ to $\mathbb{N}$, which are zero except on a finite subset. Let us first show that

$$\left(\prod_{\beta\in\Delta,\alpha\geq 0} e_\beta[r_\alpha]^{\underline{n}(\beta,\alpha)} D^a \prod_{\beta\in\Delta,i\geq 0} h_i[r_\alpha]^{\underline{p}(i,\alpha)} \prod_{\beta\in\Delta,\alpha\geq 0} f_\beta[r_\alpha]^{\underline{m}(\beta,\alpha)}\right)_{a\in\mathbb{N},\underline{n},\underline{m}\in M,\underline{p}\in P}, \quad (49)$$

topologically span $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}^{out}$. For this, start from any monomial in the generators of $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}^{out}$. The triangular relations

$$[h_i[r],h_j[r']]=0,\quad [h_i[r],e_j^\pm[r']]=\pm e_j^\pm[T_{ij}(r)r'],\quad [e_i[r],f_j[r']]=\frac{\delta_{ij}}{\hbar}K_i^+[rr'],\quad r,r'\in R$$

(recall that $e_i^+=e_i, f_i^-=f_i$) allow to express it as a formal series in $\hbar$, whose coefficients are linear combinations of ordered monomials of the form

$$\prod_{s=1}^{p} e_{i_s}[r_s]D^a\prod_{t=1}^{p'} h_{j_t}[r'_t]\prod_{l=1}^{p''} f_{k_l}[r''_l]. \quad (50)$$

Now Prop. 5.3, the analogous statement to this Proposition for the subalgebra $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_-^{out}$ of $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$ generated by the $f_i[r], r\in R$ and the relations $[h_i[r],h_j[r']]=0$ allow to express any ordered monomial of the form (50) as a series in $\hbar$, whose coefficients are linear combinations of monomials (49). This shows that (49) topologically spans $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+^{out}$.

Moreover, since (49) can be completed to a topological basis of $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$, it is a topological basis of $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}^{out}$, and $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}^{out}$ is divisible in $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$.

It follows that $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}^{out}/\hbar U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}^{out}$ is equal to the image of $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}^{out}$ by the projection map $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}\to U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}/\hbar U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}=U\mathfrak{g}$, which is equal to $U\mathfrak{g}^{out}$. ☐

6. THE PAIRINGS $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_\pm}$ AND $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{U_\hbar\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_\pm}$

Define $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_+, U_\hbar\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_+$ as the subalgebras of $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$ generated by D, the $h_i[r], i=1,\dots,n, r\in R$ and the $e_i^\pm[\epsilon], i=1,\dots,n,\epsilon\in\mathcal{K}$; and define $U_\hbar\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_-, U_\hbar\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_-$ as the subalgebras of $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$ generated by K, the $h_i[\lambda], i=1,\dots,n,\lambda\in R$ and the $e_i^\mp[\epsilon], i=1,\dots,n,\epsilon\in\mathcal{K}$.

Recall that a Hopf pairing between two Hopf algebras (B,Δ_B) and (C,Δ_C) is bilinear map $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{B\otimes C}$ from $B\times C$ to a commutative ring over their base ring, such that for any $b\in B, c\in C$,

$$\langle b,cc'\rangle_{B\times C}=\sum\langle b^{(1)},c\rangle_{B\times C}\langle b^{(2)},c'\rangle_{B\times C},\ \langle bb',c\rangle_{B\times C}=\sum\langle b,c^{(1)}\rangle_{B\times C}\langle b',c^{(2)}\rangle_{B\times C},$$

where $\Delta_B(b)=\sum b^{(1)}\otimes b^{(2)}$ and $\Delta_C(c)=\sum c^{(1)}\otimes c^{(2)}$. We denote by Δ' the composition of a coproduct Δ with the permutation of factors. In Section 2, we defined two pairs of supplementary subalgebras $(\mathfrak{g}_+,\mathfrak{g}_-)$ and $(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_+,\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_-)$ of $\mathfrak{g}$.

Proposition 6.1. *$U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_\pm$ and $U_\hbar\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_\pm$ are divisible subalgebras of $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$, and the quotients $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_\pm/\hbar U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_\pm$ and $U_\hbar\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_\pm/\hbar U_\hbar\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_\pm$ are isomorphic to $U\mathfrak{g}_\pm$ and $U\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_\pm$.*

Moreover, $(U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_+,\Delta)$ and $(U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_-,\Delta)$ are topological Hopf subalgebras of $(U_\hbar\mathfrak{g},\Delta)$. There is a unique Hopf algebra pairing $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_\pm} : U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_+\times U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_-\to\mathbb{C}((\hbar))$ between $(U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_+,\Delta)$ and $(U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_-,\Delta')$, such that

$$\langle e_i[\epsilon],f_j[\eta]\rangle_{U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_\pm}=\frac{\delta_{ij}}{\hbar}\langle\epsilon,\eta\rangle_{\mathcal{K}},\quad \langle h_i[r],h_j[\lambda]\rangle_{U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_\pm}=\frac{1}{\hbar}\langle T_{ij}(r),\lambda\rangle_{\mathcal{K}},\quad \langle D,K\rangle_{U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_\pm}=\frac{1}{\hbar}, \tag{51}$$

for $\epsilon,\eta\in\mathcal{K},r\in R,\lambda\in\Lambda,i,j=1,\dots,n$, and the other pairings between the generators of $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_+$ and $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_-$ are zero.

In the same way, $(U_\hbar\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_+,\bar\Delta)$ and $(U_\hbar\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_-,\bar\Delta)$ are topological Hopf subalgebras of $(U_\hbar\mathfrak{g},\bar\Delta)$, and there is a unique Hopf algebra pairing $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{U_\hbar\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_\pm} : U_\hbar\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_+\times U_\hbar\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_-\to\mathbb{C}((\hbar))$ between $(U_\hbar\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_+,\bar\Delta)$ and $(U_\hbar\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_-,\bar\Delta')$, such that

$$\langle f_i[\epsilon],e_j[\eta]\rangle_{U_\hbar\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_\pm}=\frac{\delta_{ij}}{\hbar}\langle\epsilon,\eta\rangle_{\mathcal{K}},\quad \langle h_i[r],h_j[\lambda]\rangle_{U_\hbar\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_\pm}=\frac{1}{\hbar}\langle T_{ij}(r),\lambda\rangle_{\mathcal{K}},\quad \langle D,K\rangle_{U_\hbar\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_\pm}=\frac{1}{\hbar},$$

$\epsilon,\eta\in\mathcal{K},r\in R,\lambda\in\Lambda,i,j=1,\dots,n$, and the other pairings between the generators of $U_\hbar\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_+$ and $U_\hbar\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_-$ are zero.

Proof. Let us denote by $U_\hbar\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ the free algebra with the same generators as $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$, and coproduct $\widetilde\Delta$ given by the formulas defining Δ. Define $U_\hbar\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_\pm$ as the subalgebras of $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$ with the same generators as $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_\pm$. $U_\hbar\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_\pm$ are Hopf subalgebras of $(U_\hbar\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}},\widetilde\Delta)$ and there is a unique Hopf pairing between $(U_\hbar\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_+,\widetilde\Delta)$ and $(U_\hbar\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_-,\widetilde\Delta')$, defined by formulas (51). Computation shows that the ideals generated by the relations defining $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_\pm$ are contained in the kernel of this pairing. The argument is the same in the case of $U_\hbar\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_\pm$. □

Proposition 6.2. *The pairings $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_\pm}$ and $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{U_\hbar\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_\pm}$ are nondegenerate (i.e., $(U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_\pm)^\perp=0$ and $(U_\hbar\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_\pm)^\perp=0$).*

Proof. Define $U_\hbar\mathfrak{h}_+$ as the $\hbar$-adically complete subalgebra of $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_+$ generated by D and the $h_i[r],r\in R,i=1,\dots,n$, and by $U_\hbar\mathfrak{h}_-$ as the $\hbar$-adically complete subalgebra of $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_-$ generated by K and the $h_i[\lambda],\lambda\in\Lambda,i=1,\dots,n$.

Inclusion followed by multiplication induces isomorphisms between the completed tensor products $\lim_{\leftarrow N} U_\hbar\mathfrak{h}_\pm\otimes U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_\pm/(\hbar^N)$ and $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_\pm$. Moreover, the image of $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_\pm}$ by the product of these isomorphisms is the tensor product of its restrictions $\langle,\rangle_{U_\hbar\mathfrak{h}_\pm}$ and $\langle,\rangle_{U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_\pm}$ to $U_\hbar\mathfrak{h}_+\times U_\hbar\mathfrak{h}_-$ and $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+\times U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_-$.

It is easy to see that the pairing $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{U_\hbar\mathfrak{h}_\pm}$ is nondegenerate. Let $I_{\mathfrak{h},k}$ be the left ideal of $U_\hbar\mathfrak{h}_-$ generated by the $h_i[z^l],\geq k$. The pairing $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{U_\hbar\mathfrak{h}_\pm}$ defines a canonical element of $\lim_{\leftarrow N}\lim_{\leftarrow k} U_\hbar\mathfrak{h}_+\otimes U_\hbar\mathfrak{h}_-/(U_\hbar\mathfrak{h}_+\otimes I_{\mathfrak{h},k}+\hbar^N U_\hbar\mathfrak{h}_+\otimes U_\hbar\mathfrak{h}_-)$ equal to

$$R_{\mathfrak{h}}=q^{D\otimes K}\exp\left(\hbar\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}\sum_{\alpha\geq 0}h_i[T'_{ij}r^\alpha]\otimes h_j[\lambda_\alpha]\right).$$

Let us show that the pairing $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_\pm}$ is nondegenerate. Let U_- be the free algebra with generators $f_i[\epsilon]^{(free)}$, $\epsilon \in \mathcal{K}, i = 1, \dots, n$ and relations $f_i[\lambda\epsilon + \epsilon']^{(free)} = \lambda f_i[\epsilon]^{(free)} + f_i[\epsilon']^{(free)}$, for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \epsilon, \epsilon' \in \mathcal{K}, i = 1, \dots, n$. There is a unique pairing

$$\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{FO\times U_-} : FO \times U_- \to \mathbb{C}((\hbar)),$$

such that

$$\langle P, f_{i_1}[\epsilon_1]^{(free)} \cdots f_{i_N}[\epsilon_N]^{(free)}\rangle_{U_+\times FO_-} = \delta_{\mathbf{k},\sum_{j=1}^N \delta_{i_j}} \operatorname{res}_{u_N\in S} \cdots \operatorname{res}_{u_1\in S}$$
$$\left(P(t_1, \dots, t_N) \prod_{l<l'} q^+_{i_l i_{l'}}(u_{l'}, u_l)\epsilon_1(u_1)\cdots\epsilon_N(u_N)\omega(u_1)\cdots\omega(u_N)\right),$$

where we set $t_{i_1+\cdots+i_{\alpha-1}+j} = t^{(\alpha)}_j$ for $\alpha = 1, \dots, n$ and $j = 1, \dots, i_\alpha$, and $u_s = t^{(i_s)}_{\nu_s+1}$, where ν_s is the number of indices t such that $t < s$ and $i_t = i_s$.

The two-sided ideal I_- of U_- generated by the crossed vertex relations (27) and (28), and the Serre relations (29) is contained in the kernel of this pairing, so $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{FO\times U_-}$ induces a pairing $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{FO\times U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_-}$ between FO and $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_-$. On the other hand, the proof of Thm. 5.1 implies that the morphism from $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+$ to FO, sending $e_i[\epsilon]$ to $\epsilon \in FO_{\alpha_i}$, is an isomorphism. Via this isomorphism, $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{FO\times U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_-}$ identifies then with $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_\pm}$.

On the other hand, if f is a formal series in $\mathbb{C}((t_1))\dots((t_N))$ such that for any $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$ in $\mathbb{C}((t))dt$, $\operatorname{res}_{t_N\in S}\cdots\operatorname{res}_{t_1\in S}(f\omega_1(t_1)\cdots\omega_N(t_N)) = 0$, then f is zero. It follows that the annihilator of U_- for $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{FO\times U_-}$ is zero. So the annihilator of $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_-$ for $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_\pm}$ is also zero. In the same way, one proves that the annihilator of $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+$ for $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_\pm}$ is zero, therefore $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_\pm}$ is nondegenerate. ☐

7. The annihilator of $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}^{out}_\pm$

Lemma 7.1. *The restrictions to* $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+ \otimes U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_-$ *of* $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_\pm}$ *and* $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{U_\hbar\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_\pm}$ *coincide.*

We will denote by $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_\pm}$ the restriction of any of these pairings to $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+ \times U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_-$.

The aim of this section is to compute the annihilator of $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}^{out}_\pm$ for this pairing.

Let us set, for x in $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_+$, $\delta_1(x) = x$, $\delta_2(x) = \Delta(x) - x \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x + \varepsilon(x)1$, and $\delta_n = (\delta_2 \otimes id^{\otimes n-2}_{U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_+}) \circ \delta_{n-1}$. δ_n is a linear map from $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_+$ to $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_+^{\hat\otimes n}$, and its restriction to $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+$ maps to $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+ \hat\otimes U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_+^{\hat\otimes n-1}$.

Let us define U'^{QSFH} as $\{x \in U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_+ | \forall n \geq 0, \delta_n(x) \in \hbar^n U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_+^{\hat\otimes n}\}$, and U^{QFSH} as $U'^{QFSH} \cap U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+$ (see [1], Sect. 6). Let us define $\mathbf{f}$, resp., $\mathbf{g}$ as the $\hbar$-adic completions of the $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-Lie subalgebras of $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_+$ generated by the $e_i[\phi]$, $i = 1, \dots, n, \phi \in \mathcal{K}$, resp., by $\mathbf{f}$ and the $h_i[r], r \in R$. The map mod $\hbar : U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_+ \to U\mathfrak{g}_+$ induces surjective $\mathbb{C}$-Lie algebra maps from $\mathbf{g}$ to $\mathfrak{g}_+$ and from $\mathbf{f}$ to $L\mathfrak{n}_+$. Let $\bar{e}_\alpha$ be an element of $\mathfrak{n}_+$ associated with the root α. Let us fix a $\mathbb{C}$-linear section σ

of the first map, such that for α in Δ_+, r in R, $\sigma(\bar e_\alpha \otimes r)$ belongs to $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+^{out}$ and denote by $\widetilde{L\mathfrak{n}_+}$ and $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}_+}$ the $\hbar$-adic completions of the $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-submodules of $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+$ generated by $\sigma(\mathbf{f})$ and $\sigma(\mathbf{g})$.

Let us denote by $\mathcal{A}_0$ and $\mathcal{A}$ the $\hbar$-adic completions of the subalgebras of $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+$ generated by $\hbar\widetilde{L\mathfrak{n}_+}$ and $\hbar\mathbf{f}$. Denote by $\mathcal{A}'_0$ and $\mathcal{A}'$ the $\hbar$-adic completions of the subalgebras of $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_+$ generated by $\hbar\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}_+}$ and $\hbar\mathbf{g}$.

Lemma 7.2. *We have* $\mathcal{A}_0 = \mathcal{A} = U^{QFSH}$, *and* $\mathcal{A}'_0 = \mathcal{A}' = U'^{QFSH}$.

Proof. We will prove the first chain of equalities; the proof of the second one is similar. For this, we will show the inclusions $\mathcal{A}_0 \subset \mathcal{A} \subset U^{QFSH} \subset \mathcal{A}_0$.

The inclusion $\mathcal{A}_0 \subset \mathcal{A}$ is clear. Let us show that

$$\delta_2(\mathbf{f}) \subset (\sum_{i+j>1} \hbar^{i+j-1}\mathbf{f}^{\leq i}\hat\otimes\mathbf{g}^{\leq j})^{compl}. \tag{52}$$

(52) means that for any x in $\mathbf{f}$, we have

$$\Delta(x) \in x\otimes 1 + 1\otimes x + (\sum_{i+j>1} \hbar^{i+j-1}\mathbf{f}^{\leq i}\hat\otimes\mathbf{g}^{\leq j})^{compl}. \tag{53}$$

It suffices to show (53) for x a Lie expression in the $e_i[\phi]$. We then work by induction on the length of x. (53) is obvious for $x = e_i[\phi]$. Assume that (53) is true for x and y, then $\Delta([x,y]) = [\Delta(x),\Delta(y)]$ is contained in the space

$$[x\otimes 1 + 1\otimes x + (\sum_{i+j>1} \hbar^{i+j-1}\mathbf{f}^{\leq i}\hat\otimes\mathbf{g}^{\leq j})^{compl}, y\otimes 1 + 1\otimes y + (\sum_{i+j>1} \hbar^{i+j-1}\mathbf{f}^{\leq i}\hat\otimes\mathbf{g}^{\leq j})^{compl}]. \tag{54}$$

Since $[x,\mathbf{f}^{\leq i}] \subset \mathbf{f}^{\leq i}$, $[x,\mathbf{g}^{\leq j}] \subset \mathbf{g}^{\leq j}$, $[\mathbf{f}^{\leq i},\mathbf{f}^{\leq j}] \subset \mathbf{f}^{\leq i+j-1}$, $[\mathbf{g}^{\leq i},\mathbf{g}^{\leq j}] \subset \mathbf{g}^{\leq i+j-1}$, the space (54) is contained in

$$[x,y]\otimes 1 + 1\otimes [x,y] + (\sum_{i+j>1} \hbar^{i+j-1}\mathbf{f}^{\leq i}\hat\otimes\mathbf{g}^{\leq j})^{compl}.$$

This implies (53) and therefore (52).

It follows then from (53) that for any $n \geq 1$, we have

$$\delta_2(\mathbf{f}^{\leq n}) \subset (\sum_{i+j\geq n} \hbar^{i+j-n}\mathbf{f}^{\leq i}\hat\otimes\mathbf{g}^{\leq j})^{compl}. \tag{55}$$

It follows then from (55) that we have, if $1 \leq k \leq n-1$,

$$\delta_k(\mathbf{f}^{\leq n}) \subset (\sum_{i\geq 0} \hbar^{i}\mathbf{f}^{\leq n-k+1+i}\hat\otimes U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_+^{\hat\otimes k-1})^{compl},$$

and then that if $k \geq 0$

$$\delta_{n+k}(\mathbf{f}^{\leq n}) \subset (\sum_{i\geq 0} \hbar^{k+i}\mathbf{f}^{\leq i}\hat\otimes U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_+^{\hat\otimes k-1})^{compl}. \tag{56}$$

The inclusion

$$\delta_k(\hbar^n\mathbf{f}^{\leq n}) \subset \hbar^k U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_+^{\hat\otimes k}$$

is evident for $k \leq n$, and it is also true for $k \geq n$, by (56). It follows that $\hbar^n \mathbf{f}^{\leq n}$ is contained in U^{QFSH}, therefore $\mathcal{A} \subset U^{QFSH}$.

Finally, let x belong to U^{QFSH}. Assume x is nonzero. Let k be the $\hbar$-adic valuation of x. Let us set $\bar{x} = \hbar^{-k} x \bmod \hbar$; $\bar{x}$ belongs then to $UL\mathfrak{n}_+$. Let us denote by $(UL\mathfrak{n}_+)_p$ the subspace of $UL\mathfrak{n}_+$ spanned by the products of $\leq p$ elements of $L\mathfrak{n}_+$. There is a unique integer p such that $\bar{x}$ belongs to $(UL\mathfrak{n}_+)_p \setminus (UL\mathfrak{n}_+)_{p-1}$. Let $\delta_n^{(0)}$ be the classical limits of the maps δ_n. $\delta_n^{(0)}$ are maps from $UL\mathfrak{n}_+$ to $(UL\mathfrak{n}_+)^{\otimes n}$, defined by $\delta_1 = id_{U\mathfrak{a}}$, $\delta_2^{(0)}(x) = \Delta_{UL\mathfrak{n}_+}(x) - x \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x + \varepsilon(x)1$, $\delta_n^{(0)} = (\delta_2^{(0)} \otimes id_{UL\mathfrak{n}_+}^{\hat{\otimes} n-2}) \circ \delta_{n-1}^{(0)}$. We have $\mathrm{Ker}(\delta_p^{(0)}) = (UL\mathfrak{n}_+)_{\leq p-1}$, and the restriction of $\delta_p^{(0)}$ to $(UL\mathfrak{n}_+)_{\leq p}/(UL\mathfrak{n}_+)_{\leq p-1}$ is injective.

Therefore, $\delta_p^{(0)}(\bar{x})$ is a nonzero element of $(UL\mathfrak{n}_+)^{\otimes p}$. The fact that x belongs to U^{QFSH} then implies that $k \geq p$.

Let us now show that U^{QFSH} is contained in $(\sum_{p \geq 0} \hbar^p \widetilde{L\mathfrak{n}_+}^{\leq p})^{compl}$. For x as above, let y belong to $\widetilde{L\mathfrak{n}_+}^{p}$ be such that $y \bmod \hbar = \bar{x}$. Then $x - \hbar^k y$ belongs to U^{QSFH} and has $\hbar$-adic valuation $> k$. Repeating this reasoning, we express x as a formal series in $(\sum_{p \geq 0} \hbar^p \widetilde{L\mathfrak{n}_+}^{\leq p})^{compl}$. Therefore $U^{QFSH} \subset \mathcal{A}_0$. It follows that $\mathcal{A}_0 = \mathcal{A} = U^{QFSH}$. □

Proposition 7.1. *U^{QFSH} is a $\hbar$-adically complete topologically free subalgebra of $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+$. The smallest divisible submodule of $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+$ containing U^{QFSH} is $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+$ itself.*

Let $S[[L\mathfrak{n}_+]]$ be the completion of the symmetric algebra $S(L\mathfrak{n}_+)$ with respect to the topology defined by the ideal $\oplus_{i>0} S^i(L\mathfrak{n}_+)$; $S[[L\mathfrak{n}_+]]$ is equal to the direct product $\prod_{i \geq 0} S^i(L\mathfrak{n}_+)$. Set

$$e_\alpha[\phi]^{FSH} = \text{ the class of } \hbar\sigma(\bar{e}_\alpha \otimes \phi) \text{ in } U^{QFSH}/\hbar U^{QFSH},$$

for any α in Δ_+ and ϕ in $\mathcal{K}$. There is a unique algebra isomorphism i_σ : $S[[L\mathfrak{n}_+]] \to U^{QFSH}/\hbar U^{QFSH}$ sending $\bar{e}_\alpha \otimes \phi$ to $e_\alpha[\phi]^{FSH}$.

Proof. $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+$ is a topologically free, countably generated $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module, and U^{QFSH} is a complete $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-submodule of $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+$. It follows from e.g. [4], Lemma A.2 that U^{QFSH} topologically free and countably generated. The second statement follows from Thm. 5.1.

We have $[\mathbf{f}^{\leq n}, \mathbf{f}^{\leq m}] \subset \mathbf{f}^{\leq n+m-1}$. Therefore, $[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}] \subset \hbar\mathcal{A}$. It follows that $\mathcal{A}/\hbar\mathcal{A}$ is commutative.

Therefore, there is an algebra morphism j from $S(L\mathfrak{n}_+)$ to $U^{QFSH}/\hbar U^{QFSH}$ defined by $j(\bar{e}_\alpha \otimes \phi) =$ the class of $\hbar\sigma(e_\alpha \otimes \phi)$. Since U^{QFSH} is $\hbar$-adically complete, j can be prolongated to an algebra morphism $\bar{j}$ from $S[[L\mathfrak{n}_+]]$ to $U^{QFSH}/\hbar U^{QFSH}$.

It follows from Thm. 5.1 that a topological basis of $\mathcal{A}_0/\hbar\mathcal{A}_0$ is formed by the products $\{\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_+, i \in \mathbb{Z}} (e_\alpha[z^i]^{FSH})^{n(\alpha,i)}, n(\alpha, i) \geq 0\}$ (here topological means that $\mathcal{A}_0/\hbar\mathcal{A}_0$ is complete with respect to the topology defined by the ideals generated by the $e_\alpha[\phi]$, $\phi \in z^N \mathbb{C}[[z]]$). Since $\mathcal{A}_0 = U^{QFSH}$, $\bar{j}$ is an isomorphism. □

Lemma 7.3. *The restriction* $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{U^{QFSH}\times U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_-}$ *of* $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+\times U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_-}$ *to* $U^{QFSH}\times U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_-$ *has values in* $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$.

Proof. For any $i=1,\dots,n$ and ϕ in $\mathcal{K}$, we have $\langle e_i[\phi], U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_-\rangle_{U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+\times U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_-}\subset\frac{1}{\hbar}\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$. On the other hand, if x and y in $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+$ are such that

$$\langle x, U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_-\rangle_{U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+\times U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_-}\subset\frac{1}{\hbar}\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]] \text{ and } \langle y, U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_-\rangle_{U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+\times U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_-}\subset\frac{1}{\hbar}\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]],$$

then for any z in $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_-$, $\langle [x,y],z\rangle_{U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+\times U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_-}$ is equal to $\langle x\otimes y,(\Delta-\Delta')(z)\rangle_{U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+\times U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_-}$, and since $(\Delta-\Delta')(U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+)\subset\hbar(U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_+\hat{\otimes}U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_+)$, $\langle [x,y],z\rangle_{U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+\times U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_-}$ is in $\frac{1}{\hbar}\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$.

It follows that $\langle \mathbf{f}, U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_-\rangle_{U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+\times U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_-}\subset\frac{1}{\hbar}\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$. Since $U^{QFSH}=\mathcal{A}$, we get

$$\langle U^{QFSH}, U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_-\rangle_{U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+\times U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_-}\subset\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]].$$

□

Let $\mathfrak{m}_{QFSH}$ and $\mathfrak{m}'_{QFSH}$ be the maximal ideals of U^{QFSH} and U'^{QFSH}. We have $\mathfrak{m}_{QFSH}=(\sum_{n>0}\hbar^n\mathbf{f}^{\leq n})^{compl}$ and $\mathfrak{m}'_{QFSH}=(\sum_{n>0}\hbar^n\mathbf{g}^{\leq n})^{compl}$.

Let $\mathbf{i}_n^{QFSH}$ and $\mathbf{i}_n'^{QFSH}$ be the right ideals of U^{QFSH} and U'^{QFSH} generated by the $\hbar e_\alpha[\phi]$, with $\alpha\in\Delta_+$ and $\phi\in z^N\mathbb{C}[[z]]$.

Then (55) implies that the restriction $\Delta_{|U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+}$ of Δ to $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+$ induces a map $\Delta_{U^{QFSH}}: U^{QFSH}\to\lim_{\leftarrow n}U^{QFSH}\otimes U'^{QFSH}/(\sum_{p+q=n}\mathfrak{m}^p_{QFSH}\otimes\mathfrak{m}'^q_{QFSH}+\mathbf{i}_n\otimes U'^{QFSH}+U^{QFSH}\otimes\mathbf{i}'_n)$.

Let us denote by $\mathfrak{m}_0$ and $\mathfrak{m}'_0$ the maximal ideals of $S[[L\mathfrak{n}_+]]$ and $S[[\mathfrak{g}_+]]$, and by $\mathbf{i}_n$ and $\mathbf{i}'_n$ the ideals of $S[[L\mathfrak{n}_+]]$ and $S[[\mathfrak{g}_+]]$ generated by the $e_\alpha[\phi],\phi\in z^N\mathbb{C}[[z]]$, then $\Delta_{U^{QFSH}}$ induces an algebra morphism Δ_{FSH} from $S[[L\mathfrak{n}_+]]$ to $\lim_{\leftarrow n}S[[L\mathfrak{n}_+]]\otimes S[[\mathfrak{g}_+]]/(\sum_{p,q,p+q=n}\mathfrak{m}_0^p\otimes\mathfrak{m}_0'^q+\mathbf{i}_n\otimes S[[L\mathfrak{n}_+]]+S[[L\mathfrak{n}_+]]\otimes\mathbf{i}'_n)$. Define $\Delta^{(0)}_{FSH}$ as the composition $(id\otimes\pi)\circ\Delta_{FSH}$, where π is the morphism from $U'^{QFSH}/\hbar U'^{QFSH}$ to $U^{QFSH}/\hbar U^{QFSH}$, sending each $e_\alpha[\phi]^{FSH}$ to $e_\alpha[\phi]^{FSH}$ and $h_i[\phi]$ to 0.

Then $\Delta^{(0)}_{FSH}$ induces the structure of ring of a topological formal group on $S[[L\mathfrak{n}_+]]$ (again topological means that the tensor powers of $S[[L\mathfrak{n}_+]]$ are completed with respect to the topology defined by the $\mathbf{i}_n$).

We have then

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta^{(0)}_{FSH}(e_\alpha[t^n]^{FSH}) &= e_\alpha[t^n]^{FSH}\otimes 1+1\otimes e_\alpha[t^n]^{FSH}\\ &+\sum_{q>1,\beta_i\in\Delta_+,\sum_{i=1}^q\beta_i=\alpha}\ \sum_{k_i\in\mathbb{Z}}\lambda((\beta_i),n,k_i)e_{\alpha_1}[t^{k_1}]^{FSH}\cdots e_{\alpha_p}[t^{k_p}]^{FSH}\\ &\otimes e_{\alpha_{p+1}}[t^{k_{p+1}}]^{FSH}\cdots e_{\alpha_q}[t^{k_q}]^{FSH}.\end{aligned}\tag{57}$$

It follows from the identities $\langle x, fh_i[\phi]\rangle_{U^{QFSH}\times UL\mathfrak{n}_-} = 0$ when $x \in U^{QFSH}$ and $f \in U\mathfrak{g}_-$ that $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{U^{QFSH}\times UL\mathfrak{n}_-}$ satisfies the Hopf pairing rules

$$\langle xx', f\rangle_{U^{QFSH}\times UL\mathfrak{n}_-} = \sum \langle x, f^{(1)}\rangle_{U^{QFSH}\times UL\mathfrak{n}_-}\langle x', f^{(2)}\rangle_{U^{QFSH}\times UL\mathfrak{n}_-},$$
$$\langle x, fg\rangle_{U^{QFSH}\times UL\mathfrak{n}_-} = \sum \langle x^{(1)}, f\rangle_{U^{QFSH}\times UL\mathfrak{n}_-}\langle x^{(2)}, g\rangle_{U^{QFSH}\times UL\mathfrak{n}_-}. \qquad (58)$$

(57) and (58) then imply that

$$\langle S^n[[L\mathfrak{n}_+]], (UL\mathfrak{n}_-)_{\leq n-1}\rangle_{U^{QFSH}\times UL\mathfrak{n}_-} = 0.$$

A topological basis of $UL\mathfrak{n}_-$ is the family $(\prod_{\alpha\in\Delta_+, i\in\mathbb{Z}} f_\alpha[\epsilon_i]^{n_{\alpha,i}})$ where the all but a finite number of $n_{\alpha,i}$ are zero. Let us set $S^{>k}[[L\mathfrak{n}_+]] = \prod_{l>k} S^l[[L\mathfrak{n}_+]]$, $S^{\geq k}[[L\mathfrak{n}_+]] = \prod_{l\geq k} S^l[[L\mathfrak{n}_+]]$. There exist $\phi_{(n_{\alpha,i})}$ in $S^{>\sum n_{\alpha,i}}[[L\mathfrak{n}_+]]$, such that the dual basis of $(\prod_{\alpha\in\Delta_+, i\in\mathbb{Z}} f_\alpha[\epsilon_i]^{n_{\alpha,i}})$ is $(\prod_{\alpha\in\Delta_+, i\in\mathbb{Z}}(e_\alpha[\epsilon^i]^{FSH})^{n_{\alpha,i}} + \phi_{(n_{\alpha,i})})$.

It follows that the annihilator of $UL\mathfrak{n}_-^{out}$ is the span of all $\prod_{\alpha\in\Delta_+, i\in\mathbb{Z}}(e_\alpha[\epsilon^i]^{FSH})^{n_{\alpha,i}} + \phi_{(n_{\alpha,i})}$ where $n_{\alpha,i}$ is nonzero for at least one $i < 0$.

On the other hand, the Hopf pairing rules imply that $\sum_{1\leq i\leq n, r\in R} e_i[r]U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+$ is contained in the annihilator of $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_-^{out}$ for $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_\pm}$. The former space is also $\sum_{\alpha\in\Delta_+, r\in R}\sigma(e_\alpha\otimes r)U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+$, therefore $\sum_{\alpha\in\Delta_+, r\in R} e_\alpha[r]^{FSH}S[[L\mathfrak{n}_+]]$ is contained in the annihilator of $UL\mathfrak{n}_+^{out}$.

Proposition 7.2. *The spaces* $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_-^{out}$ *and* $\sum_{1\leq i\leq n, r\in R} e_i[r]U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+$ *are each other's annihilators for the pairing* $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_\pm}$.

Proof. Let us denote by $(U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_-^{out})^\perp$ the annihilator of $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_-^{out}$ in $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+$. We want to show that it is equal to $\sum_{1\leq i\leq n, r\in R} e_i[r]U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+$.

Let us fix x in $(U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_-^{out})^\perp$. Assume that x is nonzero and let j be the integer such that $\hbar^j x$ lies in $U^{QFSH}\setminus\hbar U^{QFSH}$. Then $\hbar^j x$ mod $\hbar$ lies in $S[[L\mathfrak{n}_+]]$ and is the annihilator of $UL\mathfrak{n}_-^{out}$. Let p be the smallest integer such that $\hbar^j x$ lies in $S^{\geq p}[[L\mathfrak{n}_+]]$. Since ($\hbar^j x$ mod $\hbar$) mod $S^{\geq p+1}[[L\mathfrak{n}_+]]$ is also in the annihilator of $UL\mathfrak{n}_-^{out}$, it is a linear combination of classes modulo $S^{\geq p+1}[[L\mathfrak{n}_+]]$ of products of the form $\prod_{i=-\infty}^{\infty}\prod_{\alpha\in\Delta_+}(e_\alpha[\epsilon^i]^{FSH})^{n_{\alpha,i}}$ where $n_{\alpha,i}$ is nonzero for at least one $i<0$, and the sum of all $n_{\alpha,i}$ is p. Let us substract the linear combination with the same coefficients of the products $\prod_{i=-\infty}^{\infty}\prod_{\alpha\in\Delta_+}(e_\alpha[\epsilon^i]^{QFSH})^{n_{\alpha,i}}$ to $\hbar^j x$, and call the resulting element x_1. Then (x_1 mod $\hbar$) belongs to $S^{p+1}[[L\mathfrak{n}_+]]$. We can repeat this procedure with x_1; the number of steps is finite, because all elements of the sequence (x_i) have the same degree (in the root lattice).

This shows that for any x in $(U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_-^{out})^\perp$, we can find $y \in U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+$ and an integer $k\geq 0$ such that $\hbar^k y \in \sum_{1\leq i\leq n, r\in R} e_i[r]U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+$ and $x-y$ belongs to $\hbar(U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_-^{out})^\perp$. It follows that $(U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_-^{out})^\perp$ is equal to space of elements x of $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+$ such that for some integer $l\geq 0$, $\hbar^l x$ belongs to $\sum_{1\leq i\leq n, r\in R} e_i[r]U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+$; in other words, x belongs to the smallest divisible submodule of $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+$ containing $\sum_{1\leq i\leq n, r\in R} e_i[r]U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+$.

Now, $\sum_{1\leq i\leq n, r\in R} e_i[r]U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+$ is equal to $\sum_{\alpha\in\Delta_+, r\in R} e_\alpha[r]U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+$, which is a flat deformation of $\sum_{\alpha\in\Delta_+, r\in R} e_\alpha[r]UL\mathfrak{n}_+$ by Thm. 5.1. It follows that $\sum_{1\leq i\leq n, r\in R} e_i[r]U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+$ is a divisible submodule of $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+$, and is therefore equal to $(U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_-^{out})^\perp$.

The same argument shows that $(\sum_{1\leq i\leq n, r\in R} e_i[r]U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+)^\perp = U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_-^{out}$. □

Corollary 7.1. *The spaces* $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_-^{out}$ *and* $\sum_{1\leq i\leq n, r\in R} U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_- f_i[r]$ *are each other's annihilators for* $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_\pm}$.

8. The universal R-matrices of $(U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}, \Delta)$ and $(U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}, \bar\Delta)$

In this section, we construct the universal R-matrices of $(U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}, \Delta)$ and $(U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}, \bar\Delta)$. These R-matrices are products of the Cartan R-matrix $\mathcal{R}_\mathfrak{h}$ with the canonical element F associated with the pairing between $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+$ and $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_-$. F belongs to a completion of $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+ \otimes U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_-$. We construct F in Section 8.1, and derive its properties and the R-matrices in Section 8.2.

8.1. Construction of F.

In this section, we construct the element F. Let us set $A = U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+$, $B = U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_-$, $A^{out} = U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_+^{out}$ and $B^{out} = U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_-^{out}$. Then F is a product $F_2 F_{int} F_1$, where F_1 and F_2 are "semiinfinite" elements in completions of $A\otimes B^{out}$ and of $A^{out}\otimes B$, and F_{int} is an "intermediate" element in $A^{out}\otimes B^{out}$. The elements F_1, F_{int} and F_2 are determined by lifts τ_A and τ_B of the canonical maps $A\to A^{in}$ and $B\to B^{in}$, where $A^{in} = \mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]\otimes_{A^{out}} A$ and $B^{in} = B\otimes_{B^{out}}\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$, enjoying certain properties with respect to the coproduct.

This section is organized as follows. We first define the completions in which we will work (Section 8.1.1). In Section 8.1.2, we construct canonical elements of completions of $A^{in}\otimes B^{out}$ and $A^{out}\otimes B^{in}$. In Section 8.1.3, we construct the maps τ_A and τ_B. In Section 8.1.4, we construct F_1, F_{int} and F_2. Finally (Section 8.1.5), we show that the product $F = F_2 F_{int} F_1$ is the canonical element for the pairing between A and B.

8.1.1. *Completions.* Let $I_N^{(A)}$ (resp., $I_N^{(B)}$) denote the left ideal of A (resp., B) generated by the $e_i[z_s^l], i = 1,\ldots,n, l\geq N$ (resp., by the $f_i[z_s^l], i = 1,\ldots,n, l\geq N$).

Define A^{in} as the tensor product $\lim_{\leftarrow k}(\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]\otimes_{A^{out}} A)/\hbar^k(\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]\otimes_{A^{out}} A)$, where the left A^{out}-module structure on $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$ is provided by the counit map. In the same way, define B^{in} as the tensor product $\lim_{\leftarrow k}(B\otimes_{B^{out}}\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]])/\hbar^k(B\otimes_{B^{out}}\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]])$. Let $p_{in}^{(A)}$ and $p_{in}^{(B)}$ be the canonical projection maps from A to A^{in} and from B to B^{in}. Let us set

$$I_N^{(A^{in})} = p_{in}^{(A)}(I_N^{(A)}),\quad I_N^{(B^{in})} = p_{in}^{(B)}(I_N^{(B)}).$$

As are $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_\pm$, the modules A^{out}, B^{in}, etc., are graded by $\mathbb{N}^n$ (recall that the degree of $e_i[\epsilon]$ is α_i and the degree of $f_i[\epsilon]$ is $-\alpha_i$). Moreover, $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_\pm}$ is a graded pairing. For M a $(\pm\mathbb{N})^n$-graded module, and for $\alpha\in(\pm\mathbb{N})^n$, we denote by $M[\alpha]$ the homogeneous component of M of degree α.

Proposition 8.1. *For N a given integer, and α given in $\mathbb{N}^n$, the quotients $(A^{in}/I_N^{(A^{in})})[\alpha]$ and $(B^{in}/I_N^{(B^{in})})[-\alpha]$ are finitely generated $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-modules.*

Proof. We first prove:

Lemma 8.1. *For $\beta \in \Delta_+$ expressed as $\beta = \sum_{i=1}^n n_i(\beta)\alpha_i$, let us set $\deg(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^n n_i(\beta)$, and $[\alpha]$ is the integral part of α. For x a rational number, let $[x]$ denote the integral part of x.*

There exists an integer K and a family $(\widetilde{e}_\beta[z_s^l])_{\beta\in\Delta_+, s\in S, l\in\mathbb{Z}}$ (resp., $(\widetilde{f}_\beta[z_s^l])_{\beta\in\Delta_+, s\in S, l\in\mathbb{Z}}$) of elements of A (resp., B) lifting $(\bar{e}_\beta\otimes z_s^l)_{\beta\in\Delta_+, s\in S, l\in\mathbb{Z}}$ (resp., $(\bar{f}_\beta\otimes z_s^l)_{\beta\in\Delta_+, s\in S, l\in\mathbb{Z}}$), such that $\widetilde{e}_\beta[z_s^l]$ (resp., $\widetilde{f}_\beta[z_s^l]$) belongs to $I^{(A)}_{[l/\deg(\beta)]-K}$ (resp., $I^{(B)}_{[l/\deg(\beta)]-K}$).

Proof. The family $(\widetilde{e}_\beta[z_s^l])_{\beta\in\Delta_+, s\in S, l\in\mathbb{Z}}$ may be constructed as follows. For each β in Δ_+, fix an expression $\bar{e}_\beta = [\bar{e}_{\alpha_1}, \dots, [\bar{e}_{\alpha_{a-1}}, \bar{e}_{\alpha_a}]]$. Let us denote by 1_s the element of $\mathcal{K}$ whose tth component is $\delta_{st}1$. Then if $0 \le N < |\beta|$, set $\widetilde{e}_\beta[z_s^N] = [e_{\alpha_1}[1_s], \dots, [e_{\alpha_{a-1}}[1_s], e_{\alpha_a}[z_s^N]]]$. Define Z_s as the endomorphism of $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_-$ such that $Z_s(e_i[\phi]) = e_i[z_s\phi]$. Then $\widetilde{e}_\beta[z_s^N]$ may be defined by the condition that $\widetilde{e}_\beta[z_s^{N+|\beta|}] = Z_s(\widetilde{e}_\beta[z_s^N])$, for any $N\in\mathbb{Z}$. The family $(\widetilde{e}_\beta[z_s^l])_{\beta\in\Delta_+, s\in S, l\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is constructed in the same way. ☐

End of proof of the proposition. Let N_0 be an integer such that $\prod_{s\in S} z_s^{N_0}\mathbb{C}[[z_s]] \subset \Lambda$ and let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ be elements of Λ such that their class in $\Lambda/(\prod_{s\in S} z_s^{N_0}\mathbb{C}[[z_s]])$ is a basis of this space. Let $\widetilde{e}_\beta[\lambda_i]$ (resp., $\widetilde{f}_\beta[\lambda_i]$) be lifts to A (resp., B) of the $\bar{e}_\beta\otimes\lambda_i$ (resp., $\bar{f}_\beta\otimes\lambda_i$).

Let us define $\widetilde{I}_M^{(A^{in})}$ (resp., $\widetilde{I}_M^{(B^{in})}$) as the submodule of A^{in} (resp., B^{in}) spanned by the products

$$\prod_{i=1}^{k}\prod_{\beta\in\Delta_+} p_{in}^{(A)}(\widetilde{e}_\beta[\lambda_i])^{k(i,\beta)}, \prod_{l=\infty}^{N_0}\prod_{s\in S}\prod_{\beta\in\Delta_+} p_{in}^{(A)}(\widetilde{e}_\beta[z_s^l])^{k(l,s,\beta)}, \tag{59}$$

resp.,

$$\prod_{i=1}^{k}\prod_{\beta\in\Delta_+} p_{in}^{(B)}(\widetilde{f}_\beta[\lambda_i])^{k(i,\beta)}, \prod_{l=\infty}^{N_0}\prod_{s\in S}\prod_{\beta\in\Delta_+} p_{in}^{(B)}(\widetilde{f}_\beta[z_s^l])^{k(l,s,\beta)}, \tag{60}$$

where almost all exponents are zero and at least one of the $k(l,s,\beta)$ is nonzero when $l\ge M$.

It follows from [10] that A^{in} (resp., B^{in}) is a topologically free $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module, such that $A^{in}/\hbar A^{in} = UL\mathfrak{n}_+ \otimes_{UL\mathfrak{n}_+^{out}} \mathbb{C}$ (resp., $B^{in}/\hbar B^{in} = UL\mathfrak{n}_- \otimes_{UL\mathfrak{n}_-^{out}} \mathbb{C}$). Therefore, the families (59) (resp., (60)), where almost all exponents are zero, is a topological basis of A^{in} (resp., B^{in}). Therefore $(A^{in}/\widetilde{I}_M^{(A^{in})})[\alpha]$ and $(B^{in}/\widetilde{I}_M^{(B^{in})})[-\alpha]$ are finitely generated $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-modules. Since for any N, there exists M such that $I_N^{(A^{in})}[\alpha] \supset \widetilde{I}_M^{(A^{in})}[\alpha]$ and $I_N^{(B^{in})}[-\alpha] \supset \widetilde{I}_M^{(B^{in})}[-\alpha]$, $A^{in}/I_N^{(A^{in})}[\alpha]$ and $B^{in}/I_N^{(B^{in})}[-\alpha]$ are finitely generated $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-modules. ☐

Proposition 8.2. *For any integers N and $k \geq 0$, and any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$, there exists an integer $M(N,k,\alpha)$ such that $\widetilde{I}^{(A^{in})}_{M(N,k,\alpha)}[\alpha] \subset I^{(A^{in})}_N[\alpha] + \hbar^k A$, and $\widetilde{I}^{(B^{in})}_{M(N,k,\alpha)}[-\alpha] \subset I^{(B^{in})}_N[-\alpha] + \hbar^k B$.*

Proof. The proposition follows from the following statement. Let (λ_α) be the sequence of elements of Λ given by $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k, (z_s^{N_0})_{s\in S}, (z_s^{N_0+1})_{s\in S}$, etc. It follows from their construction that the $\widetilde{e}_\beta[\lambda_\alpha]$ satisfy the rules

$$[\widetilde{e}_\beta[\lambda_\alpha], \widetilde{e}_\gamma[\lambda_{\alpha'}]] \in \sum_{p\geq 0} \hbar^p A^{out} \left(\prod_{\epsilon\in\Delta_+} \prod_{\alpha''\geq k(\alpha,\alpha',p)} \widetilde{e}_\epsilon[\lambda_{\alpha''}]^{l(\epsilon,i)} \right) \tag{61}$$

and

$$[\widetilde{f}_\beta[\lambda_\alpha], \widetilde{f}_\gamma[\lambda_{\alpha'}]] \in \sum_{p\geq 0} \hbar^p \left(\prod_{\alpha''\geq k(\alpha,\alpha',p)} \prod_{\epsilon\in\Delta_+} \widetilde{f}_\epsilon[\lambda_{\alpha''}]^{l(\epsilon,i)} \right) B^{out}, \tag{62}$$

where the indices $k(\alpha,\alpha',p)$ are such that for α and p fixed, the functions $\alpha' \mapsto k(\alpha,\alpha',p)$ and $\alpha' \mapsto k(\alpha',\alpha,p)$ tend to infinity with α'. ☐

8.1.2. *Construction of $F_{in,out}$ and $F_{out,in}$.* It follows from Proposition 7.2 and Corollary 7.1 that $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_\pm}$ induces nondegenerate pairings

$$\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{out,in} : A^{out} \otimes B^{in} \to \mathbb{C}((\hbar)) \text{ and } \langle\ ,\ \rangle_{in,out} : A^{in} \otimes B^{out} \to \mathbb{C}((\hbar)).$$

Recall that B^{in} is a topologically free $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module. Let us denote by $(B^{in})^{QFSH}$ the image of B^{QFSH} by the projection from B to B^{in}. Then $(B^{in})^{QFSH}$ is a topologically free $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$ module, such that $(B^{in})^{QFSH}/\hbar(B^{in})^{QFSH}$ is isomorphic to the dual $\mathcal{O}_{LN_+^{out}}$ of $UL\mathfrak{n}_+^{out}$.

Moreover, $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{out,in}$ induces a pairing $A^{out} \times (B^{in})^{QFSH} \to \mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$, whose reduction modulo $\hbar$ is the duality pairing between $UL\mathfrak{n}_+$ and its dual. we can then modify the $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module isomorphism of $(B^{in})^{QFSH}$ with $(UL\mathfrak{n}_+^{out})^*[[\hbar]]$, so that the pairing between A^{out} and B^{in} is transported to the canonical pairing between $UL\mathfrak{n}_+^{out}[[\hbar]]$ and its dual.

The fact that it is contained in some $(\widetilde{I}^{(B^{in})}_M \cap (B^{in})^{QFSH})[\alpha]$ shows that $(I^{(B^{in})}_N \cap (B^{in})^{QFSH})[\alpha]$ is a cofinite submodule in $(B^{in})^{QFSH}[\alpha]$ (which means that the corresponding quotient is a finitely generated $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module).

Lemma 8.2. *Let V be a vector space with countable basis. There exists a unique element F_V in $\lim_{\leftarrow W} V[[\hbar]] \otimes (V^*[[\hbar]]/W)$, where the inverse limit is over all cofinite submodules of $V^*[[\hbar]]$, such that for any $\xi \in V^*$, $\langle F_V, \xi \otimes id\rangle$ is equal to the class of ξ in $\lim_{\leftarrow W}(V^*[[\hbar]]/W)$ and for any $v \in V[[\hbar]]$, $\langle F_V, id \otimes v\rangle$ (which is well-defined because the $\hbar$-adic valuation of $\langle v, W\rangle$ tends to infinity) is equal to v.*

Proof. Let W be a cofinite submodule of $V^*[[\hbar]]$. Set $W_0 = W \bmod \hbar$. Then W_0 is a finite-codimensional vector subspace of V^*. It follows that $W_0^\perp$ is a finite-dimensional subspace of V, such that the pairing between $W_0^\perp$ and V^*/W_0 is nondegenerate. Then the class of F_V in $V[[\hbar]] \otimes (V^*[[\hbar]]/W)$ is the image of the corresponding canonical element in $W_0^\perp \otimes (V^*/W_0)$. □

Let us denote by $F_{out,in}[\alpha]$ the canonical element of

$$\lim_{\leftarrow N} A^{out}[\alpha] \otimes (B^{in}/I_N^{(B^{in})})[-\alpha]$$

defined by the pairing $\langle \ , \ \rangle_{out,in}$. Let also $F_{in,out}$ be the canonical element of

$$\prod_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \lim_{\leftarrow N} (A^{in}/I_N^{(A^{in})})[\alpha] \otimes B^{out}[-\alpha]$$

associated with $\langle \ , \ \rangle_{in,out}$.

8.1.3. *Construction of τ_A and τ_B.*

Lemma 8.3. *There exists sections $\sigma_A : A^{in} \to A$ of the projection $p_{in}^{(A)} : A \to A^{in}$, and $\sigma_A : B^{in} \to B$ of $p_{in}^{(B)}$, such that for any integers N and $k \geq 0$ and any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$, there exists an integer $M(N,k,\alpha)$ such that $\sigma_A^{-1}(I_N^{(A)}[\alpha]) \subset I_{M(N,k,\alpha)}^{(A^{in})} + \hbar^k A$, and $\sigma_B^{-1}(I_N^{(B)}[\alpha]) \subset I_{M(N,k,\alpha)}^{(B^{in})} + \hbar^k B$.*

Proof. The family $p_{in}^{(A)}(\prod_{\alpha=0}^{\infty} \prod_{\beta \in \Delta_+} \widetilde{f}_\beta[\lambda_i]^{n(i,\beta)})$ is a topological basis of A^{in}. Set

$$\sigma_A(p_{in}^{(A)}(\prod_{i=0}^{\infty} \prod_{\beta \in \Delta_+} \widetilde{e}_\beta[\lambda_i]^{n(i,\beta)})) = \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} \prod_{\beta \in \Delta_+} \widetilde{e}_\beta[\lambda_i]^{n(i,\beta)}.$$

In the same way, set

$$\sigma_B(p_{in}^{(B)}(\prod_{i=\infty}^{0} \prod_{\beta \in \Delta_+} \widetilde{e}_\beta[\lambda_i]^{n(i,\beta)})) = (\prod_{i=0}^{\infty} \prod_{\beta \in \Delta_+} \widetilde{e}_\beta[\lambda_i]^{n(i,\beta)}).$$

σ_A and σ_B are then lifts of $p_{in}^{(A)}$ and $p_{in}^{(B)}$, and their continuity properties follows from Proposition 8.2. □

Inclusion followed by multiplication induces isomorphisms $i_A : \sigma_A(A^{in}) \otimes A^{out} \to A$ and $i_B : B^{out} \otimes \sigma_B(B^{in}) \to B$. Define linear maps $p_{out}^{(A)} : A \to A^{out}$ and $p_{out}^{(B)} : B \to B^{out}$ by

$$p_{out}^{(A)} = (\varepsilon \otimes id) \circ i_A^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad p_{out}^{(B)} = (id \otimes \varepsilon) \circ i_B^{-1}.$$

Lemma 8.4. *$p_{out}^{(A)}$ (resp., $p_{out}^{(B)}$) is a right (resp., left) A^{out}-module (resp., B^{out}-module) map, such that $p_{out}^{(A)}(1) = 1$ (resp., $p_{out}^{(B)}(1) = 1$). Moreover, for any integer $k \geq 0$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$, there exists an integer $N(k,\alpha)$ such that $(p_{out}^{(A)})^{-1}(\hbar^k A^{out}) \supset I_{N(k,\alpha)}^{(A)}$ and $(p_{out}^{(B)})^{-1}(\hbar^k B^{out}) \supset I_{N(k,\alpha)}^{(B)}$.*

Proof. The first part of the lemma is clear. The continuity statement follows from estimates (61) and (62). $\square$

Out next step is the construction of maps τ_A and τ_B. In order to state their properties, we introduce maps Δ_A and Δ_B, which are modifications of the restrictions of the coproducts Δ and Δ' to A and B.

Let us denote by $U_\hbar\mathfrak{h}_+$ (resp., $U_\hbar\mathfrak{h}_-$) the subalgebra of $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_+$ (resp., $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_-$) generated by D and the $h_i[r], i = 1, \dots, n, r \in R$ (resp., by K and the $h_i[\lambda], i = 1, \dots, n, \lambda \in \Lambda$). Inclusion followed by multiplication induces a $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module isomorphism $i_+ : U_\hbar\mathfrak{h}_+ \otimes A \to U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_+$ (resp., $i_- : U_\hbar\mathfrak{h}_- \otimes B \to U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_-$). Let us denote by $\pi_A : U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_+ \to A$ (resp., $\pi_B : U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_- \to B$) the composition $(\varepsilon \otimes id) \circ i_+^{-1}$ (resp., $(\varepsilon \otimes id) \circ i_-^{-1}$).

Define

$$\Delta_A : A \to \lim_{\leftarrow k} \lim_{\leftarrow N} (A/I_N^{(A)}) \otimes A/(\hbar^k)$$

and

$$\Delta_B : B \to \lim_{\leftarrow k} \lim_{\leftarrow N} B \otimes (B/I_N^{(B)})/(\hbar^k)$$

as the compositions $(\pi_A \otimes id) \circ \Delta_{|A}$ and $(\pi_B \otimes id) \circ \Delta'_{|B}$. For I a subset of $\{1, \dots, n\}$, let $\bar{I}$ denote its complement $\{1, \dots, n\} - I$. We have then

$$\Delta_A(\prod_{j=1}^N e_{i_j}(z_j)) = \sum_{I \subset \{1, \dots, N\}} \left(\prod_{j \in I, j' \in \bar{I}, j \geq j'} q_{i_j i_{j'}}(z_j, z_{j'})^{-1} \right) \prod_{j \in I} e_{i_j}(z_j) \otimes \prod_{j' \in \bar{I}} e_{i_{j'}}(z_{j'}),$$

and

$$\Delta_B(\prod_{j=1}^N f_{i_j}(z_j)) = \sum_{I \subset \{1, \dots, N\}} \left(\prod_{j \in I, j' \in \bar{I}, j \geq j'} q_{i_j i_{j'}}(z_{j'}, z_j)^{-1} \right) \prod_{j \in I} f_{i_j}(z_j) \otimes \prod_{j' \in \bar{I}} f_{i_{j'}}(z_{j'}).$$

There are unique automorphisms S_A of $\lim_{\leftarrow N}(A/I_N^{(A)})/(\hbar^k)$ and S_B of $\lim_{\leftarrow k} \lim_{\leftarrow N}(B/I_N^{(B)})/(\hbar^k)$, such that for any $a \in A$, $\sum a^{(1)} S_A(a^{(2)}) = \sum S_A(a^{(1)}) a^{(2)} = \varepsilon(a) 1_A$ and for any $b \in B$, $\sum b^{(1)} S_B(b^{(2)}) = \sum S_B(b^{(1)}) b^{(2)} = \varepsilon(b) 1_B$. If $\alpha = \sum_i n_i \alpha_i \in \mathbb{N}^n$, we have $(S_A)_{|A[\alpha]} = (-1)^{\sum_i n_i} id_{A[\alpha]}$ and $(S_B)_{|B[-\alpha]} = (-1)^{\sum_i n_i} id_{B[-\alpha]}$.

The maps Δ_A and S_A (resp., Δ_B and S_B) are continuous with respect to the topology defined by the $I_N^{(A)}$ (resp., $I_N^{(B)}$).

The Hopf pairing rules then yield

$$\langle aa', b \rangle_{U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_\pm} = \langle a \otimes a', \Delta_B(b) \rangle_{U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_\pm^{\otimes 2}}, \quad \text{and} \quad \langle a, bb' \rangle_{U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_\pm} = \langle \Delta_A(a), b \otimes b' \rangle_{U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_\pm^{\otimes 2}}, \tag{63}$$

for any a, a' in A and b, b' in B.

For C any augmented algebra, we denote by C_0 the kernel of its augmentation.

It follows from the Hopf pairing rules and the fact the A^{out} (resp., B^{out}) is a subalgebra of A (resp., B) that $\Delta_A(A_0^{out} A)$ (resp., $\Delta_B(BB_0^{out})$) is contained in the completion of $A_0^{out} A \otimes A + A \otimes A_0^{out} A$ (resp., of $BB_0^{out} \otimes B + A \otimes BB_0^{out}$). It follows that Δ_A (resp., Δ_B) induces a map $\Delta_{A^{in}} : A^{in} \to \lim_{\leftarrow k} \lim_{\leftarrow N}(A^{in}/I_N^{(A^{in})}) \otimes A^{in}/(\hbar^k)$ (resp., $\Delta_{B^{in}} : B^{in} \to \lim_{\leftarrow k} \lim_{\leftarrow N} B^{in} \otimes (B^{in}/I_N^{(B^{in})})/(\hbar^k)$).

Proposition 8.3. *There exists a $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-linear map τ_A (resp., τ_B) from A^{in} to A (resp., from B^{in} to B), which is a section of the canonical projection $p_{in}^{(A)} : A \to A^{in}$ (resp., $p_{in}^{(B)} : B \to B^{in}$), such that for any N, k, α, there exists $M(N,k,\alpha)$ such that $\tau_A^{-1}((I_N^{(A)}+\hbar^k A)[\alpha]) \supset (I_{M(N,k,\alpha)}^{(A^{in})}+\hbar^k A^{in})[\alpha]$, and $\tau_B^{-1}((I_N^{(B)}+\hbar^k B)[-\alpha]) \supset (I_{M(N,k,\alpha)}^{(B^{in})}+\hbar^k B^{in})[-\alpha]$, and satisfying*

$$\varepsilon(\tau_A(a^{in})) = \varepsilon(a^{in}), \quad (id \otimes p_{in}^{(A)})\Delta_A(\tau_A(a^{in})) = (\tau_A \otimes id)(\Delta_{A^{in}}(a^{in})) \tag{64}$$

for any $a^{in} \in A^{in}$, and

$$\varepsilon(\tau_B(b^{in})) = \varepsilon(b^{in}), \quad (p_{in}^{(B)} \otimes id)\Delta_B(\tau_B(b^{in})) = (id \otimes \tau_B)(\Delta_{B^{in}}(b^{in}))$$

for any $b^{in} \in B^{in}$.

Proof. Define τ_A as follows. Let j_A be the composition $(p_{out}^{(A)} \otimes p_{in}^{(A)}) \circ \Delta_A$; j_A induces a $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-linear map from $\lim_{\leftarrow k} \lim_{\leftarrow N} A/(I_N^{(A)}+\hbar^k A)$ to $\lim_{\leftarrow k} \lim_{\leftarrow N} A^{out} \otimes (A^{in}/I_N^{(A^{in})})/(\hbar^k)$.

For any $a^{in} \in \sigma_A(A^{in})$, let us set $\varpi(a^{in}) = \sum p_{out}^{(A)}(a^{in(1)})(\sigma_A \circ p_{in}^{(A)})(a^{in(2)})$, where $\Delta_A(a) = \sum a^{(1)} \otimes a^{(2)}$. $p_{in}^{(A)}(\varpi(a^{in})) = p_{in}^{(A)}(a^{in})$, therefore ϖ is injective, so there is a unique bicontinuous isomorphism $\mu_\varpi : A^{out} \otimes A^{in} \to A$ such that $\mu_\varpi(a^{out} \otimes a^{in}) = a^{out}\varpi(a^{in})$. On the other hand, $\Delta_A(A^{out})$ is contained in the completion of $A \otimes A^{out}$, so for any $a^{out} \in A^{out}$ and $a \in A$, we have $(id \otimes pr_{in}^{(A)})(a^{out}a) = (a^{out} \otimes 1)\Delta_A(a^{in})$. All this implies that the map j_A has a continuous inverse, which is the unique map j_A^{-1} such that $j_A^{-1}(a^{out} \otimes a^{in}) = \mu(1 \otimes \sigma_A)\mu_\varpi^{-1}(a^{out}a^{in})$, where μ is the product map in A.

For a^{in} in A^{in}, let us set

$$\tau_A(a^{in}) = j_A^{-1}(1 \otimes a^{in}).$$

In the same way, define $j_B : \lim_{\leftarrow k} \lim_{\leftarrow N}(B/I_N^{(B)}+\hbar^k B) \to \lim_{\leftarrow k} \lim_{\leftarrow N}(B^{in}/I_N^{(B^{in})}) \otimes B^{out}/(\hbar^k)$ as $(p_{in}^{(B)} \otimes p_{out}^{(B)}) \circ \Delta_B$, and for b^{in} in B^{in}, set

$$\tau_B(b^{in}) = j_B^{-1}(b^{in} \otimes 1).$$

The first identity of (64) is clear. The coassociativity of Δ_A implies that $(id \otimes \Delta_{A^{in}}) \circ j_A = (j_A \otimes p_{in}^{(A)}) \circ \Delta_A$. Therefore, $(j_A^{-1} \otimes id)(id \otimes \Delta_{A^{in}}) = (id \otimes p_{in}^{(A)}) \circ \Delta_A \circ j_A^{-1}$. Restricting this identity to $1 \otimes A^{in}$ yields the second identity of (64). □

8.1.4. *Construction of F_1, F_{int} and F_2.* Let us set

$$F_1 = (\tau_A \otimes id)(F_{in,out}), \quad F_2 = (id \otimes \tau_B)(F_{out,in}).$$

Then

$$F_1 \in \lim_{\leftarrow k} \lim_{\leftarrow N} (A/I_N^{(A)}) \otimes B^{out}/(\hbar^k) \quad \text{and} \quad F_2 \in \lim_{\leftarrow k} \lim_{\leftarrow N} A^{out} \otimes (B/I_N^{(B)})/(\hbar^k).$$

Lemma 8.5. *When $b \in B$, the valuation of $\langle I_N^{(A)}, b\rangle_{U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_\pm}$ tends to infinity with N. Therefore $\langle F_1, b \otimes id\rangle_{U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_\pm}$ is a well-defined element of B^{out}.*

Let us set $\Pi_B(b) = \langle F_1, b \otimes id\rangle_{U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_\pm}$. Then Π_B is a linear map from B to B^{out}, and it is a right B^{out}-module map. We have $\Pi_B(1) = 1$.

In the same way, if we set for $a \in A$, $\Pi_A(a) = \langle F_2, id \otimes a\rangle_{U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_\pm}$, then Π_A is a linear map from A to A^{out}, which is a right A^{out}-module map such that $\Pi_A(1) = 1$.

Proof. This follows from the pairing rules (63) and the coproduct properties of τ_A and τ_B proved in Proposition 8.3. ☐

Let us define

$$\Delta_A^{(2)} : A \to \lim_{\leftarrow k} \lim_{\leftarrow N} \left((A/I_N^{(A)}) \otimes (A/I_N^{(A)}) \otimes A/(\hbar^k) \right),$$

$$\Delta_B^{(2)} : B \to \lim_{\leftarrow k} \lim_{\leftarrow N} \left(B \otimes (B/I_N^{(B)}) \otimes (B/I_N^{(B)})/(\hbar^k) \right)$$

as the compositions $(\pi_A \otimes \pi_A \otimes id) \circ (id \otimes \Delta_{|A}) \circ \Delta_{|A}$ and $(id \otimes \pi_B \otimes \pi_B) \circ (id \otimes \Delta'_{|B}) \circ \Delta'_{|B}$. We have $\Delta_A^{(2)} = (\Delta_A \otimes id) \circ \Delta_A = (id \otimes \Delta_A) \circ \Delta_A$ and $\Delta_B^{(2)} = (\Delta_B \otimes id) \circ \Delta_B = (id \otimes \Delta_B) \circ \Delta_B$.

For b in B, let us set

$$\sigma_{int}(b) = \sum \langle F_1, b^{(1)} \otimes id\rangle_{U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_\pm} S_B(b^{(2)}) \langle F_2, b^{(3)} \otimes id\rangle_{U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_\pm},$$

where $\sum b^{(1)} \otimes b^{(2)} \otimes b^{(3)}$ is $\Delta_B^{(2)}(b)$. Then σ_{int} is a linear map from B to $\lim_{\leftarrow k} \lim_{\leftarrow N} B/(I_N^{(B)} + \hbar^k B)$.

Lemma 8.6. *$(A^{out})^\perp$ is contained in the kernel of σ_{int}.*

Proof. Let us fix b in $(A^{out})^\perp$. It follows from Cor. 7.1 that b is a sum of elements of the form $b' f_i[r]$, $b' \in B$, $r \in R$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. One checks that $\Delta_B(b' f_i[r])$ can be written as a series $\Delta_B(b')(f_i[r] \otimes 1) + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{r'' \in R} \sum_\gamma (b'_\gamma \otimes b''_\gamma)(1 \otimes f_i[r''])$, where $b'_\gamma, b''_\gamma \in B$. The pairing of F_2 with the second factor of the latter sum is zero. Therefore, if we set $\Delta_B(b') = \sum b'^{(1)} \otimes b'^{(2)}$, and since $\langle F_1, b \otimes id\rangle_{U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_\pm} = \Pi_B(b)$,

$$\sigma_{int}(b) = \sum \Pi_B((b'^{(1)} f_i[r])^{(1)}) S_B((b'^{(1)} f_i[r])^{(2)}) \langle F_2, b'^{(2)} \otimes id\rangle_{U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_\pm}.$$

it follows from the explicit form of Δ_B and S_B that $(id \otimes S_B) \circ \Delta_B(b'^{(1)} f_i[r]) = \sum (b'^{(1)} f_i[r])^{(1)} \otimes S_B((b'^{(1)} f_i[r])^{(2)})$ is the sum of a series $\sum_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}} \sum_\alpha a_{i\alpha} f_i[r_\alpha] \otimes b_{i\alpha} - a_{i\alpha} \otimes f_i[r_\alpha] b_{i\alpha}$, where $a_{i\alpha}$ and $b_{i\alpha}$ belong to B. Since Π_B is a right B^{out}-module map, $\sigma_{int}(b) = 0$. ☐

Lemma 8.7. *B^{out} injects into $\lim_{\leftarrow k}\lim_{\leftarrow N} B/(I_N^{(B)} + \hbar^k B)$. The annihilator of $\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{r\in R} Ae_i[r]$ in $\lim_{\leftarrow k}\lim_{\leftarrow N} B/(I_N^{(B)} + \hbar^k B)$ for the pairing induced by $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_\pm}$ is B^{out}.*

Proof. More generally, let us show that B injects into $\lim_{\leftarrow k}\lim_{\leftarrow N} B/(I_N^{(B)} + \hbar^k B)$. This means that $\cap_{k,N}(I_N^{(B)} + \hbar^k B) = 0$. Let x belong to this intersection. For any $a \in A$, the $\hbar$-adic valuation of $\langle a, I_N^{(B)} + \hbar^k B\rangle_{U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_\pm}$ tends to infinity with k and N. Therefore $\langle a, x\rangle_{U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_\pm}$ vanishes, and since $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_\pm}$ is nondegenerate, x is zero.

The inverse limit $\lim_{\leftarrow k}\lim_{\leftarrow N} B/(I_N^{(B)} + \hbar^k B)$ injects into $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]}(A, \mathbb{C}((\hbar)))$, therefore it is torsion-free. Therefore it is a topologically free module, with associated vector space $\lim_{\leftarrow N} UL\mathfrak{n}_- / \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{s\in S, k\geq N} UL\mathfrak{n}_- f_i[z_s^k]$. The annihilator of $\mathcal{O}_{L\mathfrak{n}_+^{out}}$ in this space is $UL\mathfrak{n}_-^{out}$. The lemma follows. □

Lemma 8.8. *The image of σ^{int} is contained in B^{out}.*

Proof. Let us fix a, b in A and B, i in $\{1, \dots, n\}$ and r in R. As in Lemma 8.6, the fact that Π_A is a left A^{out}-module map implies that $\langle ae_i[r], \sigma^{int}(b)\rangle_{U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_\pm}$ is zero. So the image of σ^{int} is contained in the annihilator of $\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{r\in R} Ae_i[r]$. The lemma then follows from Lemma 8.7. □

It follows from Lemmas 8.6 and 8.8 that σ_{int} induces a map $\widetilde{\sigma}_{int}$ from B^{in} to B^{out}. Moreover, $\widetilde{\sigma}_{int}$ is continuous in the following sense: for any integer $k \geq 0$ and any α in $\mathbb{N}^n$, there exists an integer $N(k,\alpha)$ such that $\widetilde{\sigma}_{int}^{-1}(\hbar^k B^{out}) \supset I_{N(k,\alpha)}^{(A^{in})}[-\alpha]$. It follows that if we set

$$F'_{int} = (id \otimes \widetilde{\sigma}_{int})(F_{out,in}),$$

F'_{int} belongs to $\prod_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n} \lim_{\leftarrow k}(A^{out}[\alpha] \otimes B^{out}[-\alpha])/\hbar^k(A^{out}[\alpha] \otimes B^{out}[-\alpha])$. Moreover, the bidegree $(0,0)$ component of F'_{int} is equal to $1 \otimes 1$. Since A^{out} and B^{out} are graded algebras, the series $F_{int} = \sum_{i\geq 0}(-1)^i(F'_{int} - 1\otimes 1)^i$ belongs to $\prod_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n} \lim_{\leftarrow k}(A^{out}[\alpha] \otimes B^{out}[-\alpha])/\hbar^k(A^{out}[\alpha] \otimes B^{out}[-\alpha])$. We have $F_{int}F'_{int} = F'_{int}F_{int} = 1$.

8.1.5. *Definition and pairing properties of F.* For any homogeneous elements a, b in A and B of degrees $|a|, |b|$, for any integers N and $k \geq 0$, and for any α in $\mathbb{N}^n$, there exists integers $M(N,k,a,\alpha)$ and $M(N,k,b,\alpha)$ such that

$$I_{M(N,k,a,\alpha)}^{(A)}[\alpha - |a|]a \subset I_N^{(A)}[\alpha] + \hbar^k A, \quad \text{and} \quad I_{M(N,k,b,\alpha)}^{(B)}[-\alpha - |b|]b \subset I_N^{(B)}[-\alpha] + \hbar^k B.$$

It follows that

$$\prod_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n} \lim_{\leftarrow k}\lim_{\leftarrow N}((A/I_N^{(A)})[\alpha] \otimes (B/I_N^{(B)})[-\alpha])/(\hbar^k) \tag{65}$$

has an algebra structure. Moreover, $\prod_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n}\lim_{\leftarrow k}(A^{out}[\alpha]\otimes B^{out}[-|\alpha])/(\hbar^k)$ is a subalgebra of (65). Let us set

$$F = F_2 F_{int} F_1.$$

Then F belongs to the algebra (65).

For any element ϕ of the algebra (65), and any elements a, b of A and B , $\langle\phi, b\otimes id\rangle_{U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_\pm}$ is a well-defined element of $\lim_{\leftarrow k}\lim_{\leftarrow N}\hbar^{\deg(b)}B/(I_N^{(B)}+\hbar^k B)[|b|]$, and $\langle\phi, id\otimes a\rangle_{U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_\pm}$ is a well-defined element of $\lim_{\leftarrow k}\lim_{\leftarrow N}\hbar^{-\deg(a)}A/(I_N^{(A)}+\hbar^k A)[|a|]$ ($\deg(a)$ and $\deg(b)$ are the principal degrees of a and b, defined as $\deg(a)=\sum_i n_i$ if $|a|=\sum_i n_i\alpha_i$ and $\deg(b)=-\sum_i m_i$ if $|b|=-\sum_i m_i\alpha_i$).

Proposition 8.4. *For any elements a of A and b of B, we have*

$$\langle F, id\otimes a\rangle_{U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_\pm} = a \quad \text{and} \quad \langle F, b\otimes id\rangle_{U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_\pm} = b.$$

Proof. Let us prove the second equality. Since S_B is the only linear endomorphism of B satisfying the identity $\sum b^{(1)}S_B(b^{(2)})=\varepsilon(b)$, and by the Hopf pairing rules, this equality is equivalent to

$$\forall b\in B, \quad \langle F^{-1}, b\otimes id\rangle_{U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_\pm} = S_B(b).$$

$\langle F^{-1}, b\otimes id\rangle_{U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_\pm}$ is equal to $\sum\langle F_1^{-1}, b^{(1)}\otimes id\rangle_{U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_\pm}\langle F'_{int}, b^{(2)}\otimes id\rangle_{U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_\pm}\langle F_2^{-1}, b^{(3)}\otimes id\rangle_{U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_\pm}$. Since $\langle F'_{int}, b\otimes id\rangle_{U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_\pm}$ is equal to $\sigma_{int}(b)$, the definition of σ_{int} and the pairing rules (63) imply that this is $S_B(b)$. The proof of the first identity is similar. ☐

Remark 5. Assume that Λ is a ∂-invariant subalgebra of $\mathcal{K}$. This is the case if $C=\mathbb{C}P^1$, $\omega=dz$ and $S=S_0\cup\{\infty\}$, where S_0 is a finite subset of $\mathbb{C}$. Then if we set $z_s=z-s$ for $s\in S_0$ and $z_\infty=z^{-1}$, so $\mathcal{K}=\prod_{s\in S_0}\mathbb{C}((z_s))\times\mathbb{C}((z_\infty))$, $R=\mathbb{C}[z,\frac{1}{z-s}, s\in S_0]$ and we may set $\Lambda=\prod_{s\in S_0}\mathbb{C}[[z_s]]\times z_\infty\mathbb{C}[[z_\infty]]$.

Then $\mathfrak{n}_\pm\otimes\Lambda$ is a Lie subalgebra of $L\mathfrak{n}_\pm=\mathfrak{n}_\pm\otimes\mathcal{K}$. Let us denote by A_Λ (resp., B_Λ) the subalgebra of A (resp., of B) generated by the $e_i[\lambda], i\in\{1,\dots,n\},\lambda\in\Lambda$ (resp., the $f_i[\lambda], i\in\{1,\dots,n\},\lambda\in\Lambda$). Then $A_\Lambda\subset A$ (resp., $B_\Lambda\subset B$) is a flat deformation of the inclusion $U(\mathfrak{n}_\pm\otimes\Lambda)\subset UL\mathfrak{n}_\pm$.

The restriction of $p_{in}^{(A)}$ to A_Λ (resp., of $p_{in}^{(B)}$ to B_Λ) induces an isomorphism from A_Λ to A^{in} (resp., from B_Λ to B^{in}). We may choose σ_A and σ_B to be the corresponding inverse maps.

Then F_{int} equals 1, so $F=F_2F_1$. In that case, $p_{out}^{(A)}=\Pi_A$ and $p_{out}^{(B)}=\Pi_B$. So F_1 is the Hopf twist relating Drinfeld's coproduct and the usual coproduct of the Yangian algebra (the latter coproduct is defined in terms of L-operators, in the case $\mathfrak{a}=\mathfrak{sl}_n$). This can be proved using the arguments of [6] (there we treated the case $\mathfrak{a}=\mathfrak{sl}_2$ and $S=\{\infty\}$).

Remark 6. In [12], Khoroshkin and Tolstoy expressed F_1 and F_2 in terms of the generators of the algebras $U_\hbar L\mathfrak{n}_\pm$, in the case $C=\mathbb{C}P^1$, $\omega=\frac{dz}{z}$. In the particular case $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$, Khoroshkin and Pakuliak also showed the commutativity of the families $I_n^+ = \mathrm{res}_{z=0}(e^+(z) \otimes f^-(z))^n \frac{dz}{z}$ and $I_n^- = \mathrm{res}_{z=0}(e^-(z) \otimes f^+(z))^n \frac{dz}{z}$, where $x^+(z) = \sum_{n\geq 0} x[z^n]z^{-n}$ and $x^-(z) = \sum_{n<0} x[z^n]z^{-n}$ for $x \in \{e, f\}$, and they expressed F_1 and F_2 as series in the $I_n^\pm$.

8.2. **The R-matrices.** In this section, we express the R-matrices $\mathcal{R}$ and $\bar{\mathcal{R}}$ of $(U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}, \Delta)$ and $(U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}, \bar{\Delta})$. We then show properties on the $\hbar$-adic valuation of F, F_1, F_2 and prove that F satisfies a Hopf cocycle property.

Let us set $U = U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$ and define U_+ (resp., U_-) as the $\hbar$-adically complete subalgebra of U generated by $K, D, h_i[\epsilon], e_i[\epsilon]$ (resp., $K, D, h_i[\epsilon], f_i[\epsilon]$), $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \epsilon \in \mathcal{K}$.

Recall that $\mathcal{R}_\mathfrak{h}$ belongs to the algebra $\lim_{\leftarrow k}\lim_{\leftarrow N}(U_+[0]\otimes(U_-/U_-\cap I_N)[0])/(\hbar^k)$. On the other hand, F belongs to

$$\lim_{\leftarrow k}\prod_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n}\lim_{\leftarrow N}(U_+/U_+\cap I_N)[\alpha]\otimes(U_-/U_-\cap I_N)[-\alpha]/(\hbar^k); \tag{66}$$

multiplication induces on (66) the structure of a left module over $\lim_{\leftarrow k}\lim_{\leftarrow N}(U_+[0]\otimes(U_-/U_-\cap I_N)[0])/(\hbar^k)$, therefore the product

$$\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_\mathfrak{h} F$$

is a well-defined element of (66). In fact, $\mathcal{R}$ even belongs to

$$\lim_{\leftarrow k}\prod_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n}\lim_{\leftarrow N} U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_+/(U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_+\cap I_N)[\alpha]\otimes U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_-/(U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_-\cap I_N)[-\alpha]/(\hbar^k),$$

and the Hopf pairing rules imply that it satisfies the identities

$$\langle\mathcal{R}, id\otimes a\rangle_{U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_\pm} = a, \quad \langle\mathcal{R}, b\otimes id\rangle_{U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_\pm} = b \tag{67}$$

for a in $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_+$ and b in $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_-$.

Recall that Δ and Δ' both map $U_\pm$ to

$$\lim_{\leftarrow k}\lim_{\leftarrow N} U_\pm/(U_\pm\cap I_N)\otimes U_\pm/(U_\pm\cap I_N)/(\hbar^k).$$

On the other hand, the multiplication map of $U_+\otimes U$ induces an algebra structure on

$$\lim_{\leftarrow k}\bigoplus_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n}\prod_{\beta\in\mathbb{N}^n} U_+/(U_+\cap I_N)[\beta]\otimes U/I_N[\alpha-\beta]/(\hbar^k). \tag{68}$$

Then the identities (67) imply that for $x\in U_+$, the equality

$$\mathcal{R}\Delta(x) = \Delta'(x)\mathcal{R} \tag{69}$$

takes place in (68). The identitites (67) also imply that the equalities

$$(\Delta\otimes id)(\mathcal{R}) = \mathcal{R}^{(13)}\mathcal{R}^{(23)}, \quad (id\otimes\Delta)(\mathcal{R}) = \mathcal{R}^{(13)}\mathcal{R}^{(12)} \tag{70}$$

hold in

$$\lim_{\leftarrow k}\prod_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n}\bigoplus_{\beta,\gamma\in\mathbb{N}^n|\beta+\gamma=\alpha}\lim_{\leftarrow N}(U_+/U_+\cap I_N)[\alpha]\otimes(U_-/U_-\cap I_N)[-\beta]\otimes(U_-/U_-\cap I_N)[-\gamma]/(\hbar^k)$$

and in

$$\lim_{\leftarrow k} \prod_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n} \bigoplus_{\beta,\gamma\in\mathbb{N}^n|\beta+\gamma=\alpha} \lim_{\leftarrow N}(U_+/U_+\cap I_N)[\beta]\otimes(U_+/U_+\cap I_N)[\gamma]\otimes(U_-/U_-\cap I_N)[-\alpha]/(\hbar^k).$$

In [4], Section 2.1, we proved a statement of Drinfeld on the form of the R-matrix for quantized Kac-Moody algebras ([1]). The same argument, together with (69) and (70), implies that F has the following form.

Proposition 8.5. *For any α in $\mathbb{N}^r$, let us denote by F_α the bidegree $(\alpha,-\alpha)$ part of F. There is a unique integer k such that α belongs to $k(\Delta_+\cup\{0\})\setminus(k-1)(\Delta_+\cup\{0\})$, which we denote $\ell(\alpha)$. Then F_α belongs to the completion of $\hbar^{\ell(\alpha)}(A[\alpha]\otimes B[-\alpha])$, and $\hbar^{-\ell(\alpha)}F_\alpha$ mod $\hbar$ is equal to*

$$\frac{1}{\ell(\alpha)!}\left(\sum_{\beta\in\Delta_+}\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}} e_\beta[\epsilon^l]\otimes f_\beta[\epsilon_l]\right)^{\ell(\alpha)}.$$

The properties of I_N imply that $\lim_{\leftarrow k}\lim_{\leftarrow N}(U/I_N)\otimes(U/I_N)/(\hbar^k)$ has an algebra structure. Then it follows from Proposition 8.5 that

Corollary 8.1. *F and F^{-1} belong to $\lim_{\leftarrow k}\lim_{\leftarrow N}(A/I_N^{(A)})\otimes(B/I_N^{(B)})/(\hbar^k)$. Therefore (as does $\mathcal{R}_\mathfrak{h}$), F, $\mathcal{R}$ and their inverses belong to $\lim_{\leftarrow k}\lim_{\leftarrow N}(U/I_N)\otimes(U/I_N)/(\hbar^k)$.*

It follows that the identities (70) take place in $\lim_{\leftarrow k}\lim_{\leftarrow N}(U/I_N)^{\otimes 3}/(\hbar^k)$, and the identity $\Delta'(x)=\mathcal{R}\Delta(x)\mathcal{R}^{-1}$ holds in $\lim_{\leftarrow k}\lim_{\leftarrow N}(U/I_N)^{\otimes 2}/(\hbar^k)$, for any $x\in U$.

Moreover, one checks that $\mathcal{R}_\mathfrak{h}$ is a Hopf twist connecting $\bar\Delta$ and Δ'; more precisely, we have the identities

$$\Delta'(x)=\mathcal{R}_\mathfrak{h}\bar\Delta(x)\mathcal{R}_\mathfrak{h}^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{R}_\mathfrak{h}^{(12)}(\bar\Delta\otimes id)(\mathcal{R}_\mathfrak{h})=\mathcal{R}_\mathfrak{h}^{(23)}(id\otimes\bar\Delta)(\mathcal{R}_\mathfrak{h})$$

in $\lim_{\leftarrow k}\lim_{\leftarrow N}(U/I_N)^{\otimes 2}/(\hbar^k)$ and $\lim_{\leftarrow k}\lim_{\leftarrow N}(U/I_N)^{\otimes 3}/(\hbar^k)$, for any $x\in U$. Since the quasitriangular identities mean that $\mathcal{R}$ is a Hopf twist connecting Δ and Δ', we get (as in [9]):

Proposition 8.6. *F is a Hopf twist connecting Δ and $\bar\Delta$, which means that the identities*

$$\bar\Delta(x)=F\Delta(x)F^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad F^{(12)}(\Delta\otimes id)(F)=F^{(23)}(id\otimes\Delta)(F) \tag{71}$$

hold in $\lim_{\leftarrow k}\lim_{\leftarrow N}(U/I_N)^{\otimes 2}/(\hbar^k)$ and in $\lim_{\leftarrow k}\lim_{\leftarrow N}(U/I_N)^{\otimes 3}/(\hbar^k)$, for any $x\in U$.

Corollary 8.2. *F_1 and F_1^{-1} belong to $\lim_{\leftarrow k}\lim_{\leftarrow N}(A/I_N^{(A)})\otimes B^{out}/(\hbar^k)$; F_2 and F_2^{-1} belong to $\lim_{\leftarrow k}\lim_{\leftarrow N}A^{out}\otimes(B/I_N^{(B)})/(\hbar^k)$.*

Proof. It follows from the definition of F and from the fact that F_{int} belongs to $\lim_{\leftarrow k}\prod_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n} A^{out}[\alpha]\otimes B^{out}[-\alpha]/(\hbar^k)$ that $F^{out,in}=(id\otimes p_{in}^{(B)})(F)$ and $F^{in,out}=(p_{in}^{(A)}\otimes id)(F)$. Since $p_{in}^{(A)}$ preserves the degree, the $\hbar$-adic valuation of the bidegree $(\alpha,-\alpha)$ part of $F^{out,in}$ tends to infinity with α. It follows that the same is true for F_2, therefore F_2 belongs to $\lim_{\leftarrow k}\lim_{\leftarrow N} A^{out}\otimes(B/I_N^{(B)})/(\hbar^k)$. Since the bidegree $(\alpha,-\alpha)$ part of F_2 is $1\otimes 1$ if $\alpha=0$ and has positive valuation else, F_2^{-1} belongs to the same completion. The argument is the same in the case of F_1. □

Remark 7. The R-matrix of $(U_\hbar\mathfrak{g},\bar\Delta)$ is then $\bar{\mathcal{R}}=F^{(21)}\mathcal{R}_{\mathfrak{h}}$.

9. QUASI-HOPF STRUCTURES ON $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$ AND $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}^{out}$

In this section, we will denote $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}^{out}$ by U^{out}. Let us set $\widetilde{F}_2=F_2F_{int}$. Then

$$F=\widetilde{F}_2F_1,$$

with $F_1,F_1^{-1}\in\lim_{\leftarrow k}\lim_{\leftarrow N}(U/I_N)\otimes U^{out}/(\hbar^k)$, and $F_2,F_2^{-1}\in\lim_{\leftarrow k}\lim_{\leftarrow N}U^{out}\otimes(U/I_N)/(\hbar^k)$.

Let us set, for x in U,

$$\Delta_{out}(x)=F_1\Delta(x)F_1^{-1}.$$

Proposition 9.1. *Δ_{out} is an algebra morphism from U to* $\lim_{\leftarrow k}(U\otimes U)/(\hbar^k)$.

Proof. Since Δ maps U to

$$U\otimes_< U=\lim_{\leftarrow k}\lim_{\leftarrow N}(U/I_N)\otimes U/(\hbar^k),$$

Δ_{out} is an algebra morphism from U to $U\otimes_< U$. For any integer k, the intersection $\cap_{N\geq 0}(I_N+\hbar^kU)$ is reduced to $\hbar^kU$, therefore $U\otimes_< U$ is a subalgebra of $\lim_{\leftarrow k}\lim_{\leftarrow N}(U/I_N)\otimes(U/I_N)/(\hbar^k)$. Moreover, for any x in U, the identity

$$\Delta_{out}(x)=\widetilde{F}_1^{-1}\bar\Delta(x)\widetilde{F}_2$$

takes place in the latter algebra. Since the right side of this identity belongs to $U\otimes_> U=\lim_{\leftarrow k}\lim_{\leftarrow N}U\otimes(U/I_N)/(\hbar^k)$, Δ_{out} takes values in the intersection $(U\otimes_< U)\cap(U\otimes_> U)$; since for any integer k, the intersection $\cap_{N\geq 0}(I_N+\hbar^kU)$ is reduced to $\hbar^kU$, this intersection is $\lim_{\leftarrow k}(U\otimes U)/(\hbar^k)$. □

Proposition 9.2. *$\Delta_{out}(U^{out})$ is contained in $\lim_{\leftarrow k}(U^{out}\otimes U^{out})/(\hbar^k)$, therefore Δ_{out} induces an algebra morphism from U^{out} to $\lim_{\leftarrow k}(U^{out}\otimes U^{out})/(\hbar^k)$.*

Proof. We have $\Delta(U^{out})\subset\lim_{\leftarrow k}\lim_{\leftarrow N}(U/I_N)\otimes U^{out}/(\hbar^k)$, and $\bar\Delta(U^{out})\subset\lim_{\leftarrow k}\lim_{\leftarrow N}U^{out}\otimes(U/I_N)/(\hbar^k)$. Therefore, $\Delta_{out}(U^{out})$ is contained in the intersection of $\lim_{\leftarrow k}\lim_{\leftarrow N}(U/I_N)\otimes U^{out}/(\hbar^k)$ and $\lim_{\leftarrow k}\lim_{\leftarrow N}U^{out}\otimes(U/I_N)/(\hbar^k)$, which is $\lim_{\leftarrow k}(U^{out}\otimes U^{out})/(\hbar^k)$. □

Let us set

$$\Phi=F_1^{(23)}(id\otimes\Delta)(F_1)\left(F_1^{(12)}(\Delta\otimes id)(F_1)\right)^{-1}.$$

Proposition 9.3. *Φ belongs to $\lim_{\leftarrow k}(U^{out})^{\otimes 3}/(\hbar^k)$, and even to $\lim_{\leftarrow k} A^{out} \otimes U^{out} \otimes B^{out}/(\hbar^k)$.*

Proof. The argument is the same as in [9]. By its definition, Φ belongs to $\lim_{\leftarrow k}\lim_{\leftarrow N}(U/I_N)^{\otimes 2} \otimes B^{out}/(\hbar^k)$. Since F satisfies the cocycle identity (71), we have the equality

$$\Phi = (\widetilde{F}_2^{-1})^{(23)}(id \otimes \bar{\Delta})(\widetilde{F}_2^{-1}) \Big((\widetilde{F}_2^{-1})^{(12)}(\bar{\Delta} \otimes id)(\widetilde{F}_2^{-1}) \Big)^{-1}$$

in $\lim_{\leftarrow k}\lim_{\leftarrow N}(U/I_N)^{\otimes 3}/(\hbar^k)$. Therefore Φ belongs to $\lim_{\leftarrow k}\lim_{\leftarrow N} A^{out} \otimes (U/I_N)^{\otimes 2}/(\hbar^k)$. We can again write Φ as

$$\Phi = ((id \otimes \Delta_{out})(F_1))\,(F_2^{-1})^{(23)}F^{(23)}(\Delta \otimes id)(F^{-1})(\Delta \otimes id)(F_2)(F_1^{-1})^{(12)},$$

which shows that it belongs to $\lim_{\leftarrow k}\lim_{\leftarrow N}(U/I_N) \otimes (U^{out}(U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_+/(U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_+ \cap I_N))U^{out}) \otimes (U/I_N)/(\hbar^k)$, and as

$$\Phi = (F_2^{-1})^{(23)}(id \otimes \bar{\Delta})(F_1)(id \otimes \bar{\Delta})(F^{-1})F^{(12)}(\Delta \otimes id)(F_2)(F_1^{-1})^{(12)},$$

which shows that it belongs to $\lim_{\leftarrow k}\lim_{\leftarrow N}(U/I_N) \otimes (U^{out}(U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_-/(U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_- \cap I_N))U^{out}) \otimes (U/I_N)/(\hbar^k)$. The result now follows from the fact that the intersection of $\lim_{\leftarrow k}\lim_{\leftarrow N} U^{out}(U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_+/(U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_+ \cap I_N))U^{out}/(\hbar^k)$ and $\lim_{\leftarrow k}\lim_{\leftarrow N} U^{out}(U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_-/(U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_- \cap I_N))U^{out}/(\hbar^k)$ is reduced to U^{out}. ☐

Let us set $u_{out} = m(id \otimes S)(F)$, and $S_{out}(x) = u_{out}S(x)u_{out}^{-1}$. Then S_{out} is an algebra morphism from U to $\lim_{\leftarrow k}\lim_{\leftarrow N}(U/I_N)/(\hbar^k)$. The proof of [9], Theorem 6.1, shows that S_{out} is an algebra automorphism of U, which restricts to an algebra automorphism of U^{out}. Then

Theorem 9.1. *The algebra U, endowed with the coproduct Δ_{out}, the associator Φ_{out}, the counit ε, the antipode S_{out} and the R-matrix*

$$\mathcal{R}_{out} = (F_1^{-1})^{(21)}\mathcal{R}_{\mathfrak{h}}\widetilde{F}_2,$$

is a quasitriangular quasi-Hopf algebra. U^{out} is a sub-quasi-Hopf algebra of U. Moreover, $\mathcal{R}_{out}$ belongs to $\lim_{\leftarrow k}\lim_{\leftarrow N} U^{out} \otimes (U/I_N)/(\hbar^k)$.

Appendix A. Proof of Lemma 3.1

To show Lemma 3.1, we will prove the following statements:

1) if $(\alpha, \ldots, \beta')$ satisfies conditions (6) and (7), then the first product of (12) vanishes if we substitute $z = q^{-\partial}w_1$;

2) if $(\alpha, \ldots, \beta')$ satisfies conditions (8) and (9), then the second product of (12) vanishes when we substitute $z = q^{-\partial}w_2$;

3) if $(\alpha, \ldots, \beta')$ satisfies conditions (10) and (11), then the third product of (12) vanishes when we substitute $w_1 = q^{2\partial}w_2$.

A.0.1. *Proof of 1) (sufficient conditions for regularity at $z = q^{-\partial} w_1$).* 1) means that

$$\begin{aligned}&\alpha(q^{-\partial}w_1, w_1, w_2) q_{-2}(q^{-\partial}w_1, w_2) q_4(w_1, w_2) \\ &\quad + \alpha'(q^{-\partial}w_1, w_1, w_2) q_{-2}(q^{-\partial}w_1, w_2) + \beta'(q^{-\partial}w_1, w_1, w_2) = 0.\end{aligned} \tag{72}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} q_{-2}(q^{-\partial}z, w) &= \exp\left(\sum_{\alpha\geq 0} \frac{q^{-\partial} - q^{\partial}}{\partial} q^{-\partial}\lambda_\alpha \otimes r^\alpha\right) \exp\left((q^{-\partial}\otimes id)\tau_{-1}\right) \\ &= \exp\left(\sum_{\alpha} \frac{q^{-2\partial} - 1}{\partial} \lambda_\alpha \otimes r^\alpha\right) \exp\left((q^{-\partial}\otimes id)\tau_{-1}\right), \end{aligned}$$

therefore

$$q_{-2}(q^{-\partial}z, w) = u(z, w) + v(z, w) G^{(21)}(z, w),$$

where

$$u(z, w) = \exp\left((q^{-\partial}\otimes id)\tau_{-1}\right) \exp\left(-\phi(-2\hbar, \gamma, \partial_z)\right)(z, w),$$
$$v(z, w) = -u(z, w)\psi(-2\hbar, \gamma, \partial_z)(z, w).$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} &q_{-2}(q^{-\partial}z, w) q_4(z, w) \\ &= \exp\left(\sum_{\alpha\geq 0} \frac{q^{-2\partial} - 1}{\partial} \lambda_\alpha \otimes r^\alpha\right) \exp\left((q^{-\partial}\otimes id)\tau_{-1}\right) \exp\left(\sum_{\alpha\geq 0} \frac{q^{-2\partial} - q^{2\partial}}{\partial} \lambda_\alpha \otimes r^\alpha\right) \\ &\exp(\tau_2)(z, w) \\ &= \exp\left(\sum_{\alpha\geq 0} \frac{q^{2\partial} - 1}{\partial} \lambda_\alpha \otimes r^\alpha\right) \exp\left(\tau_2 + (q^{-\partial}\otimes id)\tau_{-1}\right)(z, w), \end{aligned}$$

therefore

$$q_{-2}(q^{-\partial}z, w) q_4(z, w) = \left(u' + v' G^{(21)}\right)(z, w),$$

where

$$u' = \exp\left(\tau_2 + (q^{-\partial}\otimes id)\tau_{-1}\right) \exp\left(-\phi(2\hbar, \gamma, \partial_z\gamma, \dots)\right), v' = -u'\psi(2\hbar, \gamma, \partial_z\gamma, \dots).$$

To satisfy (72), we impose the conditions

$$\alpha/\beta'(q^{-\partial}w_1, w_1, w_2) u'(w_1, w_2) + \alpha'/\beta'(q^{-\partial}w_1, w_1, w_2) u(w_1, w_2) + 1 = 0,$$
$$\alpha/\beta'(q^{-\partial}w_1, w_1, w_2) v'(w_1, w_2) + \alpha'/\beta'(q^{-\partial}w_1, w_1, w_2) v(w_1, w_2) = 0,$$

which give

$$\alpha/\beta'(q^{-\partial}w_1, w_1, w_2) = \frac{-v}{u'v - uv'}(w_1, w_2), \quad \alpha'/\beta'(q^{-\partial}w_1, w_1, w_2) = \frac{-v'}{uv' - u'v}(w_1, w_2),$$

that is (6) and (7).

A.0.2. *Proof of 2) (regularity at $z = q^{-\partial}w_2$).* 2) means that

$$\alpha(q^{-\partial}w_2, w_1, w_2)q_{-2}(q^{-\partial}w_2, w_1)q_4(w_1, w_2) + \beta(q^{-\partial}w_2, w_1, w_2)q_4(w_1, w_2) \\ + \alpha'(q^{-\partial}w_2, w_1, w_2)q_{-2}(q^{-\partial}w_2, w_1) = 0,$$

in other terms

$$\alpha(q^{-\partial}w_2, w_1, w_2)q_{-2}(q^{-\partial}w_2, w_1) + \beta(q^{-\partial}w_2, w_1, w_2) \\ + \alpha'(q^{-\partial}w_2, w_1, w_2)q_{-2}(q^{-\partial}w_2, w_1)q_4(w_1, w_2)^{-1} = 0. \tag{73}$$

We have

$$q_{-2}(q^{-\partial}w_2, w_1) = u(w_2, w_1) + v(w_2, w_1)G(w_1, w_2),$$

and

$$q_{-2}(q^{-\partial}w_2, w_1)q_4(w_1, w_2)^{-1} = q_{-2}(q^{-\partial}w_2, w_1)q_4(w_2, w_1) =$$

$$\exp\left(\sum_{\alpha\geq 0}\frac{q^{-\partial}-q^{\partial}}{\partial}q^{-\partial}\lambda_\alpha\otimes r^\alpha\right)\exp\left((q^{-\partial}\otimes id)\tau_{-1}\right)\exp\left(\sum_{\alpha\geq 0}\frac{q^{2\partial}-q^{-2\partial}}{\partial}\lambda_\alpha\otimes r^\alpha\right)$$

$$\exp(\tau_2)(w_2, w_1)$$

$$= \exp\left(\tau_2 + (q^{-\partial}\otimes id)\tau_{-1}\right)\exp\left(\sum_{\alpha\geq 0}\frac{q^{2\partial}-1}{\partial}\lambda_\alpha\otimes r^\alpha\right)(w_2, w_1)$$

$$\exp\left(\tau_2 + (q^{-\partial}\otimes id)\tau_{-1}\right)\exp(-\phi(2\hbar))\left(1 - G^{(21)}\psi(2\hbar)\right)(w_2, w_1).$$

We have therefore

$$q_{-2}(q^{-\partial}w_2, w_1) = l(w_1, w_2) + m(w_1, w_2)G^{(21)}(w_1, w_2),$$

$$q_{-2}(q^{-\partial}w_2, w_1)q_4(w_1, w_2)^{-1} = l'(w_1, w_2) + m'(w_1, w_2)G^{(21)}(w_1, w_2),$$

with

$$l(w_1, w_2) = u(w_2, w_1), \quad m(w_1, w_2) = -v(w_2, w_1) = -l\psi(-2\hbar)^{(21)}(w_1, w_2),$$

and

$$l'(w_1, w_2) = \exp\left(\tau_2 + (q^{-\partial}\otimes id)\tau_{-1}\right)\exp(-\phi(2\hbar))(w_2, w_1),$$

$$m'(w_1, w_2) = l'(w_1, w_2)\psi(2\hbar)(w_2, w_1).$$

Then (73) is satisfied if we impose

$$\alpha(q^{-\partial}w_2, w_1, w_2)l(w_1, w_2) + \alpha'(q^{-\partial}w_2, w_1, w_2)l'(w_1, w_2) + \beta(q^{-\partial}w_2, w_1, w_2) = 0,$$

$$\alpha(q^{-\partial}w_2, w_1, w_2)m(w_1, w_2) + \alpha'(q^{-\partial}w_2, w_1, w_2)m'(w_1, w_2) = 0,$$

so that

$$\alpha/\beta(q^{-\partial}w_2, w_1, w_2) = \frac{m'}{ml' - lm'}(w_1, w_2) = \frac{1}{l}\frac{\psi(2\hbar)^{(21)}}{\psi(-2\hbar)^{(21)} - \psi(2\hbar)^{(21)}}(w_1, w_2),$$

and

$$\alpha'/\beta(q^{-\partial}w_2, w_1, w_2) = -\frac{m}{ml' - lm'}(w_1, w_2)$$
$$= \frac{1}{l'}\frac{\psi(-2\hbar, \gamma, \cdots)^{(21)}}{\psi(2\hbar, \gamma, \cdots)^{(21)} - \psi(-2\hbar, \gamma, \cdots)^{(21)}}(w_1, w_2),$$

that is (8) and (9).

A.0.3. *Regularity at $w_1 = q^{2\partial}w_2$.* 3) means that

$$\alpha(z, q^{2\partial}w_2, w_2)q_{-2}(z, q^{2\partial}w_2)q_{-2}(z, w_2)+\beta(z, q^{2\partial}w_2, w_2)q_{-2}(z, w_2)+\gamma(z, q^{2\partial}w_2, w_2) = 0,$$

which we write as

$$\alpha(z, q^{3\partial}w_2, q^{\partial}w_2)q_{-2}(z, q^{3\partial}w_2)q_{-2}(z, q^{\partial}w_2) \tag{74}$$
$$+ \beta(z, q^{3\partial}w_2, q^{\partial}w_2)q_{-2}(z, q^{\partial}w_2) + \gamma(z, q^{3\partial}w_2, q^{\partial}w_2) = 0.$$

We have

$$q_{-2}(z, q^{\partial}w_2) = q_{-2}(q^{\partial}w_2, z)^{-1}$$
$$= \exp(\sum_{\alpha\geq 0}\frac{q^{2\partial}-1}{\partial}\lambda_\alpha\otimes r^\alpha)\exp\left(-(q^{\partial}\otimes id)(\tau_{-1})\right)(w_2, z)$$
$$= \exp\left(-(q^{\partial}\otimes id)(\tau_{-1})\right)\exp(-\phi(2\hbar))(1 - G^{(21)}\psi(2\hbar))(w_2, z),$$

and

$$q_{-2}(z, q^{3\partial}w_2)q_{-2}(z, q^{\partial}w_2) = q_{-2}(q^{3\partial}w_2, z)^{-1}q_{-2}(q^{\partial}w_2, z)^{-1}$$
$$= \exp(\sum_{\alpha\geq 0}\frac{q^{4\partial}-1}{\partial}\lambda_\alpha\otimes r^\alpha)\exp\left(-(q^{3\partial}+q^{\partial})\otimes id(\tau_{-1})\right)(w_2, z)$$
$$= \exp\left(-(q^{3\partial}+q^{\partial})\otimes id(\tau_{-1})\right)\exp(-\phi(4\hbar))(1 - G^{(21)}\psi(4\hbar))(w_2, z).$$

Therefore

$$q_{-2}(z, q^{\partial}w_2) = r(z, w_2) + s(z, w_2)G(z, w_2),$$
$$q_{-2}(z, q^{3\partial}w_2)q_{-2}(z, q^{\partial}w_2) = r'(z, w_2) + s'(z, w_2)G(z, w_2),$$

with

$$r(z, w_2) = \exp\left(-(q^{\partial}\otimes id)(\tau_{-1})\right)\exp(-\phi(2\hbar))(w_2, z),$$
$$r'(z, w_2) = \exp\left(-(q^{3\partial}+q^{\partial})\otimes id(\tau_{-1})\right)\exp\left(-\phi(4\hbar)\right)(w_2, z),$$
$$s(z, w_2) = r\psi(2\hbar)^{(21)}(z, w_2),\ \ s' = r'\psi(4\hbar)^{(21)}(z, w_2),$$

so (74) is fulfilled if α, β and γ satisfy the following conditions:

$$\alpha(z, q^{3\partial}w_2, q^{\partial}w_2)r'(z, w_2) + \beta(z, q^{3\partial}w_2, q^{\partial}w_2)r(z, w_2) + \gamma(z, q^{3\partial}w_2, q^{\partial}w_2) = 0,$$
$$\alpha(z, q^{3\partial}w_2, q^{\partial}w_2)s'(z, w_2) + \beta(z, q^{3\partial}w_2, q^{\partial}w_2)s(z, w_2) = 0,$$

so that

$$\alpha/\beta(z, q^{3\partial}w_2, q^{\partial}w_2) = -s/s'(z, w_2)$$

and

$$\gamma/\beta(z, q^{3\partial}w_2, q^{\partial}w_2) = \frac{r's - rs'}{s'}(z, w_2),$$

that is (10) and (11). This ends the proof of Lemma 3.1. □

REFERENCES

[1] V. Drinfeld, Quantum groups, Proc. ICM-86, Berkeley, eds. AMS, 798-820.
[2] V. Drinfeld, A new realization of Yangians and quantized affine algebras, Soviet Math. Dokl. 36:2 (1988), 212-6.
[3] V. Drinfeld, Quasi-Hopf algebras, Leningrad Math. J. 1:6 (1990) 1419-57.
[4] B. Enriquez, PBW and duality theorems for quantum groups and quantum current algebras, math/9904113.
[5] B. Enriquez, G. Felder, Elliptic quantum group $E_{\tau,\eta}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ and quasi-Hopf algebras, Commun. Math. Phys. 195 (1998), 651-89.
[6] B. Enriquez, G. Felder, A construction of Hopf algebra cocycles for double Yangians, q-alg/9703012, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 31 (1998), 2401-13.
[7] B. Enriquez, G. Felder, Commuting differential and difference operators associated with complex curves II, math/9812152.
[8] B. Enriquez, V. Rubtsov, Quantum groups in higher genus and Drinfeld's new realizations method ($\mathfrak{sl}_2$ case), q-alg/9601022, Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Sup. 30, sér. 4 (1997), 821-46.
[9] B. Enriquez, V. Rubtsov, Quasi-Hopf algebras associated with $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ and complex curves, q-alg/9608005, Israel J. of Math. 112 (1999), 61-108.
[10] B. Enriquez, Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach, Quantum homogeneous spaces and quasi-Hopf algebras, math/9912243.
[11] B. Feigin, A. Odesskii, A family of elliptic algebras, Int. Math. Res. Notices, 11 (1997), 531-9.
[12] S. Khoroshkin, V. Tolstoy, On Drinfeld's realization of quantum affine algebras, J. Geom. Phys. 11 (1993), 445-52.

DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES ET APPLICATIONS (UMR 8553 DU CNRS), ECOLE NORMALE SUPÉRIEURE, 45 RUE D'ULM, 75005 PARIS, FRANCE